

1 WO
2
3
4
5

6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 Gayla Davis and Rodney Davis,

10 Plaintiffss,

11 v.

12 Aramark Corporation and Aramark Sports
13 and Entertainment Services LLC,

14 Defendants.


No. CV-17-08154-PCT-JAT

ORDER

15 “Inquiring whether the court has jurisdiction is a federal judge’s first duty in every
16 case.” *Belleville Catering Co. v. Champaign Market Place, L.L.C.*, 350 F.3d 691, 693
17 (7th Cir. 2003). Here, Defendants removed the case based on diversity but failed to plead
18 the citizenship of the limited liability company as required by *Johnson v. Columbia*
19 *Properties Anchorage*, 437 F.3d 894, 899 (9th Cir. 2006).

20 **IT IS ORDERED** that by August 29, 2017, Defendants shall file a supplement to
21 the notice of removal properly alleging federal subject matter jurisdiction or this case will
22 be remanded to state court.¹

23 Dated this 25th day of August, 2017.

24
25 

26 _____
James A. Teilborg
Senior United States District Judge

27 ¹ This is at least the second case in which counsel Johnson and Staudinger have
28 removed a case without making a good faith effort to correctly plead jurisdiction. *See*
CV 16-3101-PHX-JAT (Doc. 11). In the future the Court will consider remanding the
case without warning if counsel fails to allege jurisdiction in the notice of removal itself.