Se	lf v.	USA

1	WO		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
8			
9	United States of America, No. CV13-08199-PCT-DGC (JFM) CR10-08036-PCT-DGC		
10	Plaintiff/Respondent, ORDER		
11	V.		
12	Richard Larry Self,		
13	Defendant/Movant.		
14	Movant Richard Larry Self has filed a motion under Rule 60(b)(1) and (3), arguing		
15	that the Court's previous judgment in this matter should be set aside. Even if the Court		
16	were not to construe this as a second and successive § 2255 motion over which it would		
17	7 lack jurisdiction, the motion would be untimely.		
18	Rule $60(c)(1)$ requires that motions under Rule $60(b)(1)$ and (3) be filed no more		
19	than one year after entry of the judgment or order challenged. The Court's order and		
20	judgment in this case were entered on April 16, 2015, more than one year before this		
21	motion was filed. Docs. 35, 36. The fact that Movant attempted to appeal the Court's		
22	decision does not alter the one-year time limit. The Tool Box, Inc. v. Ogden City Corp.,		
23	419 F.3d 1084, 1087 (10th Cir. 2005).		
24	IT IS ORDERED that Movant's motion (Doc. 40) is denied as untimely.		
25	Dated this 22nd day of November, 2016.		
26	ς		
27	Danuel G. Campbell		
28			
	David G. Campbell United States District Judge		