

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Earl Ball,)	
)	CV-07-491-TUC-DCB
Petitioner,)	
v.)	
)	
Charles L. Ryan, et al.,)	ORDER
)	
Respondents.)	
)	
_____)	

This matter was referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b) and the local rules of practice of this Court for a Report and Recommendation (R&R) on the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2254. Before the Court is the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation, which recommends that the Petition be denied and dismissed. The Petitioner filed Objections to the Report and Recommendation on November 9, 2009.

PETITIONER’S OBJECTIONS

Petitioner’s objections reiterate the claims contained in the habeas petition: (1) prosecutorial misconduct; (2) mistake of law; (3) state bar from prosecution; and (4) ineffective assistance of counsel. Basically, Petitioner alleges that the recommendation to dismiss the action is based on the Magistrate Judge’s bias and lack of understanding of the law.

