Irvin-Bey v. Smith et al Doc. 4

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS DELTA DIVISION

JERMAINE DUANE IRVIN-BEY Reg. #45966-177 **PLAINTIFF**

O

v.

No: 2:23-cv-00245-JM-PSH

G. SMITH, et al.

DEFENDANTS

<u>ORDER</u>

Plaintiff Jermaine Duane Irvin-Bey filed a *pro se* complaint, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, on December 22, 2023 (Doc. No. 1). On December 28, 2023, the Court entered an order directing Irvin-Bey to submit the full \$405.00 filing and administrative fees or file a fully completed *in forma pauperis* application within 30 days. *See* Doc. No. 2. Irvin-Bey was cautioned that failure to comply with the Court's order within that time would result in the dismissal of his case.

More than 30 days have passed, and Irvin-Bey has not complied or otherwise responded to the December 28 order. Accordingly, the Court finds that this action should be dismissed without prejudice for failure to comply with Local Rule 5.5(c)(2) and failure to respond to the Court's orders. *See Miller v. Benson*, 51 F.3d 166, 168 (8th Cir. 1995) (District courts have inherent power to dismiss *sua sponte* a case for failure to prosecute, and exercise of that power is reviewed for abuse of discretion).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT Irvin-Bey's complaint (Doc. No. 1) is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

DATED this 5th day of February, 2024.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE