IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS NORTHERN DIVISION

JAMES TUCKER and MINDY TUCKER

PLAINTIFFS

v. No. 1:11-cv-44-DPM

SOUTHWESTERN ENERGY CO.; CHESAPEAKE ENERGY CORP.; and BHP BILLITON PETROLEUM (FAYETTEVILLE) LLC

DEFENDANTS

PHILLIP BERRY and PEGGY BERRY

PLAINTIFFS

v. No. 1:11-cv-45-DPM

SOUTHWESTERN ENERGY CO.; CHESAPEAKE ENERGY CORP.; and BHP BILLITON PETROLEUM (FAYETTEVILLE) LLC

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

I appreciate the parties' submissions on the recusal issues. I know this was extra and unexpected work; but the additional legal analysis and the new facts were helpful. After further consideration of the issues in light of the parties' submissions, my conclusion is that I am not disqualified from sitting and there is no good reason that I should recuse. 28 U.S.C. § 455(a) and (b)(4). I will therefore continue in the case.

So Ordered.

D.P. Marshall Jr.

United States District Judge

6 April 2012