

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**IN RE: AVANDIA MARKETING, SALES
PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 1871

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-61)

On October 16, 2007, the Panel transferred 2 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. See 528 F.Supp.2d 1339 (J.P.M.L. 2007). Since that time, 842 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Cynthia M Rufe.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and assigned to Judge Rufe.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for the reasons stated in the order of October 16, 2007, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Cynthia M Rufe.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Dec 17, 2010


CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:


Jeffrey N. Luthi
Clerk of the Panel

A TRUE COPY CERTIFIED TO FROM THE RECORD

DATED: 12/17/10

ATTEST: 
DEPUTY CLERK, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

**IN RE: AVANDIA MARKETING, SALES
PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 1871

SCHEDULE CTO-61 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ALABAMA NORTHERN			
ALN	2	10-02914	Graham v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation
ARKANSAS EASTERN			
ARE	3	10-00271	Parish v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation
ILLINOIS SOUTHERN			
ILS	3	10-00920	Akins et al v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation et al
ILS	3	10-00923	Griggs et al v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation et al
ILS	3	10-00924	Gordon et al v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation et al
INDIANA SOUTHERN			
INS	1	10-01384	Ballinger-Nardei v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation
IOWA SOUTHERN			
IAS	4	10-00509	McCabe, et al. v. GlaxoSmithKline, et al.
MARYLAND			
MD	1	10-03230	Evans et al v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation, et al
MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN			
MSN	4	10-00138	Dickens v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation
MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN			
MSS	3	10-00634	Haymon v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation
MISSOURI EASTERN			
MOE	4	10-01882	Adams et al v. GlaxoSmithKline (GSK)
MOE	4	10-02039	White v. GlaxoSmithKline LLC
MOE	4	10-02142	Cain v. SmithKline Beecham Corp. et al

MISSOURI WESTERN

MOW 4 10-01127
MOW 4 10-01130
MOW 4 10-01131

Rush v. GlaxoSmithKline LLC
Martin v. GlaxoSmithKline LLC
Waters v. GlaxoSmithKline LLC

MONTANA

MT 2 10-00059

Newman v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation

NEW YORK WESTERN

NYW 1 10-00876
NYW 1 10-00877
NYW 1 10-00878
NYW 1 10-00917
NYW 6 10-06644

McClaskie et al v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation
Scahill v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation
Cope et al v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation
Jones v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation
Cieslinski v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation

NORTH CAROLINA MIDDLE

NCM 1 10-00863
NCM 1 10-00864
NCM 1 10-00866
NCM 1 10-00867
NCM 1 10-00868
NCM 1 10-00869
NCM 1 10-00870
NCM 1 10-00872
NCM 1 10-00873
NCM 1 10-00874
NCM 1 10-00875
NCM 1 10-00876
NCM 1 10-00877
NCM 1 10-00878
NCM 1 10-00879
NCM 1 10-00880
NCM 1 10-00881
NCM 1 10-00882
NCM 1 10-00883
NCM 1 10-00884
NCM 1 10-00885
NCM 1 10-00886

Allen et al v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
Ahrens et al V. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
Cain et al v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
Free et al v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
Elya et al v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
Tucker v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
Richards et al v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
Larned et al v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
Nicely et al v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
Bell et al v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
Jones et al v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
Charland et al v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
Lea et al v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
Brown et al v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
Lashmet et al v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
Mishler et al v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
Colbeck v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
Silvy v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
Talbott v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
Mccutcheon v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
Rucolas v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
Donaldson v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC

TEXAS EASTERN

TXE 2 10-00468

Roberts v. GlaxoSmithKline LLC