

1 WO
2
3
4
5

6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 Joel K. Barr,

10 Petitioner,

11 v.

12 Charles Ryan, et al.,

13 Respondents.
14
15

No. CV-14-08060-PCT-GMS (ESW)

ORDER

16
17 The Court has reviewed Petitioner's "Notice of Non-Service of Document Upon
18 Petitioner (Re: Docket No. 81)" (Doc. 85). Petitioner states that he did not receive Doc.
19 81, which is referenced in the Court's December 22, 2015 Order (Doc. 83 at 12, 15).
20 Doc. 81 contains Exhibits B-ZZ to Respondents' Answer (Doc. 34) that Respondents
21 electronically filed in accordance with the Court's December 17, 2014 Order (Doc. 80).
22 The certificate of service in Respondents' Answer (Doc. 34 at 215) indicates that on
23 April 2, 2015, Respondents served the Answer on Petitioner by mail.

24 A party cannot request relief from the Court through the filing of a "Notice." *See*
25 Rules Governing § 2254 Cases, Rule 12 ("The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to the
26 extent that they are not inconsistent with any statutory provisions or these rules, may be
27 applied to a proceeding under these rules."); Rule 7(b)(1), Fed. R. Civ. P. ("A request for
28 a court order must be made by motion."). Accordingly,

