Perry v. Budnik et al Doc. 16

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS BATESVILLE DIVISION

NATHANIEL PERRY ADC #144831 **PLAINTIFF**

V.

NO: 1:13CV00037 SWW

CHRISTOPHER T. BUDNIK et al.

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

The Court has reviewed the Proposed Findings and Recommended Disposition submitted

by United States Magistrate Judge H. David Young. No objections have been filed. After careful

consideration, the Court concludes that the Proposed Findings and Recommended Disposition

should be, and hereby are, approved and adopted in their entirety as this Court's findings in all

respects.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

1. Plaintiff's complaint is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for failure to comply

with Local Rule 5.5(c)(2), failure to respond to the Court's order, and for failure to prosecute.

2. The Court certifies that an *in forma pauperis* appeal taken from the order and

judgment dismissing this action is considered frivolous and not in good faith.

DATED this 6th day of January, 2014.

/s/Susan Webber Wright
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE