

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
JONESBORO DIVISION**

**UNSELD NANCE, SR., individually, as the natural
father and next friend of UNSELD NANCE,
JR.; UNSELD NANCE, SR., individually; and
PAMELA FARROW**

PLAINTIFFS

v.

CASE NO. 3:07-CV-00119 BSM (Lead Case)

**ERIK SAMMIS, in his individual capacity; JIMMY
EVANS, in his individual capacity; WILLIAM
JOHNSON, in his individual capacity; ROBERT
PAUDERT, in his individual capacity; CITY OF
WEST MEMPHIS, ARKANSAS; UNKNOWN
WEST MEMPHIS POLICE OFFICERS, in their
official capacities; and UNKNOWN ARKANSAS
STATE POLICE OFFICERS, in their official capacities**

DEFENDANTS

CONSOLIDATED WITH:

**DEBRA FARROW, individually, and as
co-administrator of the Estate of DeAunte
Farrow on behalf of DeAunte Farrow; and
ROBIN PERKINS, individually, and as
co-administrator of the Estate of DeAunte Farrow**

PLAINTIFFS

v.

CASE NO. 3:07-CV-00189 (Consolidated Case)

**ERIK SAMMIS, individually, and in his official
capacity as Officer of West Memphis Police Department;
JIMMY EVANS, individually, and in his official
capacity as Officer of West Memphis Police Department;
WILLIAM JOHNSON, individually, and in his official
capacity as Mayor of the City of West Memphis, Arkansas;
ROBERT PAUDERT, individually, and in his official
capacity as Chief of Police of West Memphis Police
Department; THE CITY OF WEST MEMPHIS, ARKANSAS**

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

Presently before the court is the motion to compel filed by separate defendants Erik Sammis, Jimmy Evans, William Johnson, and Robert Paudert (“separate defendants”) on November 21, 2008. Separate defendant Johnson requests that the court enter an order requiring plaintiffs to provide responses to the discovery propounded to plaintiffs Debra Farrow and Robin Perkins on October 8, 2008. Additionally, all defendants request an order compelling plaintiffs to supplement their initial disclosures within five (5) days. Specifically, defendants state that a number of individuals have previously been interviewed, under oath, by one of plaintiffs’ attorneys, and that such interviews were transcribed by a court reporter, but plaintiffs have failed to supplement their initial disclosures or make the transcripts available for inspection and copying.

To date, plaintiffs have failed to respond to the pending motion. For good cause shown, the motion is granted. Plaintiffs are directed to provide responses to the outstanding discovery and to supplement their initial disclosures on or before December 22, 2008.

Accordingly, defendants’ motion to compel (Doc. No. 69) is granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED THIS 19th day of December, 2008.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE