

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

David William Sandhoff,	}	No. CV-20-08058-PCT-SPL
	}	
Petitioner,	}	ORDER
vs.	}	
	}	
David Shinn, et al.,	}	
	}	
Respondents.	}	
<hr style="width: 50%; margin-left: 0;"/>		

Petitioner David William Sandhoff has filed an Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. 7). The Honorable Michael T. Morrissey, United States Magistrate Judge, issued a Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) (Doc. 15), recommending that the Court dismiss the Petition for lack of jurisdiction. Judge Morrissey advised the parties that they had fourteen (14) days to file objections to the R&R and that failure to file timely objections could be considered a waiver of the right to obtain review of the R&R. (Doc. 15 at 6) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 6, 72; *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003)).

The parties did not file objections, which relieves the Court of its obligation to review the R&R. *See Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d at 1121; *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (“[Section 636(b)(1)] does not . . . require any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.”); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) (“The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge’s disposition that has been properly objected to.”). The Court has nonetheless reviewed the R&R and finds that it is well-taken.

1 The Court will adopt the R&R and dismiss the Petition. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (stating
2 that the district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or
3 recommendations made by the magistrate”); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) (“The district judge
4 may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition; receive further evidence; or
5 return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions.”). Accordingly,

6 **IT IS ORDERED:**

- 7 1. That Magistrate Judge Michael T. Morrissey’s Report and Recommendation
8 (Doc. 15) is **accepted** and **adopted** by the Court;
- 9 2. That the Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
10 § 2254 (Doc. 7) is **dismissed for lack of jurisdiction**;
- 11 3. That a certificate of appealability and leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on
12 appeal are **denied**; and
- 13 4. That the Clerk of Court shall **terminate** this action.

14 Dated this 8th day of January, 2021.

15 
16 Honorable Steven P. Logan
17 United States District Judge