

1 **WO**

2
3
4
5
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 Ronald Cooke, et al.,

10 Plaintiffs,

11 v.

12 Town of Colorado City, et al.,

13 Defendants.
14

No. CV-10-08105-PCT-JAT

ORDER

15 The Court notes that Plaintiff State of Arizona has filed a “Notice of Filing Form
16 of Judgment” (Doc. 736). Because this filing is not a motion, the Court will not take any
17 action on it.

18 Moreover, the Court notes that under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure (“Rule”)
19 58(a)(3), a judgment for an award of attorneys’ fees is not required to be entered in a
20 separate document. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 58(a)(3). The State of Arizona is not entitled to
21 the entry of judgment in a separate document for the Court’s award of attorneys’ fees
22 (Doc. 726). The Court has previously declined such a request. *See United States v.*
23 *Business Recovery Servs., LLC*, 2012 WL 3064253 (D. Ariz. July 26, 2012). The State of
24 Arizona has not shown that a separate document is necessary for the execution of the
25 judgment.


26 /

27 /

28 /

1 /
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated this 1st day of September, 2015.


James A. Teilborg
Senior United States District Judge