

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Barbara S. Tesi, a widow and unmarried,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
ReconTrust, N.A., a California)
corporation; Bank of America, N.A., a)
foreign corporation doing business in the)
State of Arizona,)
Defendants.)
_____)

No. 12-00621-TUC-JGZ

ORDER

Pending before the Court is a Report and Recommendation issued by United States Magistrate Judge Bernardo P. Velasco that recommends granting Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss. (Doc. 17.) Plaintiff has not filed an objection to the Magistrate Judge’s recommendation.

The Court reviews *de novo* the objected-to portions of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). The Court reviews for clear error the unobjected-to portions of the Report and Recommendation. *Johnson v. Zema Systems Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999); *see also Conley v. Crabtree*, 14 F. Supp. 2d 1203, 1204 (D. Or. 1998). Thus, the Court will review the Magistrate Judge’s recommendation for clear error.

1 As thoroughly explained by Magistrate Judge Velasco, Plaintiff's claims for relief
2 have been firmly rejected by courts in Arizona. Because amendment would be futile, the
3 Court agrees with the Magistrate Judge that Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed with
4 prejudice.

5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:


6 (1) The Report and Recommendation (Doc. 17) is accepted and adopted.

7 (2) Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 14) is granted.

8 (3) Plaintiff's Complaint is dismissed with prejudice.

9 (3) The Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly and close the file in this
10 matter.

11 Dated this 11th day of June, 2013.

12
13
14
15 
16 Jennifer G. Zipps
17 United States District Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28