Bell v. Burl et al Doc. 59

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS HELENA DIVISION

JOE LEONARD BELL, JR.,

PLAINTIFF

V.

2:13CV00054 DPM/JTR

DANNY BURL, Warden; and JEREMY ANDREWS, Major, East Arkansas Regional Unit, ADC, et al.

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

Plaintiff has filed a Motion asking the Court to compel Defendants to produce three documents that were reviewed during his May 25, 2011 disciplinary hearing. *Doc. 55.* Defendants argue that the Motion to Compel is improper because Plaintiff has not previously sent them a request for production seeking copies of those documents. *Doc. 58.* Nevertheless, Defendants have recently sent Plaintiff a copy of the requested documents. Thus, the Motion to Compel is moot.

Plaintiff also seeks an additional thirty days to file his Response to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. *Doc.* 57. The Court finds good cause for granting that request.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Plaintiff's Motion to Compel (*Doc. 55*) is DENIED AS MOOT.
- 2. Plaintiff's Motion for an Extension of Time (*Doc. 57*) is GRANTED, and

he must file, **or before March 27, 2014**, a Response to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment that complies with the instructions in the February 5, 2014 Order.

Dated this <u>25th</u> day of February, 2014.

JNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE