Rupert et al v. Mills et al

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS JONESBORO DIVISION

PRENTIS RUPERT and DERRICK BOGAN

**PLAINTIFFS** 

V. CASE NO. 3:14-CV-161 JM/BD

LARRY MILLS and BECKY HITT

**DEFENDANTS** 

## **ORDER**

The Court has received a Partial Recommended Disposition ("Recommendation") filed by Magistrate Judge Beth Deere. After careful review of the Recommendation, the timely objections, as well as a *de novo* review of the record, the Court concludes that the Recommendation should be, and hereby is, approved and adopted as this Court's findings.

Plaintiffs' access-to-courts claims and their claims regarding access to toiletry and hygiene items are DISMISSED, without prejudice. In addition, while Mr. Rupert states in his objection [Docket No.14] that he was not given notice and opportunity for a hearing before being put on lockdown, his claim that he has unlawfully remained on "lockdown" status is DISMISSED, without prejudice, as his assignment to lockdown status appears reasonably related to a legitimate government objective.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 12<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2014.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE