Warren v. Doe et al Doc. 35

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS JONESBORO DIVISION

HENRY W. WARREN

**PLAINTIFF** 

V. CASE NO. 3:14-CV-128 KGB/BD

DOE, et al. DEFENDANTS

## **ORDER**

The Defendants have moved for summary judgment on all claims in this lawsuit. (Docket entry #32) Mr. Warren now has an opportunity to file a response opposing the motion. To be considered, the response must be filed within fourteen (14) days of this Order.

In opposing the motion for summary judgment, Mr. Warren may attach affidavits that he or others have signed. Because affidavits are sworn statements, they must be either notarized or declared under penalty of perjury (see 28 U.S.C. § 1746). Unsworn statements will not be considered in deciding the motion for summary judgment. And to be considered, an affidavit must be based on the personal knowledge of the person who signs it.

If Mr. Warren files a response, he must also file a separate, short statement setting forth the disputed facts that he believes must be decided at a trial. See Local Rule 56.1, Rules of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas. While Mr. Warren is not required to file a response to the Defendants' motion for summary

judgment, if he does not respond, the Court can assume that the facts set out in the Defendants' Statement of Facts (#34) are true.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 21st day of October, 2015.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE