Williams v. Lockhart et al Doc. 28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS HELENA DIVISION

THELMA WILLIAMS, JR. ADC #93197

**PLAINTIFF** 

1100 11/31/

V.

DOUGLAS LOCKHART et al.

DEFENDANTS

**ORDER** 

NO: 2:08CV00182 BSM/HDY

Plaintiff filed this complaint on October 8, 2009, and service was ordered. Because the Arkansas Department of Correction has multiple employees named Williams, service on Defendant Sgt. Williams was unsuccessful (docket entry #10), and Plaintiff was directed to provide a first name for Williams, so that service could be perfected (docket entry #11). On December 9, 2008, Plaintiff filed a response indicating that he did not know Williams's first name (docket entry #18). Accordingly, on January 20, 2009, Plaintiff's claims against Williams were dismissed without prejudice (docket entry #23).

Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 60(b), Plaintiff has now filed a motion for relief from that order (docket entry #27), in which he also seeks a default judgment against Williams, and an order directing the enforcement of a specific act required by a judgment. Plaintiff's motion provides no grounds to indicate that the order dismissing his claims against Williams was inappropriate, nor has he provided a first name for Williams. Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for relief from the order (docket entry #27) is DENIED. To the extent that Plaintiff's motion seeks a default judgment against Williams, or the enforcement of a judgment, it is DENIED as well, as there is no judgment against Williams to enforce, and Williams is not in default,

and has in fact never been served with the summons and complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 6th day of February, 2009.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE