Murphy v. Hughes et al Doc. 26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS NORTHERN DIVISION

LISA RYAN MURPHY, ADC #760343

PLAINTIFF

V.

1:14CV00150-JM-JJV

JOSEPH HUGHES, Doctor, McPherson Unit; *et al.*,

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

The Court has reviewed the Proposed Findings and Recommended Disposition submitted by United States Magistrate Judge Joe J. Volpe. No objections have been filed. After careful consideration, the Court concludes that the Proposed Findings and Recommended Disposition should be, and hereby are, approved and adopted in their entirety as this Court's findings in all respects.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that:

- 1. The Clerk of Court shall alter the docket to reflect that Defendant 'Booker' is 'Tinesia Booker.'
 - 2. Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. No. 22) is GRANTED.
- A. Plaintiff's claims that Defendant Joseph Hughes failed to treat her leg for two and a half-years at some point between 2011 and January 2014, and that Defendant Bernard Williams declined to intervene during that same period are DISMISSED with prejudice on the basis of collateral estoppel.
- B. The following claims against Defendant Joseph Hughes are DISMISSED with prejudice on their merits:
 - i. Defendant Hughes restricted or discontinued her pain

medications from May 1, 2014, to June 25, 2014.

ii. Defendant Hughes restricted her pain medication in retaliation for

filing lawsuits against him during the period encompassing April 2, 2014, to June 25, 2014.

iii. Defendant Hughes violated Plaintiff's Health Insurance

Portability and Accountability Act rights on June 4, 2014.

iv. Defendant Hughes discontinued her wheelchair script, mattress script,

and physical therapy on June 4, 2014.

v. Defendant Hughes was aware of Plaintiff's leg problems during

the period ranging from April 2, 2014, to August 29, 2014, but failed to provide her with adequate

treatment during that time.

C. Plaintiff's claims against Defendants Robert Floss, Tinesia Booker, and any

remaining claims against Defendants Joseph Hughes and Bernard Williams are DISMISSED without

prejudice for failure to exhaust administrative remedies.

3. The Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an in forma pauperis

appeal from this Order would not be taken in good faith.

DATED this 6th day of November, 2015.

JAMES M. MOODY, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE