

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS  
JONESBORO DIVISION

SHEILA ANN HOWARD

PLAINTIFF

V.

3:10CV000139 JTR

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,  
Commissioner, Social  
Security Administration

DEFENDANT

**ORDER**

Plaintiff has filed a Motion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Other Expenses pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA) (docket entry #19). The Commissioner has responded (docket entry #21).

Under the EAJA, a prevailing social security claimant is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and expenses unless the Commissioner's position in denying benefits was "substantially justified" or special circumstances make an award unjust. 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A). A claimant who wins a sentence-four remand order and judgment, as Plaintiff did in this case (docket entries #17, #18), is a prevailing party entitled to EAJA fees. *Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292, 302 (1993).

Pursuant to an agreement between Plaintiff's counsel and the Office of General Counsel of the Social Security Administration, Plaintiff seeks an EAJA award for 9.6

hours of work done by her attorneys during 2010 and 2011 at an adjusted hourly rate of \$175.00 (docket entry #20-1, at 1-2, 12-14). Furthermore, she seeks payment for 5.25 hours of work done by paralegal assistants at an hourly rate of \$75.00, plus expenses in the amount of \$16.62, for a total award of \$2,090.37 (*id.* at 9-10, 12-14). The Commissioner does not oppose the EAJA request, and has no objection to the hourly rate or number of hours requested.

The Court finds that Plaintiff is entitled to an award of fees and expenses under the EAJA, and that the amount requested is reasonable.

In *Astrue v. Ratliff*, 130 S. Ct. 2521 (2010), the Supreme Court held that any EAJA award should be made payable to the litigant – not his attorney – and further held that the award is subject to offset for any debt owed to the government by the litigant. Plaintiff's motion states that she assigned her rights to any EAJA award to her attorney pursuant to a contract signed on February 15, 2011 (docket entry #19-1). She, therefore, asks that, in the event she owes no federal debt, any EAJA award should be paid directly to her counsel but that, if she does owe a federal debt, the balance of any EAJA fees awarded after the federal debt is withheld should be payable to Plaintiff but mailed directly to her counsel. This is consistent with the procedure suggested by the Commissioner and approved by this Court in other cases. *See Rodgers v. Astrue*, No. 4:09cv000694, at docket entry #27 (E.D. Ark. Dec. 22, 2010).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT Plaintiff's Motion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Other Expenses under the EAJA (docket entry #19) is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT Plaintiff is awarded \$2,090.37 in attorney's fees and expenses under the EAJA.

DATED this 17<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE