

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS  
JONESBORO DIVISION

JOHNNY ROSAMOND

PLAINTIFF

V.

NO. 3:08cv00177 JWC

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,  
Commissioner, Social  
Security Administration

DEFENDANT

ORDER

Plaintiff has filed a motion for attorney's fees and expenses pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA), 28 U.S.C. § 2412 (doc. 17). He seeks an EAJA award for work done by his attorneys at the district court level (8.8 hours in 2008-10 at an hourly rate of \$175.00), and work done by paralegal assistants (5.4 hours at an hourly rate of \$75.00), plus expenses in the amount of \$15.96, for a total award of \$1,960.96. The Commissioner has responded, stating he has no objections (doc. 19).

Under the EAJA, a prevailing social security claimant is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and expenses unless the Commissioner's position in denying benefits was "substantially justified" or special circumstances make an award unjust. 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A). A claimant who wins a sentence-four remand order, such as Plaintiff did in this case (doc. 15, 16), is a prevailing party entitled to EAJA fees. *Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292, 302 (1993).

The EAJA provides that attorney fees "shall not be awarded in excess of \$125.00 per hour unless the court determines that an increase in the cost of living or a special factor, such as the limited availability of qualified attorneys for the proceedings involved, justifies a higher fee." 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(2)(A)(ii). The increased rates requested by Plaintiff reflect an agreement between his counsel and the Office of General Counsel, Region VI, Social Security Administration, to calculate attorney's fees on an annualized

basis using the mid-year Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers (CPI-U) (doc. 18-2, at 1-2). Plaintiff submits the applicable CPI-U figures to support the increased rates (doc. 18-2, at 13).

Additionally, a prevailing party that satisfies EAJA's other requirements may recover its paralegal fees from the government at prevailing market rates. *Richlin Security Service v. Chertoff*, 128 S. Ct. 2007, 2019 (2008). Plaintiff submits affidavits to establish \$75.00 an hour as the prevailing market rate for paralegal work (doc. 18-2, at 11-12).

No objection is made to the number of attorney or paralegal hours requested or to the amount of expenses, and counsel's itemization (doc. 18-2, at 8-10) demonstrates that they were reasonably expended in representation of Plaintiff.

Therefore, the Court will approve the total requested fees for attorney work (8.8 hours at \$175.00 per hour) and paralegal work (5.4 hours at \$75.00 per hour), and the total requested expenses (\$15.96), for a total award of \$1,960.96.


Accordingly,

(1) Plaintiff's motion for attorney's fees and expenses pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412 (doc. 17) is **granted**;

(2) Plaintiff is entitled to an award of attorney's fees and expenses in the amount of \$1,960.96 pursuant to the EAJA; and

(3) The Commissioner is directed to certify and pay to Plaintiff the amount awarded pursuant to the EAJA. *See Astrue v. Ratliff*, 130 S. Ct. 2521, 2524 (2010) (EAJA fee award is payable to litigant, rather than his attorney).

IT IS SO ORDERED this 9th day of August, 2010.

  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE