# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT <br> EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS <br> JONESBORO DIVISION 

FREDERICK SMITH
v.
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION;

BRIAN BEERS; and
PHILLIP CARTER

PLAINTIFF
Case No. 3:16-cv-00089-KGB

## DEFENDANTS

## ORDER

Before the Court is plaintiff Frederick Smith's complaint, motion to proceed in forma pauperis, and motion styled as "declaration of exempt filing fee status." On January 29, 2018, Mr. Smith originally tendered to the Clerk for filing his complaint and motions. On March 2, 2018, Mr. Smith tendered to the Clerk for filing the identical complaint and motions. On March 12, 2018, Mr. Smith tendered to the Clerk for filing a motion for the Clerk of Court to initiate service of process. On March 16, 2018, Mr. Smith tendered to the Clerk for filing a proposed scheduling order with notice of jury trial sought and a demand for jury trial. Copies of these documents are attached to this Order. Mr. Smith is on the restricted filers list maintained by the Clerk of the Court. Based on this status, the Court must first review Mr. Smith's complaint and motion to proceed in forma pauperis. Based on Mr. Smith's application, he has neither the funds nor the income to pay the filing fee. Therefore, the Court grants Mr. Smith's motion to proceed in forma pauperis and will permit Mr. Smith to proceed without prepayment of the filing fee.

Based on Mr. Smith's status as a restricted filer and based on the filings he has submitted for consideration, the Court determines that Mr. Smith may not proceed with his current claims because they are duplicative of the complaint in this action previously filed by Mr. Smith. "[28 U.S.C. §] 1915(d) allows federal courts to dismiss frivolous or malicious actions that are filed in
forma pauperis," including "duplicative complaints." Aziz v. Burrows, 976 F.2d 1158, 1158 (8th Cir. 1992). The Court finds that the complaint Mr. Smith now proposes to file is duplicative of the complaint filed by Mr. Smith in Frederick Smith v. U.S. Department of Education, et al., 3:16-cv-00089-KGB, (E.D. Ark. May 24, 2016). In the case, Judge D.P. Marshall, Jr., dismissed Mr. Smith's complaint without prejudice because it did not pass the screening requirements. Id. at 45. Judge Marshall reasoned that Mr. Smith's claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution both failed because the act of taking another's property does not violate the United States Constitution when the state provides an adequate postdeprivation remedy, which Arkansas provides under tort law. Id. (citing Hudson v. Palmer, 468 U.S. 517, 533 (1984)). In the complaint currently before the Court for consideration, Mr. Smith also alleges that defendants violated state and federal criminal law by transporting goods that were knowingly stolen. Mr. Smith's claims relating to the transportation of stolen goods fail because, as a general rule, criminal statutes do not create private causes of action. See e.g., Linda R.S.v. Richard D., 410 U.S. 614, 619 (1973).

In the complaint currently before the Court for consideration, Mr. Smith has raised issues directly related to those alleged and dismissed in his complaint previously filed in this case. He has not cured the deficiencies cited by Judge Marshall previously. Therefore, for these reasons, the Court declines to permit Mr. Smith to proceed with this action and dismisses without prejudice Mr. Smith's proposed complaint in this action.

It is so ordered this the 24th day of April, 2018.

Frustini It. Ponlur<br>Kristine G. Baker<br>United States District Judge



# United States District Court <br> for the 



Civil Action No,

## SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant' same and address) U.S Department of Education 1999 Bryan ST. Harwood Brian Beers Special Agent, 1999 Bryan ST 75201 Phillip Carter, Former evest suite 1440 Dallas $T x .75201$ Memphis city Counal
A lawsuit has been filed against you. 205 S. Redding st west Memphis AR.
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) - or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) - you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: $\qquad$

## United States District Court

for the


I am a plaintiff or petitioner in this case and declare that I am unable to pay the costs of these proceedings and that I am entitled to the relief requested,

In support of this application, I answer the following questions under penalty of perjury:

1. If incarcerated. I am being held at: $\qquad$
If employed there, or have an account in the institution, I have attached to this document a statement certified by the appropriate institutional officer showing all receipts, expenditures, and balances during the last six months for any institutional account in my name. I am also submitting a similar statement from any other institution where I was incarcerated during the last six months.
2. If not incarcerated. If I am employed, my employer's name and address are:

My gross pay or wages are: \$ $\qquad$ , and my take-home pay or wages are: \$ $\qquad$ per (specify pay period) $\qquad$ .
3. Other Income. In the past 12 months, I have received income from the following sources (check all that apply):
(a) Business, profession, or other self-employment
a Yes

(b) Rent payments, interest, or dividends
(c) Pension, annuity, or life insurance payments
$\square$ Yes
I Yes
(d) Disability, or worker's compensation payments 7 Yes
(e) Gifts, or inheritances $\square$ Yes
(f) Any other sources
$\square$ Yes
2/0

If you answered "Yes" to any question above, describe below or on separate pages each source of money and state the amount that you received and what you expect to receive in the fixture.
4. Amount of money that I have in cash or in a checking or savings account: $\$ 0$ (U nemploy ed).
5. Any automobile, real estate, stock, bond, security, trust, jewelry, art work, or other financial instrument or thing of value that I own, including any item of value held in someone else's name (describe the property and its approximate value):

2005 motor less
Ford expedition.
6. Any housing, transportation, utilities, or loan payments, or other regular monthly expenses (describe and provide the amount of the monthly expense):

Living with Family
7. Names (or, if under 18, initials only) of all persons who are dependent on me for support, my relationship with each person, and how much I contribute to their support:
Fred smith Jr. son

Gabrielle Smith Daugther
Fred Smith Sr - Daddy
Jg ns he g smith wife
Any debts or financial obligations (describe the amounts owed and to whom they are payable):
None

Declaration: I declare under penalty of perjury that the above information is true angednderstand that a false statement may result in a dismissal of my claims.

Date: January 24,2018


IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF $U_{1} \mathbf{S}$, COURT., ARKANSAS

CASE NO. $\qquad$

## PETITION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Fred Smith , prose, who hereby petitions the court for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and does allege and state as follows:

1. That Plaintiff, a resident of the State of Arkansas, has prepared and desires to file with this Court a $\qquad$ T
2. That Plaintiff has completed an Affidavit in Support of Request to Proceed In Forma Pauperis setting out his/her income and assets. Plaintiff's Affidavit accompanies this petition.
3. That Plaintiff's income barely suffices to meet the costs of life's daily essentials and includes no allotment that could be budgeted to pay for court fees and costs incident to this proceeding.
4. That Plaintiff has no other income in addition to that described in his/her Affidavit and no means of paying such costs without being reduced to total impoverishment.
5. That Plaintiff believes that he/she is entitled to the relief requested in the accompanying _. AWSUIT and that such action is not brought for a frivolous or malicious purpose.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that the court enter an order allowing the Plaintiff to prosecute this action In Forma Pauperis and that the Plaintiff may have the necessary writs and processes without payment of fees or costs for the same.


# In Formal Pauperis Affidavit [see Rule 72, Rules of Civil Procedure] <br> IN THE DISTRICT COURT UsS COURT ., ARKANSAS <br>  <br> IN RE PETITION OF <br> $\qquad$ <br> TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS 

NO. $\qquad$

## AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF

 REQUEST TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERISI, Fred Smith, being first duly sworn, depose and say that I am the petitioner in the above entitled case; that in support of my motion to proceed without being required to prepay fees, costs or give security therefor, I state that because of my poverty I am unable to pay the costs of said proceeding or to give security therefor; that I believe I am entitled to redress.

I further swear that the responses which I have made to questions and instructions below are true.

1. Are you presently employed? Yes $\qquad$ No $\square$
(a) If the answer is yes, state the amount of your salary or wages per month, and give the name and address of your employer.
(b) If the answer is no, state the date of last employment and the amount of the salary and wages per month which you received.
2. Have you received within the past twelve months any money from any of the following sources?
(a) Business, profession or any form of self-employment?

Yes $\qquad$ No $\square$
(b) Rent payments, interest or dividends?

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
(c) Pensions, annuities or life insurance payments?

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
(d) Gifts or inheritances?

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
(e) Any other sources?

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
If the answer to any of the above is yes, describe each source of money and state the amount received from each during the past twelve months.
3. Do you own any cash, or do you have money in a checking or savings account?

Yes $\qquad$ No


If the answer is yes, state the total amount in each account.
4. Do you own any real estate, stocks, bonds, notes, automobiles or other valuable property (excluding ordinary household furnishings and clothing)?

Yes $\qquad$ N


If the answer is yes, describe the property and state its approximate value.
5. List the persons who are dependent upon you for support, state your relationship to those persons, and indicate how much you contribute toward their support.
Wife working Supporting the family.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Gabriel } 5 & \text { Tasha wife } \\ \text { Fred Jr. } 11 & \text { Fred Sr. Petitioner }\end{array}$

## [6. TO BE COMPLETED ONLY IF PETITIONER IS INCARCERATED IN THE ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION OR ANY OTHER PENAL INSTITUTION.

Do you have any funds in the inmate welfare funds?
Yes $\qquad$ No $1 /$

If the answer is yes, state the total amount in such account and have the certificate found below completed by the authorized officer of the institution.]


SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 18 day of January, 2018.


Notary Public
[(To be completed by authorized officer of penal institution)

## CERTIFICATE



Authorized Officer of Institution]

# DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS 

Frederick Smith<br>Vs.<br>US Department of Education 1999 BRYAN ST. HARWOOD CENTER SUITE 1440 Dallas, TX 75201 BRIAN BEERS SPECIAL AGENT 1999 BRYAN ST. HARWOOD CENTER SUITE 1440 Dallas, TX 75201<br>PHILLIP CARTER Former City Council 205 S. Redding St. West Memphis, AR 72301

$48^{* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * ~} 48$
DECLARATION
OF
Exempt Filing Fee Status
48 ***********************************************48

The Citizen of the United States Government, not United States of America, does make this Declaration that the "Citizen" of the said district are exempt from cost, filing fees and charges imposed by any courts, boards of law pursuant to and according to:

1 Constitution of the United States Article 4, sec. 2
2 Hague Convention,
3 State Department of the United States
"The citizens of each State shall be entitled to all privileges and immunities of citizens in the several States,"
4
$7^{\text {th }}$ Amendment
"In suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved ..."

Right: Political Right...the right of petition. Blacks $3^{\text {rd }}$ Ed. P. 524; Winnet v. Adam, 71 Neb. 817, 99 N. W. 681: see Black's Law Dictionary 7 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ed. Pg.1487-1488:
$5 \quad 1^{\text {st }}$ Amendment Constitution of the United States:
"...the right of the people...to Petition the Government for a redress of grievances".
Petition: A formal written application to a court requesting judicial action on a certain matter. A recital of facts which gives rise to a cause of action"

6 Title 8 USC 1503 (a):
"If any person who is within the United States claims a RIGHT or PRIVILEGE as a National of the United States - 8 USC 1101(a) (22), and is denied such right or privilege by any department or independent agency or official thereof, upon the grounds that he is not a national of the United States, such person may institute a
action under the provisions of section 2201 of titled 28, United States codes...against the head of such department of independent agency for a judgment declaring him to be a national of the United States..."

Privilege: "A particular and peculiar benefit or advantage enjoyed by a person, Company, or CLASS, beyond the Common advantage of other Citizens. An exceptional or extraordinary power or exemptioni. A peculiar right, advantage, exemption, power, franchise, or immunity held by a person or class, not generally possessed by others".
$7 \quad 14^{\text {th }}$ Amendment
"No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges and immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property without due process of law, nor deny to any person protection of the law".

The term "Right" is nowhere defined as a filing fee, Payment, charge, burden or tax and is in violation of Constitution of the United States hercin asserted. As a Foreign National PreamblePosterity ...Citizen of the United States [whose ancestors additionally served in the Army and Navy of United States] I, claim, inter alia, the Right or Grand Fathering or Grandfather Clause.

As a "National of the United States" I have claimed the Right and Privilege so indicated in 8 USC 1503 (a), inter alia, here in named and when challenged by anyone in the department of the Clerk of court, action pursuant to 8 USC 1503(a) against the head of such department in accordance with 8 USC 2201 shall be initiated.


# DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS 

Frederick Smith

Vs.
Case:
PHILLIP CARTER - FBI; DOJ Informant 205 S. Redding St. West Memphis, AR 72301
BRIAN BEERS SPECIAL AGENT 1999 BRYAN ST. HARWOOD CENTER SUITE 1440 Dallas, TX 75201
US Department of Education 1999 BRYAN ST. HARWOOD CENTER SUITE 1440 Dallas, TX 75201
COMPLAINT
NO SEARCH WARRANT VIOLATION
BURGLARY, TRESSPASS, THEFT OF PROPERTY
ILLEGAL ENTERING, TRAFFICKING STOLEN GOODS

COME NOW; Fred Smith herein asks the court to determine, rule on, make a legal determination if there was, is, a "Warrant" to enter the office of a State Representative.

## DISCUSSION

Former City Council member Phillip Carter was under the authority of the Eastern Division Little Rock Arkansas "Department of Justice" as an "Informant" who used to be a Correction Officer that went corrupt and was signed on to be a "Informant" for the FBI, and other Federal government agency to be the "bag man" for 'odd jobs' that were deemed important to the FBI, and other Federal government agencies. Phillip Carter was "recruited" by Brian Beers who got orders from the national office of "Department of Justice" - Little Rock, AR,:.; where Brian Beers an agent of the Department of Education in Texas went into the office of a State Representative "without a warrant" and took all the property out of the office "without a warrant", transported the property across State lines, and then wrote a letter to petitioner to come get such property they took "with no warrant"; it is asked of this court to determine this "Fact", "Truth" by the "Evidence" that exist that petitioner was, is, never was convicted.

## FACTS

1 Brian Beers, Phillip Carter together did not seek a copy of a grand jury indictment per pre-requisite AR 7-5-807(D)with a Warrant to enter petitioners office.

2 There was no finding of guilt per AR 7-5-808 that would allow the actions taken by Brian Beers, Phillip Carter, who should have known or knew that you cannot just break into the office
of a State Representative without a warrant and take all the property out of that office without a warrant.

3 The brains of corrupt behavior is Brian Beers who recruited Phillip Carter, a Department of Justice FBI Informant, where the two of them Brian Beers Principal and Phillip Carter Participant superior to physically carry out the enterprises desires-DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, with principal Brian Beers, directing the players by his influence and corrupt activity to enter the office of Fred Smith "Without a Warrant" which is illegal and unlawful and crush his character, good name that shocks the conscious, by stealing the office equipment, without a warrant of the $4^{\text {th }}$ amendment.

4 Nor did Brian Beers, Phillip Carter pursuant to Article 5 sec. 9 Constitution of Arkansas filed a complaint after Fred Smith returned to his government seat in regards to the business of his constituents AR 7-5-805 nor did they have a warrant to go into the office.
$5 \quad$ Phillip Carter \& Brian Beers violated AR 5-36-103 by deception that exercises unauthorized control over or makes an unauthorized transfer of an interest in the property of another person with the purpose of depriving the owner of the property.
A) Defendants deliberately adhered and participated in a conflict of interest that violates the Constitution of Arkansas, and petitioner Article 4, sec. 2 Constitution of the United States "privileges \& immunities" from having no warrant to enter the office of a State Rep. which violates the $4^{\text {th }}$ Amendment of the Constitution of the United States.
B) Defendants violated AR 5-51-205 where they "acted" unlawfully without a "Warrant" for seizure of petitioner's office and office equipment without cause violating AR 5-51-205.
C) Defendants knew or should have known that they may not use or hire an "informant" to break into the office of petitioner and take all of his personal effects in violation of the $4^{\text {th }}$ amendment, and take all the property out of this "office" without a Warrant violating AR 5-53-131.
D) Defendants made a legal determination without authority to do so, by recruiting Phillip Carter to assist them in taking property, breaking into, and illegal entering the private property of petitioner without a "Warrant" who violated AR 25-16-1101.
E) Brian Beers, Phillip Carter under the direction of the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, behaved with corruption in public office by stole his private property without a warrant that they knew or should have known they were violating AR 5-52-107. This corruption in public office has a history and long train of abuse because Phillip Carter et al always do it this way; this is why he has been being used for years to be the "Bag Man" for the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE and FBI et al.
F) Brian Beers et al actions comply with AR 5-53-131; 5-52-107; and influencing action by an "Unknown" FBI Agent to give orders to Brian Beers and Phillip Carter to violate State law and the $4^{\text {th }}$ Amendment of the Constitution of the United States to not secure a
"Warrant" to enter the office of a Government Official by the orders of some unknown person who violated AR 5-52-105.
G) The actions by Brian Beers et al were calculated, deliberate and frivolous in regards to Arkansas law. AR 5-52-107 Abuse \& Corruption in Office; AR 25-16-1102 Brian Beers et al was chosen and Brian Beers accepted the role; AR 5-53-106 Brian Beers et al committed a "Constitutional Breach" of the $4{ }^{\text {th }}$ Amendment to take property out of an Sitting State Representative Official office with "No Warrant".

## STATE TRAFFICKING LAW VIOLATION

1 Under Color of Law, Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter from orders of the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE transported, transmitted, or transferred in interstate or foreign commerce petitioners goods, wares, merchandise, securities, of the value of $\$ 5,000$ or more, knowing the same to have been stolen, converted or taken by fraud.

2 Under Color of Law, Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter from orders of the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, devised a scheme or artifice to obtain property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, by his transports or causes to be transported, or induces Brian R. Beers or persons to travel in, or to be transported in interstate or foreign commerce in the execution or concealment of a scheme or artifice to defraud petitioner and property having a value of $\$ 5,000$ or more.

3 Under Color of Law, He Brian R. Beers, from orders from the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE sent a letter years later, for petitioner to come get the property they stole, but they did not have a warrant to ascertain the property they were writing a letter to come get furthermore; they knew the property taken was done without a warrant by the letter to that says without saying "...We messed up, we had no warrant, so come get your property to get the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE off the hook" is absurd, they must go down,

4 Under Color of Law, Brian R. Beers knowing the same to have been stolen, converted or taken by fraud-Shall be fined under Federal Law or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both.

## DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Petitioner herein seeks a Declaratory Judgment and Injunctive Relief to the acts, behavior of Brian Beers, Phillip Carter, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Under Color of Law, who must show, verify what warrant they were given from a Judge in Crittenden County of Arkansas to
obtain such "Declaratory \& Injunction" to stop defendants and reverse all action by defendants and declare such actions were, are without a "Warrant" and authority.

1 Article 4, section 2 Constitution of the United States provides petitioner with a privilege \& immunity, to be free have a office and have office equipment without being targeted from a FBI informant, and Special Agent of the Department of Education, to have his office broken into and have his office equipment stolen without a $4^{\text {th }}$ amendment warrant, shocks the conscious that a Federal official agent would behave in such manner against a State Representative.

2 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION has delegated its policing operations to, Special Agent Brian Beers who has delegated its statutory responsibility for, and final policy making authority regarding the provision of ENTERING a State Congressman's office without authority, $4^{\text {th }}$ amendment warrant, by allowing a City Council Member Phillip Carter to perform this function for Department of Education without interference or correction.

3 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION has delegated its operations to Brian Beers who has delegated final policy making authority regarding the entering the office of a State Representative without permission, $4^{\text {th }}$ amendment warrant and jurisdictional action, by allowing City Council Member Phillip Carter to perform aspects of this function without interference or correction.

4 The policy making decisions of DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION with regard to the provision of ENTERING the office of a State Congressman are within the jurisdictional bounds of STATE OF ARKANSAS, Crittenden County and are imputed to Crittenden County Court.

5 The policy making decisions mentioned of DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION including those imputed to Special Agent Brian Beers by DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION are imputed to Crittenden County by Phillip Carter, are imputed and serve to bind both, Brian Beers, Phillip Carter to the jurisdiction of Crittenden County Court directly or indirectly in violation of ARA 7 -1-103; AR5-53-131.

6 It is the official policy of DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, to steal a Sitting State Representatives private property by breaking into his office for no reason is forced slavery, violation of the Constitution of Arkansas, and Constitution of the United States too.

7 In the alternative, the manner in which CITY OF WEST MEMPHIS ARKANSAS City Council Member officials are trained, including the design and implementation of training programs and the follow-up supervision of trainees, is a matter of policy.

8 These actions committed have become widespread to recognize the quality of custom or usage in Department of Education. The official duty of final policy makers of DEPARTMENT OF

EDUCATION inter alia, to be informed of custom or usage and, such policy makers had manifest opportunities to be informed of Brian Beers, actions.

9 The actual or constructive knowledge of the existence of this custom or usage and intentionally or with deliberate indifference, failed to correct or stop the practices and thus condoned it. This condonement may fairly be attributed in part to STATE OF ARKANSAS and DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION and part to CITY OF WEST MEMPHIS.

10 The actions committed deprived petitioner of his Constitutional Privileges and Immunities to adequate allowance of Constitutional Right to not be seized anywhere without law is furtherance of an official policy, custom or usage of DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, and WEST MEMPHIS CITY COUNCIL, and such official policy, custom, or usage was direct and proximate cause of such deprivation.

11 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION are not entitled to qualified, sovereign immunity because of the purchase of "Bond Insurance".

12 Brian Beers in his official capacity is a person within the meaning of 42 USC 1983.

13 Such conduct poses a pervasive and unreasonable risk of Constitutional violations and injurysuch are the violations here; to petitioner. Phillip Carter a City Council Member got the keys from the Pastor who had actual constructive knowledge given to him from state officers, who knew or should have known having a meeting of the minds. Governors all decided to engage in conduct that posed pervasive and unreasonable risk of Constitutional violations and injury to petitioner.

14 The deliberate indifference to, or tacit authorization of, such unconstitutional conduct shows the response of actual or constructive knowledge of this pervasive and unreasonable risk of Constitutional violation and injury to petitioner.

15 A reasonable person in the position of defendants would have known that his or her actions violated petitioner's Article 4, section 2 Constitutional Privileges and immunities along with certain state statutory provisions.

16 Treble damages are entitled to be given to petitioner for such wrong or injury pursuant to Arkansas Law.

17 Defendants' conduct was willful or wanton in that it constituted the conscious and intentional disregard of, and indifference to, the privileges and immunities, of petitioner, which said
defendants knew, or should have known, was reasonably likely to result in injury, damage, or IRREPARABLE harm.

## VIOLATING THE $4{ }^{\text {TH }}$ AMENDMENT

$l$ Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter together met and discussed how and when to enter petitioners property without a warrant and search it and take all the property and examine it without warrant and just violate petitioner because he is African American.

2 DEAPARTMENT OF EDUCATION failed to train, supervise, instruct, guide in the proper procedures of entering person's property. The aforementioned employer failed to instill and impart into Brian R. Beers, "integrity" to mimic and copy for the sake of protecting the laws of Arkansas, Rights of the Citizens of Arkansas, Privileges \& Immunities as possessed pursuant to $4^{\text {th }}$ Amendment; Article 4, sec. 2 Constitution of the United States, Policy \& Custom of DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION was established from this egregious act.

3 Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter violated the proper levels of access for every transaction where he entered property of a sitting State Representative in violation of the $4^{\text {th }}$ amendment of the Constitution of the United States from instructions from some FBI Agent to violate petitioner and damage the integrity of the name of petitioner, is a wicked, despicable, disgusting, rotten abuse of power to the $10^{\text {th }}$ power, in complete disregard of law, Constitutions, policy and custom DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION.

## CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTIONS

BEHAVIOR of defendants has raised "Questions" on the Constitutionality of action of Brain Beers-Special Agent for Department of Education, and Phillip Carter employee/informant under the use and control of DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE to go into the office a State Representative to take property without a $4^{\text {th }}$ Amendment Warrant and transport such property to another State from where it was found, makes the following questions.

1 Can an employee of the Department of Education come into a State and use a FBI Informant to go into a State Representatives office without a warrant and take the property out of it and take it to another State?

2 Can a Federal employee without a warrant take property from a State Representatives office and transport it to another State?

3 Does the Constitution of the United States and Constitution of Arkansas make provisions for Phillip Carter, of Arkansas, Brian Beers of United States Department of Education employee together, to break into the office of a sitting State Representative, take all the office equipment out of the office, take it across state line, without a warrant?

4 Does the Constitution of Arkansas allow a Federal Employee to recruit a person "without a warrant"; to break into the office of a State Representative office that conducts the business affairs for a State Sponsored Federal government funded program called 'SAVE OUR KIDS" and steal the office equipment for no reason?

1 Article 1sec. 13 of the Arkansas Constitution states: Every person is entitled to a certain remedy in the laws for all injuries or wrongs he may receive in his person, property or character; he ought to obtain justice freely, and without purchase; completely, and without denial; promptly and without delay; conformably to the law. Defendants Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter from a unknown FBI agent, without any court order or $4^{\text {th }}$ amendment Warrant to go into the property when there was and is no court order or Warrant allowing them to just break-an-entering to such property because Phillip Carter [FBI Informant] and Brian R. Beers was a Special Agent is a egregious abuse of authority and law.

## BREACH OF SECURITY AND MISCONDUCT ON DUTY

1 The actions of Brian R. Beers. Phillip Carter caused petitioner to be placed into a National Criminal base for no reason whatsoever. authoritv. which cause irreparable harm to petitioner without cause is clear and concise of an official policy to damage petitioner.

2 Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter together met and discussed how and when to enter petitioners property without a warrant and search it and take all the property and examine it without warrant and just violate petitioner because he is African American.

3 DEAPARTMENT OF EDUCATION \& STATE OF ARKANSAS failed to train, supervise, instruct, guide in the proper procedures of entering person's property. The aforementioned employer failed to instill and impart into Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter "integrity" to mimic and copy for the sake of protecting the laws of Arkansas, Rights of the Citizens of Arkansas, Privileges \& Immunities as possessed pursuant to Article 4, sec. 2 Constitution of the United States.

4 Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter violated the proper levels of access for every transaction where he entered property in violation of the 4 amendment of the Constitution of the United States from instructions of their policy and custom of the DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION.

5 There was no search warrant issued because a FOIA request for it was done and a Letter was returned stating "...we cannot provide you with that...".

## RESPONDENT SUPERIOR

## 1Brian R. Beers ${ }_{4}$ Phillip Carter, DEPARTMENT OF FEDUCATION are persons within the meaning of 42 USC 1983, 1985.

2 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION is not entitled to $11^{\text {L }}$ amendment immunity because it is local in nature. DEPARMENT OF EDUCATION is not entitled to sovereign immunity because of the purchase of insurance in bond and otherwise.
3 Article 4, section 2 Constitution of the United States provides petitioner with a privilege \& immunity, to be free to obtain and conduct business without being targeted from Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter et al, to enter his business property and just take all office equipment from no court order is criminal.

4 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION has delegated its policing operations to BRIAN R. BEERS who has recruited PHILLIP CARTER former State Representative with no responsibility for, and final policy making authority regarding the provision of ENTERING persons property to take office equipment and enter private property without a warrant, and take such equipment by allowing Brian R. Beers, to perform this function for DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION without interference or correction.

5 DEPARMENT OF EDUCATION has delegated its INVESTIGATING operations to BRIAN R. BEERS who has delegated final policy making authority regarding the taking of property from businesses, entering business property without warrant, to Brian R. Beers, and jurisdictional action, by allowing Brian R. Beers, to perform aspects of this function without interference or correction. He has recruited Phillip Carter who participated in this theft, robbery, trespass, that is criminal.

6 The policy making decisions of DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION with regard to the provision of ENTERING persons PRIVATE property and stealing are within the jurisdictional bounds of DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION are imputed to Brian R. Beers.

7 The policy making decisions mentioned of Brian R. Beers including those imputed to Phillip Carter AS State Representative - STATE OF ARKANSAS are imputed to Phillip Carter by STATE OF ARKANSAS [indirectly] by Govemor MIKE BEBE [at time of alleged action] are imputed and serve to bind both, STATE OF ARKANSAS. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION and Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter directly or indirectly.

8 It is the official policy of STATE OF ARKANSAS and DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION to deny citizens the right to be free upon the land of United States and be targeted by a State Official without law is forced slavery, violation of the Constitution of Arkansas, and Constitution of the United States too.

9 These actions committed have become widespread to recognize the quality of custom or usage in Arkansas \& UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. The official duty of final policy makers of STATE OF ARKANSAS and DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION inter alia, to be informed of custom or usage and, such policy makers had manifest opportunities to be informed.

10 The actual or constructive knowledge of the existence of this custom or usage and intentionally or with deliberate indifference, failed to correct or stop the practices and thus condoned it. This condonement may fairly be attributed in part to DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION and STATE OF ARKANSAS, and part to BRIAN R. BEERS and PHILLIP CARTER.

11 The actions committed deprived petitioner of his Constitutional Privileges and Immunities to adequate allowance of Constitutional Right to not seize his property without a "Warrant" anywhere without law is furtherance of an official policy, custom or usage of STATE OF ARKANSAS, and DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, and such official policy, custom, or usage was direct and proximate cause of such deprivation.

12 GOVERNOR is not entitled to qualified, sovereign immunity because of the purchase of "Bond Insurance".

13 Brian R. Beers. Phillip Carter in their official capacities are persons within the meaning of 42 USC 1983.

14 Such conduct poses a pervasive and unreasonable risk of Constitutional violations and injurysuch are the violations here; to petitioner. Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter had actual constructive knowledge given to him from state officers. who knew or should have known having a meeting of the minds Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter and Governor all decided to engage in conduct that posed pervasive and unreasonable risk of Constitutional violations and injury to petitioner.

15 The deliberate indifference to, or tacit authorization of, such unconstitutional conduct shows the response of actual or constructive knowledge of this pervasive and unreasonable risk of Constitutional violation and injury to petitioner.

16 A reasonable person in the position of defendants would have known that his or her actions violated petitioner's Article 4. section 2 Constitutional Privileges and immunities along with certain state statutory provisions.
17 GOVERNOR is liable under the law of Arkansas for such actions of Phillip Carter based on the doctrine of respondent superior.

18 Treble damages are entitled to be given to petitioner for such wrong or injury pursuant to Arkansas Law.

19 Petitioner is entitled to recover damages against STATE OF ARKANSAS, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION bonds' pursuant to Arkansas law and Laws of the United States.

20 Defendants' conduct was willful or wanton in that it constituted the conscious and intentional disregard of, and indifference to, the privileges and immunities, of petitioner, which said defendants knew, or should have known, was reasonably likely to result in injury, damage, or IRREPARABLE harm.

## DEPRIVING DUE PROCESS. CONSPIRACY. DEPRIVATION AGAINST RIGHTS

1 This is a complained action for the deprivation of rights, conspiracy against rights and denial of petitioner privileges and immunities afforded from Article 4, sec. 2 Constitution of the United States Denied. Defendant violated RICO and State and Federal Law i.e. 42 USC 1973; 18 USC 2314 - "Trafficking Stolen Goods", Anderson v. United States 411 US 211(1974); 18 USC 241, 242; ARA 7-1-103; AR5-53-131; 52 USCA 10301.

2 Brian R. Beers per business card is a resident of Dallas, TX. Phillip Carter, Govemor are residents of Arkansas and all are being sued separately in their official and individual capacities.

3 Governor was responsible for supervising the training, instruction, discipline, and conduct of PHILLIP CARTER including, but not limited to training. instruction, discipline, control, and conduct concerning jurisdiction, Constitution of Arkansas provisions for PROTECTING Citizens rights to not be profiled and have their personal and business property stolen without any Court order, order.

4 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, STATE OF ARKANSAS. was responsible for instituting policy for the entering persons property which includes. but not limited to, setting policy guidelines for training for officers to enter persons property, take property out of premises with only a wartant where petitioner was not convicted to be subject to such behavior. Who told Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter to go into petitioners business and take his property since no warrant issued to allow them to act, and display behavior to do that? Who told Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter to steal and enter property falsely what amounts to a "Federal Crime" is evidence of a "Policy" and "Custom" to do this.

5 The established policy has created a custom to enter any person or Citizens property that is free in enjoyment of right to travel, not be seized in Arkansas for any reason. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; STATE OF ARKANSAS is responsible for the established climate and custom that allows Brian R. Beers. Phillip Carter to use deliberate unreasonable AUTHORITY overstepping the State LAW \& FEDERAL LAW for personal satisfaction because they were told to do such. in violating the 42 USC 1983. 1985 and denying Petitioner access the $4^{\text {th }}$ Amendment because petitioner is exercising his free enjoyment of the Constitution of the United States privilege, in connection with the Constitution of Arkansas.

6 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; is a political subdivisions of the United States of America.

7 Under 18 USC 241, 242; ARA 7-1-103; AR5-53-131; 42 USC 1983, 1985, at all times pertinent, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; STATE OF ARKANSAS; was responsible for providing and maintaining advocacy of unlawful acts by groups or individuals against other persons or groups, in this case, by Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter directly or indirectly allowed, authorized the provoking and inciting damage, creating false records. created false and misteading public notification database on petitioner, which action is not constitutionally protected, poses a threat to public order and Constitution of Arkansas, Constitution of the United States and 18 USC 241, 242; ARA 7-1-103; AR5-53-131; 42 USC 1983, 1985 inter alia, and should be subject to criminal sanctions; Also, the deliberate and willful intention of Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter to conduct behavior by depriving petitioners Right.

8 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; STATE OF ARKANSAS has final policy making authority regarding the provisions on deprivation of rights and conspiracy against rights inter alia.

9 Defendants Governor and Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter are residents of Arkansas At all times Governor and Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter were duly elected and hired and acting directors of DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; STATE OF ARKANSAS.

10 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; STATE OF ARKANSAS has allowed Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter to perform the entering persons PROPERTY without authority, train workers, set policy, which has established certain customs that violate the Constitution of Arkansas and Constitution of the United States. By creating injury to the 42 USC 1983, 1985; Article 4, sec. 2 Constitution of the United States inter alia.

11 At all times pertinent, Governor. Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter conspired, as a group, had a meeting of the minds. possessing the power to allow petitioner to be safe by depriving his rights and conspired against his rights or stop the established train of abuse committed by Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter who was suppose to allow any Citizen un deprived right nor conspire against that right unto petitioner. They carry this authority to make sure all of the orders. rules. instructions. policies and regulations promulgated are with the meaning of 18 USC 241, 242; ARA 7-1-103; AR5-53-131; 42 USC 1983, 1985 inter alia. They failed to stop the action that caused this complaint. because they knew before hand thus verifying and perpetuating the violations listed and to be discussed or laid out.

## FEDERAL TRAFFICKING VIOLATION

1 Brian R. Beers transported, transmitted, or transferred in interstate or foreign commerce petitioners goods, wares, merchandise, securities, of the value of S5,000 or more, knowing the same to have been stolen, converted or taken by fraud.

2 Brian R. Beers, devised a scheme or artifice to defraud, to obtain property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations. or promises. by his transports or causes to be transported, or induces Brian R. Beers or persons to travel in, or to be transported in interstate or foreign commerce in the execution or concealment of a scheme or artifice to defraud petitioner and property having a value of $S 5.000$ or more.

3 He Brian but petitioner did nothing because there waster for petitioner to come get the property he stole, property in the first place.

4 Brian R. Beers knowing the same to have been stolen, converted or taken by fraud-
Shall be fined under Feral Law or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both Shall be fined under Federal Law or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both.

## DELIBERATE DISREGARD OF STATE LAW

## 5 requisile AR 7-5-807(D),

6 There was no finding of guilt per AR 7-5-808 that would allow the actions taken by BRIAN R. BEERS, PHILLIP CARTER, who should have known or knew that you cannot take property or entet property without a charge and court order. But did so. on his own as STATE meeting of the minds and conspirats, OR that Governor knew or should have known, to conduct a against another State Representative, that is tre phone calls to a State Representative and conspire republics.

7 Nor did Brian R. Beers. Phillip Carter pursuant to Article 5 sec 9 file a complaint after Fred Smith returned to his govermment seat in regards to the business of his constituents AR 7.5. 805 or Constitution of Arkansas and Arkansas arrest procedures.

WHEREFORE petitioner, demands judgment against the defendants, jointly and severally, as follows:
a.) As compensatory damages, the sum of $\$$, for each defendant; Department of Education $\$$
b.) As punitive damages on Brian Beers, Phillip Carters actions, in the sum of \$

Dollars for each Defendants willful, arbitrary and negligent action in treble damages, for stealing, and breaking and entering.
c.) Exemplary and emotional damages be imposed for the petitioner's emotional distress in restitution for his economic losses in his business - "Save Our Kids" that has been terminated, in the sum of $\$$ Dollars by each defendant,
d.) Attorneys' fees imposed in prosecuting this action pursuant to the Defendants actions;
e.) And that other such further relief as to the Court deems proper.

Former Representative
Fred Smith


# AFHTDAVIT 

From: Former State Representative Frederick Smith - Arkansas

# Vs. <br> US Department of Education 1999 BRYAN ST. HARWOOD CENTER SUITE 1440 Dallas, TX 75201 BRIAN BEERS SPECIAL AGENT 1999 BRYAN ST. HARWOOD CENTER SUITE 1440 Dallas, TX 75201 PHLLLPP CARTER Former City Council 205 S. Redding St. West Memphis, AR 72301 

## BURGLARY, TRESSPASS, THEFT OF PROPERTY AND <br> ILLEGAL ENTERING, TRAFFICKING STOLEN GOODS

COMES NOW; Petitioner former State Democratic Representative who was abused by the dernocrats of Arkansas to now complain to the Judiciary Committee to show of criminal actions done to a then State Representative, by a Department of Education officials who unlawfully and illegally entered the business of petitioner without a warrant to search and stole property in violation of $4^{\text {th }}$ Amendment of the Constitution of the United States and Arkansas Law.

## FACTS

1 It has been established that, Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter participated in the smearing of public vistas of petitioner, damaging the good name of petitioner, by entering the property without a warrant to search or arrest or anything just went in because petitioner was involved in another situation regarding taking a seat as State rep for Arkansas.
2. Petitioner has never been 'sentenced' to become a 'fugitive from justice' or 'criminal' to allow Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter to enter the property of petitioner and take such property without cause, just took it is egregious, criminal and wicked for a State Official and Government Special Agent to conduct themselves in theft, robbery, trespass and tort to the highest degree. Defendants Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter devoted a certain amount of energy to destroy the character, integrity, good name of Fred Smith without cause that a reasonable person would be able to rationalize to the effect of the deliberate action in conspiracy with other State officials and from personal biased \& prejudice when no crime by Fred Smith was committed.

3 Defendant Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter gained advantage from, SPECIAL AGENT who he met with in secret, to discuss the demise of Fred Smith's good name. They conspired to do so from prejudice and biasness where they disregarded justice, the law, the rules of law, the ethics of jobs \& duties, the Constitution of Arkansas, and
finally complete disregard for the Constitution of the United States and petitioners Article 4, Sec. 2 Privileges \& Iminunities.

4 As a Officer of the State being a State Representative, it is petitioners comprehension that if Fred Smith was guilty of any wrong doing, whatsoever, a "investigation" was to be conducted to determine if any 'criminal' charges were to be sought where Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter could lawfully enter petitioner property by " 4 " ${ }^{\text {h }}$ amendment warrant" from a judge ruling as to Fred Smith's behavior. Instead of make a legal determination under color of law and process a color of law entry into the property of petitioner, simply to abuse Fred Smith.

5 Article 1 sec .13 of the Arkansas Constitution states: Every person is entitled to a certain remedy in the laws for all injuries or wrongs he may receive in his person. property or character: he ought to obtain justice freely, and without purchase; completely, and without denial; promptly and without delay; conformably to the law. Defendant Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter who on their own without a order to go into the property when there was and is no court order allowing them to just obtain keys 10 enter such property because Phillip Carte was a State Representative and Brian $R$. Beers was a Special Agent is a egregious ahuse of authority and law.

6 Since Defendant Brian R. Beers. Phillip Carter did this on his own with instruction of "Willful Blindness" of the Secretary of State/Chairman Democratic Party and Govemor [former] to take Fred Smith down was the communication discussed between the parties aforementioned to violate the Constitution of Arkansas; Article 4, sec. 2 Constitution of the United States; laws of Arkansas and even the "Policy and Custom" for entering persons into the NCIC.

7 Fred Smith by Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter direct action where the media who slandered Fred Smith by reporting he was convicted and had a copy of his picture in the news i.e. Photo by Staton Breidenthal article by Sarah D. Wire of the "Arkansas Democratic Gazette".
$8 \quad$ Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter stole property out of the office of petitioners business "Save Our Kids", without regard for any law, just did it by orders of the former Govemor and present Secretary of State.

## RESPONDENT SUPERIOR

1 Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter, DEPARTMENT OF FEDUCATION are persons within the meaning of 42 USC 1983, 1985.

2 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION is not entitled to $11^{\text {th }}$ amendment immunity because it is local in nature. DEPARMENT OF EDUCATION is not entitled to sovereign immunity because of the purchase of insurance in bond and otherwise.

3 Article 4, section 2 Constitution of the United States provides petitioner with a privilege \& immunity, to be free to obtain and conduct business without being targeted from Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter et al, to enter his business property and just take all office equipment from no court order is criminal.

4 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION has delegated its policing operations to BRIAN R. BEERS who has recruited PHILLIP CARTER former State Representative with no responsibility for, and final policy making authority regarding the provision of ENTERING persons property to take office equipment and enter private property without a warrant, and take such equipment by allowing Brian R. Beers, 10 perform this function for DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION without interference or correction.

5 DEPARMENT OF EDUCATION has delegated its INVESTIGATING operations to BRIAN R. BEERS who has delegated final policy making authority regarding the taking of property from businesses, entering business property without warrant, to Brian R. Beers, and jurisdictional action, by allowing Brian R. Beers, to perform aspects of this function without interference or correction. He has recruited Phillip Carter who participated in this theft, robbery, trespass, that is criminal.

6 The policy making decisions of DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION with regard to the provision of ENTERING persons PRIVATE property and stealing are within the jurisdictional bounds of DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION are imputed to Brian R. Beers.

7 The policy making decisions mentioned of Brian R. Beers including those imputed to Phillip Carter AS State Representative - STATE OF ARKANSAS are imputed to Phillip Carter by STATE OF ARKANSAS [indirectly] by Govemor MIKE BEBE [at time of alleged action] are imputed and serve to bind both, STATE OF ARKANSAS, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION and Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter directly or indirectly.

8 lt is the official policy of STATE OF ARKANSAS and DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION to deny citizens the right to be free upon the land of United States and be targeted by a State Official without law is forced slavery, violation of the Constitution of Arkansas, and Constitution of the United States too.

9 These actions committed have become widespread to recognize the quality of custom or usage in Arkansas \& UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. The official duty of final policy makers of STATE OF ARKANSAS and DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION inter alia, to be informed of custom or usage and, such policy makers had manifest opportunities to be informed.

10 The actual or constructive knowledge of the existence of this custom or usage and intentionally or with deliberate indifference, failed to correct or stop the practices and thus condoned $i$. This condonement may fairly be attributed in part to DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION and STATE OF ARKANSAS, and part to BRIAN R. BEERS and PHILLIP CARTER.

11 The actions committed deprived petitioner of his Constitutional Privileges and Immunities to adequate allowance of Constitutional Right to not seize his property without a "Warrant" anywhere without law is furtherance of an official policy, custom or usage of STATE OF ARKANSAS, and DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, and such official policy, custom, or usage was direct and proximate cause of such deprivation.

12 GOVERNOR is not entitled to qualified, sovereign immunity because of the purchase of "Bond Insurance".

13 Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter in their official capacities are persons within the meaning of 42 USC 1983.

14 Such conduct poses a pervasive and unreasonable risk of Constitutional violations and injurysuch are the violations here; to petitioner. Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter had actual constructive knowledge given to him from state officers, who knew or should have known having a meeting of the minds Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter and Governor all decided to engage in conduct that posed pervasive and unreasonable risk of Constitutional violations and injury to petitioner.

15 The deliberate indifference to, or tacit authorization of, such unconstitutional conduct shows the response of actual or constructive knowledge of this pervasive and unreasonable risk of Constitutional violation and injury to petitioner.

16 A reasonable person in the position of defendants would have known that his or her actions violated petitioner's Article 4, section 2 Constitutional Privileges and immunities along with certain state statutory provisions.
17 GOVERNOR is liable under the law of Arkansas for such actions of Phillip Carter based on the doctrine of respondent superior,

18 Treble damages are entitled to be given to petitioner for such wrong or injury pursuant to Arkansas Law.

19 Petitioner is entitled to recover damages against SIATE OF ARKANSAS, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION bonds' pursuant to Arkansas law and Laws of the United States.

20 Defendants' conduct was willful or wanton in that it constituted the conscious and intentional distegard of, and indifference to, the privileges and immunities, of petitioner, which said defendants knew, or should have known, was reasonably likely to result in injury, damage, or IRREPARABLE harm.

## DEPRIVING DUE PROCESS. CONSPIRACY. DEPRIVATION AGAINST RIGHTS

1 This is a complained action for the deprivation of rights, conspiracy against rights and denial of petitioner privileges and immunities afforded from Article 4, sec. 2 Constitution of the United States Denied. Defendant violated RICO and State and Federal Law i.e. 42 USC 1973; 18 USC 2314 - "Trafficking Stolen Goods", Anderson v. United States 411 US 211(1974); 18 USC 241. 242; ARA 7-1-103; AR5-53-131; 52 USCA 10301.

2 Brian R. Beers per business card is a resident of Dallas, TX. Phillip Carter, Governor are residents of Arkansas and all are being sued separately in their official and individual capacities.

3 Governor was responsible for supervising the training, instruction, discipline, and conduct of PHILLIP CARTER including, but not limited to training. instruction, discipline, control, and conduct concerning jurisdiction, Constitution of Arkansas provisions for PROTECTING Citizens rights to not be profiled and have their personal and business property stolen without any Court order, order.

4 DEPARTMENT OF EDU̇CATION, STATE OF ARKANSAS, was responsible for instituting policy for the entering persons property which includes, but not limited to, setting policy guidelines for training for officers to enter persons property, take property out of premises with only a warrant where petitioner was not convicted to be subject to such behavior. Who told Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter to go into petitioners business and take his property since no warrant issued to allow them to act, and display behavior to do that? Who told Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter to steal and enter property falsely what amounts to a "Federal Crime" is evidence of a "Policy" and "Custom" to do this.

5 The established policy has created a custom to enter any person or Citizens property that is free in enjoyment of right to travel, not be seized in Arkansas for any reason. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; STATE OF ARKANSAS is responsible for the established climate and custom that allows Brian R: Beers, Phillip Carter to use deliberate unreasonable AUTHORITY overstepping the State LAW \& FEDERAL L.AW for personal satisfaction because they were told to do such, in violating the 42 USC 1983. 1985 and denying Petitioner access the $4^{\text {th }}$ Amendment because petitioner is exercising his free enjoyment of the Constitution of the United States privilege, in connection with the Constitution of Arkansas.

6 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; is a political subdivisions of the United States of America.

7 Under 18 USC 241, 242; ARA 7-1-103; AR5-53-131; 42 USC 1983, 1985, at all times pertinent, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; STATE OF ARKANSAS; was responsible for providing and maintaining advocacy of unlawful acts by groups or individuals against other persons or groups, in this case, by Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter directly or indirectly allowed, authorized the provoking and inciting damage, creating false records, created false and misleading public notification database on petitioner, which action is not constitutionally protected, poses a threat to public order and Constitution of Arkansas, Constitution of the United States and 18 USC 241, 242; ARA T-1-103; AR5-53-131; 42 USC 1983, 1985 inter alia, and should be subject to criminal sanctions; Also, the deliberate and willful intention of Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter to conduct behavior by depriving petitioners Right.

8 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; STATE OF ARKANSAS has final policy making authority regarding the provisions on deprivation of rights and conspiracy against rights inter alia.

9 Defendants Governor and Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter are residents of Arkansas. At all times Governor and Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter were duly elected and hired and acting directors of DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; STATE OF ARKANSAS.

10 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; STATE OF ARKANSAS has allowed Brian R: Beers, Phillip Carter to perform the entering persons PROPERTY without authority, train workers, set policy, which has established certain customs that violate the Constitution of Arkansas and Constitution of the United States. By creating injury to the 42 USC 1983, 1985; Article 4, sec. 2 Constitution of the United States inter alia.

11 At all times pertinent, Govemor, Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter conspired, as a group, had a meeting of the minds, possessing the power to allow petitioner to be safe by depriving his rights and conspired against his rights or stop the established train of abuse committed by Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter who was suppose to allow any Citizen un deprived right nor conspire against that right unto petitioner. They carry this authority to make sure all of the orders, rules, instructions, policies and regulations promulgated are with the meaning of 18 USC 241, 242; ARA 7-1-103; AR5-53-131; 42 USC 1983, 1985 inter alia. They failed to stop the action that caused this complaint, because they knew before hand thus verifying and perpetuating the violations listed and to be discussed or laid out.

## FEDERAL TRAFFICKING VIOLATION

1 Brian R. Beers transported, transmitted, or transferred in interstate or foreign commerce petitioners goods, wares, merchandise, securities, of the value of $\$ 5,000$ or more, knowing the same to have been stolen, converted or taken by fraud.

2 Brian R. Beers, devised a scheme or artifice to defraud, to obtain property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, by his transports or causes to be transported, or induces Brian R. Beers or persons to travel in, or to be transported in interstate or foreign commerce in the execution or concealment of a scheme or artifice to defraud petitioner and property having a value of $\$ 5,000$ or more.

3 He Brian R. Beers sent a letter years after for petitioner to come get the property he stole, but petitioner did nothing because there was no search warrant for Brian R. Beers to take the property in the first place.

4 Brian R. Beers knowing the same to have been stolen, converted or taken by fraudShall be fined under Federal Latv or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both.

## DELIBERATE DISREGARD OF S'TATE LAW

5 Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter did not seek a copy of a grand jury indictment per prerequisite AR 7-5-807(D).

6 There was no finding of guilt per AR 7-5-808 that would allow the actions taken by BRIAN R. BEERS, PHLLIP CARTER, who should have known or knew that you cannot take property or enter property without a charge and court order. But did so, on his own as STATE OFFICER, recruit conspirators, OR that Governor knew or should have known, to conduct a meeting of the minds and devote time to make phone calls to a State Representative and conspire against another State Representative, that is treason against United States and Arkansas State, republics.

7 Nor did Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter pursuant to Article. 5 sec. 9 file a complaint after Fred Smith returned to his government seat in regards to the business of his constituents AR 7-5805 or Constitution of Arkansas and Arkansas arrest procedures.

## BREACH OF SECURITY AND MISCONDUCT ON DUTY

1 The actions of Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter caused petitioner to be placed into a National Criminal base for no reason whatsoever, authority, which cause irreparable
harm to petitioner without cause is clear and concise of an official policy to damage petitioner.

2 Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter together met and discussed how and when to enter petitioners property without a warrant and search it and take all the property and examine it without warrant and just violate petitioner because he is African American.

3

4 Brian R. Beers, Phillip Caster violated the proper levels of access for every transaction where he entered property in violation of the $4^{\text {th }}$ amendment of the Constitution of the United States from instructions of their policy and custom of the DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION.

5 There was no search warrant issued because a FOIA request for it was done and a letter was returned stating "...we cannot provide you with that...."

## PROPERTY STOLEN:

Epson Work Force Printer/Copier Model C365A[serial number: MJLY007678]; Blackberry Cell Phone 9630, PESN HEX: 80767015, SIM Card\#8901010008230119739F; TDK CD-R80; Note Sheet; SanDisk 2GB Thumb drive, SCDZ36-002G, PNY2GB-Ghumb give THNU!)HAORA00; Compaq Presario SR1313CL, Product\#P5513AA, Serial CNY 4520 GB; Multiple boxes of records, states the following:

This 17
$\qquad$ day of


ARKANSAS STATE
COUNTY OF


I Bern, es Marshall. a notary public state, Frederick Smith has Sworn and
Subscribe before me byWIINESS of my hand this $17^{\text {th }}$ day of


2017 Bens Marshall

My Commission Expires $07 / 25 / 20 / 8$

## UNITED STATES DEPARTAENT OF EDUCATION




Date: March 31, 2015

## Fred Smith

7601 Broker Hickory D:
Der-Mr. Smita:




1. Epson Work Force Printea/Copier Model C365A (Sim still waiting on a search watran
2. Bleckoery Celi Phore 9630, PESN HEX: 80767015 SUUCd by al Judye, ifnot a search Mecro SD-C016 80767015 , SLM Cari $\$ 8901010008230119759 F$,
3. IDK CD-R80 (祭zbeled)
4. Note Sheet (includes passwort) Warrant, that will be theft of property ytaking property across stateline, without consent
5. Compac Presario SR1313CL, ProducteP5513AAR, Serial=C.YT4520HGB

- Murizle bexes of recoris

Tre items listed abore need to be returned or destoyed. If you would the to have some or all
of the items retursed to you please call (214) 661-9528. If you co not contact this ofice within
Sincerely,

## xat

Brian R. Beesis
Speciel Agent
of Edicen $S$ Theation office of Education office of Inspecter Cermatal

[^0]
# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT <br> EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS <br> OFFICE OF THE CLERK <br> 600 WEST CAPITOL AVENUE <br> Room A149 <br> LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS 72201 

JAMES WV. MCCORMACK
PHONE 501-604-5351
CLERK
FAX 501-604-5321

October 4, 2016

Mr. Fred Smith
Post Office Box 303
Crawfordsville, Arkansas 72327
Re: Request for Information
Dear Mr. Smith:
This confirms your request for information concerning a search warrant. I am unable to locate a search warrant case based on the information provided. There are no public records avaiłable for a search warrant issued for 2422 East Broadway in West Memphis, Tennessee. in 2012. Please provide a ease number for additional information. Thank you.


Enciosure
cc: James McCormack, Clerk of Court

Kathleen S. Tighe or Brian R. Beers or<br>Authorized Person<br>Director Office of Information and Privacy<br>Department of Justice<br>$10^{*} \&$ Constitution NTW<br>Washington, D.C. 20530

Subject: Freedom of Information Act "FOIA" request
Dear Citizen Worker;
Thisis is a reques infler Freedom of Information Act. I request that a copy of the followigrdocuments be provided to me, without delay or the atached item list showing:

1 Who filed complaint to enter property to seize items?
2 Was there a warrant if so, provide a copy.
3 What Judge signed the warrant if any or order to enter property?
4 Names of the persons who actually entered the property, building and office.
5 Who let the officers into the building in the first instance, and did he sign anything for letuing them in, and is that person the owner of the building at that time.

I request a waiver of all fees for this request.
Disclosure of the requested information to me is in the puolie interest, because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations and activines of the federaf-goyemment-andisnot-primarity in my commereiat interest.

See also 5 USC 552(a)(4)(B). Stames conferring original jurisdiction on federal district courts must be strictly construed.

If you are not the correct person to whom this FOIA request should be directed, please forward it without delay to the correct person(s).

Thank you for your timely consideration of this FOIA request.
Fred Smith
Box 303
C-awfordsville, Arkansas 72327
July 20, 2015

2252010.

- wwwarcountydata.com

FIFTEENTH STREET CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRISPBAGAT
ARCountyData.com smith, was leasing to this 1. Fred building sta church now a man, om of this 15 th ix know councilman, my
don city con nd open and
out of now let of , was ne ard


Building Section \#:
Business Name:
Location:
Total SF:
Stories:
Year Built:
Effective Age:
Occupancy: my pitied, or

| Code | Description | Class | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 426 | Day Care Center | C-2 |  |




Legal Description:
School District:
Improvement Districts:
Homestead Parcel?:
Tax Status:
Over 65 ?:


DRALNAGE DIST, ROAD DIST 9, LEVEE DIST


Need a report for your business?
Subscriber accounts can print sales, land usage, parcel details, parcel history, valuations, mailing labels and
Sign Up Now

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

July 29, 2015

## Mr. Fred Smith

Box 303
Crawfordsville, Arkansas 72327
Dear Mr. Smith,






## Arkansas Blog

- Conviction of animal cruelty report...
and guilty plea in bribery case over state mental health semices Posted By Max Erantle; on Thu, Sep 17, 2015 a: 12:14 FM

Arkansas Business reports here on a federal court filing Wednesday that pleaded guilty to a bribery scheme to help a mental health contr
Services.
It Phillis Carter, a former West Memphis councilman 19 juvenile probe

 Services.
conspiring to bribe 5 leven Jones, a former jegistatormom Marian aras us DHS officiavannaspleaded


 Behavioral Heath Serves, on known as the 2 arch - and a stat fore counseling pins yare cut off from stater Piourbant ky Medicaid after the investigaic game public.

 Macy sad he took campaign orations to influ neg his decision to rede? judgment in a nursing
 from nursing horifewner Michael Mortar for Maggio's carping hose of many other judges, including
 charged with anything gerventin the Maggio cinch farm both have saiph ai done nothing for mg.


 for compliance with rulaspertaining to residential merca. hath facilities
 Here's the federal charge filed asci, -arter, wismata the schemers
The charge details a four-year bribery schempanndering payne writhe health care company through the unnamed pastor's church, to be turned over tan* Le Rome garments to participants. Jones providectinformation useful to the health care company's business about linknargaternat DK.
 Memphis restaurant hen Jones left the table. C. outer put the check i his Jock.

Nems/Activism Topics - Post Article

## Skip to torrents.

Ark. State Rep. Hudson Hallum pleads guilty in absentee vote fraud case; resigns from legislature
Arkansas Times A / Pied, Sep 5,2012 at 3:15 PM $/$ Posted by MarBrantley
Posted on 9/5/2012 5:20:45 PM by DezconBealamin


HUDSON HALLUM: Pleads guilty in election food reigns from of ice.
The U.S. attorney's office has amnoyzedrafour men, including Dem cyanic state Reg fugs inallum of
 last year. The case charges any il bundled absentee b, 4 C
All four appeared today in federal court and were reserved on their over recognisance. Therolese were Hathor's. father, Kent Halm, a car dealer, WestMargis police officezSBabore and We wedaphis City

# Heres ste indemext 


 to participate. They idenifesifesple likelyto pot bosentee, based opazy elections, and ananget 6 ave absentee ballots mailed. They tracked the morns of mating and competing the ballots af f pain voters in cash ard other ways for Hath votes, rs aschecking to sesporithe, voted. In one genet 20 was provided for a "family meal" for eight Ballots for pporeni Kin F uk were destroyed. They bisolconspired to evade the kw that limits the member of absentee ballots a bearer nitty possess. Hallie who wo the runoff by 8 votes, got 394 of his 380 votes by absentee vote. Hallmam enif mailed bundles of the absentee votes his group had collected. The group also purchased half pints of vodka to distribute on election day. When questions were raised about the absentee votes, the Hallum group paid $\$ 25$ each to absent voters to anim their votes for Hallum at an Election Commission hearing The voters came from Distr 54, which inchides West Memphis, Marion, Earle,
:"and Tumren, Arkansas, as weil as other nural areas of Ciritenden Coumiy.
Said the indictment
On or about May 22, 2011. PHD.IIR WAME CARTER discussed the HALLUM campaign's absentee ballot strategy with an individual known to the Attorney for the United States and stated, "Folk gonna vate for whoever pay thern."

Here's the news release on the case. Jare Drike led the investigation and was quote::
"The most fundamental rights we enjoy as American citizens inchude the ability to vote and, if we so choose, to nur for elected office. In a nation in which every person's vote matters, protecting the integrity of the electoral process from those who seek to win office by cheating the system is critical. Voter fraud schemes such as that carried out in the 2011 District 54 race have the devastating effect of eroding public confidence in elected officials and disenfranchising voters," said Duke.

Members of the House Democratic caucus received this e-mail from Hallum's address before the amoursemen:
Hello everyone,
It is with deep regret that I am sending this message out to each of you today. This afternoon I am going to plead guilty to federal charges stemming from an investigation into my special election. I took some bad advice that led to some bad decisions on my part. I am going to stand up and accept full
responsibility for my actions. I am truly sorry because I know this news will have an effect on everyone's upcoming race. I would give anything to be able to change what happened but unfortunately. I can not undo the past. Please accept my apologies and if any needs to contact me my number is 9013015650 . It has been the greatest honor of my life to serve with each of you and our state is a better place for what you have done

Sicerely,
Hudson Hallhma
ChiefParamedic, Criterden EMS
Stare Representative (D-Marion)
A Democratic Pary spokesuran confrned to me that Halhm resigned from office today. Cardace Martin issued this statement:

We are disappointed by the actions taken by Rep. Hallum. The sanctity of our elections and the rights of voters to see that every vote is counted fairly and responsibly are some of the basic, fundamental liberties of our democracy. No threat to those liberties can or should be endured. Hudson̆ Hallum is taking responsiblity for his actions and we hope that will help resolve things in a way to see that such activities will never be tolerated.

Sentercing will come hater after pre-senterice reports. The maximun statutory perahy for the conspracy charge is 5 years mprisoment piss a potential fire of $\$ 250,000$. The statements so far make no mention of irducements
U.S. Department of Justice

United States Attorney
Eastern District of Arkansas

EOR IMNEDIATE RELEASE
September 5, 2012

CONTACT: Jane W. Duke,
Attorney for the United States, Acting Under Authority Conferred by 28 U.S.C. 5515
$.501-340-2650$

## FOUR CRITTENDEN COUNTY MEN CHARGED WITH COXSPIRICY TO COMIIT ELECTIONFRALD

## State Legislator and Three Others Enter Guilt pleas

Little Rock-Jane W, Duke, Aromey for the Sor Sazes, Acting Under artis
 Randall C. Coleman amounced the viaver of if fiok ont and filing of a for formation caarging four Crittenden Coufy men vith Ghliracy to commit forict flaud Tris is the firs: keown use of the Travel At to ting ouz 复es for vote-buying by noly local election.

Tee individuals cetged incluce Hudson Hfar , State Representative for Distict 54, his fatheraknthallo West Memonis City Coucc naa Philip Wayne Carter: and Wes. Mempois
 pifop harge cocrained in the iforn Lon. In doing so, eacin acknowledged kis parmipetion in a constracy Poribe voters to infinence absectee votes ir tice Arkansas Discrict 54 primary, its rundfel eldend the general election, all of winich wera held between Februazy and July 2011. At th tim of the e'ections, District 54 incluced Wiest Memphis, Marion, Earle, ącTurell. Arkansas, as well as ofige rual ateas of Crittenden County.

Ina mos: findamenai rights we emjoy as American cizzens inciude tie zbility to vote ade, if we so ckoose, to run for elected office. In a nation in which every person's vote maters, protecting the irteg ity of tha electoral process from those who seek to win office by cheating the system is critical. Voter froud schemes such as that carried out in the 2011 District 34 race have the devastating effect oferoding public confidence ir elected oficials and disentranchising voters, " said Duixe.

## UNIIED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERY DISTRICT OF ARKAVYAS

UNIIED STATES OF AMERICA
v.

HUDSON HALLUM;



## DFORMATIOY

THEATTORNEYFOR THE CNITEDST COMFERRED BY 28 U.S.C. § 515 CHARGES, ACTIN゙GUGDER-AUTHORTYY THAT:

## COLNT 1

## CRTVEL ACT CONSPIRACXO

A. Factual Bacigground

1. On or about Jaruary 26, 2011, the state representative for District 54 of the At'sarses House of Represertanives resiguted tis seat. Accordingiy, a special primary election to fill the sear was sckeduled to take place in April 2011. At the time, Arkansas District 54 includee Wiest Memphis, Marion, Earie, azd Turell, Arkarsas, as weil as otaer rura' areas of Critenden Courty, Arkazsas.

- 2. Along with ctiers, HUDSON HALLUM ceclared his candidacy as a Democrat ir
 ceadidate obtained the required majority of votes in the April 20, 2011 special primary election, a seccia! primaty nanof election took place on May 10,2011

3. A special general electicn toek place on July 12, 2011.
4. KENTHIIIUM, zather of HUDSONHALLUN, ma-aged the finsoces and certain
U.S. District Court

Eastern District of Arkannsas
James W. McCormack
600 West Capital Ave.
Room Al49
Little Rock, AR72201.
Kathleen S. Tighe or Brian R. Beers or :
Authorized Person
Director Office of Information and Privacy
Départment of Justice.. :
$10^{\text {tif }}$ \& Constitution NW
Washingtor, D.C. 20530.
Subject: Freedom of Information Act "FOIA" request

## Dear Citizen Worker,

This is a request under Freeciom of Information Act. I request that a copy of the
following documents be provided to me, without delay on the attached item list showing:
1 Who filed complaint to enter property to seize items?
2 . Was there a warrant if so, provide a copy. .
3 What Judge signed the warrant if any or order to enter property?
4 Names of the persons who actually entered the property, building and office.
5 Who let the officers into the building in the first instance, and did he sign anything for letting them int, and is that person the owner of the building at that. time.

I request a waiver of all fees for this request..
Disclosure of the requested information to me is in the public interest, because it is likely to coniribute significantly to public understanding of the operations and activities of the federalgovemment, and is-not-primeriby in-myeommercial-interest.

See also 5 USC 552(a)(4)(B). Statues conferring original jurisdiction on federal district courts must be strictly construed.

If you are not the correct person to whom this FOIA request should be directed, please forward it without delay to the correct person(s).

Thank you for your timely consideration of this FOIA request.


Fred Smofin all Rights Resery without Prejudice $\quad$ Sept. 27.2016

## STATRE OHAPTKANSAS

To All to Whom These Presents Shall Come-Greeting: Know Ye, That Whereas, It appears that Fred Smith was duly elected State Representative District 50 in and for the State of Arkansas, at an election held on the sixth day of November, Two Thousand Twelve.

## Therefore, I, Mike Beebe, Governor of the State of

 Arkansas, in the name and by authority of the people of the State of Arkansas, vested in me by the Constitution and the laus of said State do hereby commission the aforenamed to the office of
## State Representative District 50

 in and for the st dte of Arkansas for and during the term prescribed byiti lewof of State,橉 $\mathrm{F}^{2}$.
Fred Smitheiss, itherefore hereby authorized to do and perform all ond singular the duties incumbent upon the office of State Representative District 50 in and for the State of Arkansas, according to law and the trust
reposed in said office. salid office.

## In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto

 set my hand and caused the Great Seal of the State of Arkansas to be affited at Little Rock, this fourteenth day of January, in the year of Oirr Lord, Tivo Thousand Thirteer.

Mikc Beebe, Governor
Mark Martin
statement of: Frederic Smiting
DOB: $\qquad$

$$
m / s M
$$

$\qquad$
B

Date: Supt 202016 Rage: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Case file : $\qquad$ Complaint \#:


On May 29,2012 , Brian R. Bps, an af officials enter into my building, that was open by a city ounuciomer name PHillip Carter, to allow Brian Beers and of figal to take. Such property without cause, I Fred Smith was the tenant of the building, I leasing this building through $15^{\text {th }}$ church, to this dol I don't know how a west memphis city connsitman, rome out of nowtare and open my door and let offices lake my property. I balleve Brian Beers, enter $m_{y}$ property, if a 111 egal Entering, Theft if property, furglary, Nowthave information, and sworn affirlavit,
$\qquad$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

I have written this statement consisting of $\qquad$ page (s) and I affirm to the truth and accuracy of the Facts, contained therein.


FORM: WMD S-003 STATEMENT FORM
Ark. West Memphis Police Depart:

MAR 022018
JAMES W. MC GORMACK, CLERK

## By:- ati eflemeyay owatr <br> <br> DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES <br> <br> DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS

 EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS}Frederick Smith

Vs.
Case:
PHILLIP CARTER - FBI; DOJ Informant 205 S. Redding St. West Memphis, AR 72301

- BRIAN BEERS SPECIAL AGENT 1999 BRYAN ST. HARWOOD CENTER SUITE 1440 Dallas, TX 75201

US Department of Education 1999 BRYAN ST. HARWOOD CENTER SUITE 1440 Dallas, TX 75201

# COMPLAINT <br> NO SEARCH WARRANT VIOLATION <br> BURGLARY, TRESSPASS, THEFT OF PROPERTY <br> AND <br> ILEGAL ENTERING, TRAFFICKING STOLEN GOODS 

COME NOW; Fred Smith herein asks the court to determine, rule on, make a legal determination if there was, is, a "Warrant" to enter the office of a State Representative.

## DISCUSSION

Former City Council member Phillip Carter was under the authority of the Eastern Division Little Rock Arkansas "Department of Justice" as an "Informant" who used to be a Correction Officer that went corrupt and was signed on to be a "Informant" for the FBI, and other Federal govermment agency to be the "bag man" for 'odd jobs' that were deemed important to the FBI, and other Federal government agencies. Phillip Carter was "recruited" by Brian Beers who got orders from the national office of "Department of Justice" - Little Rock, AR,:; where Brian Beers an agent of the Department of Education in Texas went into the office of a State Representative "without a warrant" and took all the property out of the office "without a warrant", transported the property across State lines, and then wrote a letter to petitioner to come get such property they took "with no warrant"; it is asked of this court to determine this "Fact", "Truth" by the "Evidence" that exist that petitioner was, is, never was convicted.

## FACTS

1 Brian Beers, Phillip Carter together did not seek a copy of a grand jury indictment per pre-requisite AR 7-5-807(D)with a Warrant to enter petitioners office.

2 There was no finding of guilt per AR 7-5-808 that would allow the actions taken by Brian Beers, Phillip Carter, who should have known or knew that you cannot just break into the office
of a State Representative without a warrant and take all the property out of that office without a wartant.

3 The brains of corrupt behavior is Brian Beers who recruited Phillip Carter, a Department of Justice FBI Informant, where the two of them Brian Beers Principal and Phillip Carter Participant superior to physically carry out the enterprises desires-DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, with principal Brian Beers, directing the players by his influence and comupt activity to enter the office of Fred Smith "Without a Warrant" which is illegal and unlawful and crush his character, good name that shocks the conscious, by stealing the office equipment, without a warrant of the $4^{\text {th }}$ amendment.

4 Nor did Brian Beers, Phillip Carter pursuant to Article 5 sec. 9 Constitution of Arkansas filed a complaint after Fred Smith returned to his government seat in regards to the business of his constituents AR 7-5-805 nor did they have a warrant to go into the office.

5 Phillip Carter \& Brian Beers violated AR 5-36-103 by deception that exercises unauthorized control over or makes an unauthorized transfer of an interest in the property of another person with the purpose of depriving the owner of the property.
A) Defendants deliberately adhered and participated in a conflict of interest that violates the Constitution of Arkansas, and petitioner Article 4, sec. 2 Constitution of the United States "privileges \& immunities" from having no warrant to enter the office of a State Rep. which violates the $4^{\text {th }}$ Amendment of the Constitution of the United States.
B) Defendants violated AR 5-51-205 where they "acted" unlawfully without a "Warrant" for seizure of petitioner's office and office equipment without cause violating AR 5-51-205.
C) Defendants knew or should have known that they may not use or hire an "informant" to break into the office of petitioner and take all of his personal effects in violation of the $4^{\text {th }}$ amendment, and take all the property out of this "office" without a Warrant violating AR 5-53-131.
D) Defendants made a legal determination without authority to do so, by recruiting Phillip Carter to assist them in taking property, breaking into, and illegal entering the private property of petitioner without a "Warrant" who violated AR 25-16-1101.
E) Brian Beers, Phillip Carter under the direction of the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, behaved with corruption in public office by stole his private property without a warrant that they knew or should have known they were violating AR 5-52-107. This corruption in public office has a history and long train of abuse because Phillip Carter et al always do it this way; this is why he has been being used for years to be the "Bag Man" for the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE and FBI et al.
F) Brian Beers et al actions comply with AR 5-53-131; 5-52-107; and influencing action by an "Unknown" FBI Agent to give orders to Brian Beers and Phillip Carter to violate State law and the $4^{\text {th }}$ Amendment of the Constitution of the United States to not secure a
"Warrant" to enter the office of a Government Official by the orders of some unknown person who violated AR 5-52-105.
G) The actions by Brian Beers et al were calculated, deliberate and frivolous in regards to Arkansas law. AR 5-52-107 Abuse \& Corruption in Office; AR 25-16-1102 Brian Beers et al was chosen and Brian Beers accepted the role; AR 5-53-106 Brian Beers et al committed a "Constitutional Breach" of the $4{ }^{\text {th }}$ Amendment to take property out of an Sitting State Representative Official office with "No Warrant".

## STATE TRAFFICKING LAW VIOLATION

1 Under Color of Law, Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter from orders of the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE transported, transmitted, or transferred in interstate or foreign commerce petitioners goods, wares, merchandise, securities, of the value of $\$ 5,000$ or more, knowing the same to have been stolen, converted or taken by fraud.

2 Under Color of Law, Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter from orders of the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, devised a scheme or artifice to obtain property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, by his transports or causes to be transported, or induces Brian R. Beers or persons to travel in, or to be transported in interstate or foreign commerce in the execution or concealment of a scheme or artifice to defraud petitioner and property having a value of $\$ 5,000$ or more.

3 Under Color of Law, He Brian R. Beers, from orders from the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE sent a letter years later, for petitioner to come get the property they stole, but they did not have a warrant to ascertain the property they were writing a letter to come get furthermore; they knew the property taken was done without a warrant by the letter to that says without saying "...We messed up, we had no warrant, so come get your property to get the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE off the hook" is absurd, they must go down,

4 Under Color of Law, Brian R. Beers knowing the same to have been stolen, converted or taken by fraud-Shall be fined under Federal Law or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both.

## DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Petitioner herein seeks a Declaratory Judgment and Injunctive Relief to the acts, behavior of Brian Beers, Phillip Carter, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Under Color of Law, who must show, verify what warrant they were given from a Judge in Crittenden County of Arkansas to
obtain such "Declaratory \& Injunction" to stop defendants and reverse all action by defendants and declare such actions were, are without a "Warrant" and authority.

1 Article 4, section 2 Constitution of the United States provides petitioner with a privilege \& immunity, to be free have a office and have office equipment without being targeted from a FBI informant, and Special Agent of the Department of Education, to have his office broken into and have his office equipment stolen without a $4^{\text {th }}$ amendment warrant, shocks the conscious that a Federal official agent would behave in such manner against a State Representative.

2 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION has delegated its policing operations to, Special Agent Brian Beets who has delegated its statutory responsibility for, and final policy making authority regarding the provision of ENTERING a State Congressman's office without authority, $4^{\text {th }}$ amendment warrant, by allowing a City Council Member Phillip Carter to perform this function for Department of Education without interference or correction.

3 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION has delegated its operations to Brian Beers who has delegated final policy making authority regarding the entering the office of a State Representative without permission, $4^{\text {th }}$ amendment warrant and jurisdictional action, by allowing City Council Member Phillip Carter to perform aspects of this function without interference or correction.

4 The policy making decisions of DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION with regard to the provision of ENTERING the office of a State Congressman are within the jurisdictional bounds of STATE OF ARKANSAS, Crittenden County and are imputed to Crittenden County Court.

5 The policy making decisions mentioned of DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION including those imputed to Special Agent Brian Beers by DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION are imputed to Crittenden County by Phillip Carter, are imputed and serve to bind both, Brian Beers, Phillip Carter to the jurisdiction of Crittenden County Court directly or indirectly in violation of ARA 7-1-103; AR5-53-131.

6 It is the official policy of DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, to steal a Sitting State Representatives private property by breaking into his office for no reason is forced slavery, violation of the Constitution of Arkansas, and Constitution of the United States too.

7 In the alternative, the manner in which CITY OF WEST MEMPHIS ARKANSAS City Council Member officials are trained, including the design and implementation of training programs and the follow-up supervision of trainees, is a matter of policy.

8 These actions committed have become widespread to recognize the quality of custom or usage in Department of Education. The official duty of final policy makers of DEPARTMENT OF

EDUCATION inter alia, to be informed of custom or usage and, such policy makers had manifest opportunities to be informed of Brian Beers, actions.

9 The actual or constructive knowledge of the existence of this custom or usage and intentionally or with deliberate indifference, failed to correct or stop the practices and thus condoned it. This condonement may fairly be attributed in part to STATE OF ARKANSAS and DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION and part to CITY OF WEST MEMPHIS.

10 The actions committed deprived petitioner of his Constitutional Privileges and Immunities to adequate allowance of Constitutional Right to not be seized anywhere without law is furtherance of an official policy, custom or usage of DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, and WEST MEMPHIS CITY COUNCIL, and such official policy, custom, or usage was direct and proximate cause of such deprivation.

11 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION are not entitled to qualified, sovereign immunity because of the purchase of "Bond Insurance".

12 Brian Beers in his official capacity is a person within the meaning of 42 USC 1983.

13 Such conduct poses a pervasive and unreasonable risk of Constitutional violations and injurysuch are the violations here; to petitioner. Phillip Carter a City Council Member got the keys from the Pastor who had actual constructive knowledge given to him from state officers, who knew or should have known having a meeting of the minds. Governors all decided to engage in conduct that posed pervasive and unreasonable risk of Constitutional violations and injury to petitioner.

14 The deliberate indifference to, or tacit authorization of, such unconstitutional conduct shows the response of actual or constructive knowledge of this pervasive and unreasonable risk of Constitutional violation and injury to petitioner.

15 A reasonable person in the position of defendants would have known that his or her actions violated petitioner's Article 4, section 2 Constitutional Privileges and immunities along with certain state statutory provisions.

16 Treble damages are entitled to be given to petitioner for such wrong or injury pursuant to Arkansas Law.

17 Defendants' conduct was willful or wanton in that it constituted the conscious and intentional disregard of, and indifference to, the privileges and immunities, of petitioner, which said
defendants knew, or should have known, was reasonably likely to result in injury, damage, or IRREPARABLE harm.

## VIOLATING THE $4^{\text {TH }}$ AMENDMENT

1 Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter together met and discussed how and when to enter petitioners property without a warrant and search it and take all the property and examine it without warrant and just violate petitioner because he is African American.
2 DEAPARTMENT OF EDUCATION failed to train, supervise, instruct, guide in the proper procedures of entering person's property. The aforementioned employer failed to instill and impart into Brian R. Beers, "integrity" to mimic and copy for the sake of protecting the laws of Arkansas, Rights of the Citizens of Arkansas, Privileges \& Immunities as possessed pursuant to $4^{\text {th }}$ Amendment; Article 4, sec. 2 Constitution of the United States, Policy \& Custom of DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION was established from this egregious act.

3 Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter violated the proper levels of access for every transaction where he entered property of a sitting State Representative in violation of the $4^{\text {th }}$ amendment of the Constitution of the United States from instructions from some FBI Agent to violate petitioner and damage the integrity of the name of petitioner, is a wicked, despicable, disgusting, rotten abuse of power to the $10^{\text {th }}$ power, in complete disregard of law, Constitutions, policy and custom DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION.

## CONSTIIUTIONAL QUESTIONS

BEHAVIOR of defendants has raised "Questions" on the Constitutionality of action of Brain Beers-Special Agent for Department of Education, and Phillip Carter employee/informant under the use and control of DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE to go into the office a State Representative to take property without a $4^{\text {th }}$ Amendment Warrant and transport such property to another State from where it was found, makes the following questions.

1 Can an employee of the Department of Education come into a State and use a FBI Informant to go into a State Representatives office without a warrant and take the property out of it and take it to another State?

2 Can a Federal employee without a warrant take property from a State Representatives office and transport it to another State?

3 Does the Constitution of the United States and Constitution of Arkansas make provisions for Phillip Carter, of Arkansas, Brian Beers of United States Department of Education employee together, to break into the office of a sitting State Representative, take all the office equipment out of the office, take it across state line, without a warrant?

4 Does the Constitution of Arkansas allow a Federal Employee to recruit a person "without a warrant", to break into the office of a State Representative office that conducts the business affairs for a State Sponsored Federal government funded program called 'SAVE OUR KIDS" and steal the office equipment for no reason?

1 Article 1sec. 13 of the Arkansas Constitution states: Every person is entitled to a certain remedy in the lavs for all injuries or wrongs he may receive in his person, property or character; he ought to obtain justice freely, and without purchase; completely, and without denial; promptly and without delcy; conformably to the law. Defendants Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter from a unknown FBI agent, without any court order or $4^{\text {th }}$ amendment Warrant to go into the property when there was and is no court order or Warrant allowing them to just break-an-entering to such property because Phillip Carter [FBI Informant] and Brian R. Beers was a Special Agent is a egregious abuse of authority and law.

## BREACH OF SECURITY AND MISCONDUCT ON DUTY

1 The actions of Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter caused petitioner to be placed into a National Criminal base for no reason whatsoever, authoritv, which cause irreparable harm to petitioner without cause is clear and concise of an official policy to damage petitioner.

2 Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter together met and discussed how and when to enter petitioners property without a warrant end search it and take all the property and examine it without warrant and just violate petitioner because he is African Americas.

3 DEAPARTMENT OF EDUCATION \& STATE OF ARKANSAS failed to train, supervise, instruct, guide in the proper procedures of entering person's propeity. The aforementioned employer failed to instill and impart into Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter "integrity" to mimic and copy for the sake of protecting the laws of Arkansas, Rights of the Citizens of Arkansas, Privileges \& Immunities as possessed pursuant to Article $4, \sec .2$ Constitution of the Urited States.

4 Brian R. Beers, Prillip Carter violated the proper levels of access for every transaction where he entered proparty in violation of the $4^{\text {th }}$ amendment of the Constitution of the United States from instructions of their policy and custom of the DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION.

5 There was no search warrant issued because a FOIA request for it was done and a Letter was returned stating "...we cannot provide you with that...".

## RESPONDENT SUPERIOR

1Brian R. Beers, Pnillip Carter, DEPARTMENT OF FEDUCATION are parsons within the mearing of 42 USC 1983, 1985.

2DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION is not entitled to $11^{\text {t }}$ amendment immunity because is is local in rature. DEPARMENT OF EDUCATION is not entitled to sovereign immunity because of the purchase of insurance in bond and otherwise.
3 Article 4 , section 2 Constitution of the United States provides petitioner with a privilege \& immunity, to be free to obtain and conduct business withour being targeted from Brian R. Beers. Phillip Carter et al, to enter his business property and just take all office equipment from no court order is criminal.

4 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION has delegated its policing operations to BRIAN R. BEERS who has recruited PHILLIP CARTER former State Representative with no responsibility for, and final policy making authority regarding the provision of ENTERING persons property to take office equipment and enter privete property without a warrant, and take such equipment by allowing Brian R. Beers, to perform this function for DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION without interference or correction.

5 DEPARMENT OF EDUCATION has delegated its INVESTIGATING operations to BRIAN R. BEERS who has delegated final policy making authority regarding the taking of property from businesses, entering business property without warrant, to Brian R. Beers, and jurisdictional action, by allowing Brian R. Beers, to perform aspects of this function without interference or correction. He has recruited Phillip Carter who participated in this theft, robbery, trespass, that is criminal.

6 The policy making decisions of DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION with regard to the provision of ENTERING persons PRIVATE property and stealing are within the jurisdictiona! bounds of DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION are imputed to Brian R. Beers.

7 The policy making decisions mentioned of Brian R. Beers including those imputed to Phillip Catter AS State Representative - STATE OF ARKANSAS are imputed to Phillip Carter by STATE OF ARKANSAS [indirectly] by Govemor MIKE BEBE [at time of alleged action] are imputed and serve to bind both, STATE OF ARKANSAS, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION and Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter directly o: indirectly:

8 lt is the official policy of STATE OF ARKANSAS and DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION to deny citizens the right to be free upon the land of United States and be targeted by a State Official without law is forced slavery, violation of the Constitution of Arkansas, and Constitution of the United States too.

9 These actions committed have become widespread to recognize the quality of custom or usage in Arkansas \& UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. The official duty of final policy makers of STATE OF ARKANSAS and DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION inter alia, to be informed of custom or usage and, such policy makers had manifest opportunities to be informed.

10 The actual or constructive knowledge of the existence of this custom or usage and intentionally or with deliberate indifference, failed to correct or stop the practices and thus condoned it. This condonement may fairly be attributed in patt to DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION and STATE OF ARKANSAS, and part to BRIAN R. BEERS and PHILLIP CARTER.

11 The actions committed deprived petitioner of his Constitutional Privileges and Immunities to adequate allowance of Constitutional Right to not seize his property without a "Warrant" anywhere without law is furtherance of an official policy, custom or usage of STATE OF ARKANSAS, and DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, and such official policy, custom, o: usage was direct and proximate cause of such deprivation.

12 GOVERNOR is not entitled to gualified, sovereign immunity because of the purchase of "Bond Insurance".

13 Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter in their official capacities are persons within the meaning of 42 USC 1983.

14 Such conduct poses a pervasive and unreasomable risk of Constitutional violations and injurysuch are the violations here; to petitioner. Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter had actual constructive knowledge given to him from state officers, who knew or should have known having a meeting of the minds Brian R. Beers. Phillip Carter and Governor all decided to engage in conduct that posed pervasive and unreasonable risk of Constitutional violations and injury to petitioner.

15 The deliberate indifference to, of tacit authorization of, such unconstitutional conduct shows the response of actual or constructive knowledge of this pervasive and unreasonable risk of Constitutional violation and injury to petitioner.

16 A reasonable person in the position of defendants would have known that his or her actions violated petitioner's Article 4, section 2 Constitutional Privileges and immunities along with certain state statutory provisions.
17 GOVERNOR is liable under the law of Arkansas for such actions of Phillip Carter based on the doctrine of respondent superior.

18 Treble darrages are entitled to be given to petitioner for such wrong or injury pursuant to Arkansas Law.

19 Petitioner is entitled to recover damages against STATE OF ARKANSAS, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION bonds' pursuant to Arkansas law and Laws of the United States.

20 Defendants' conduct was willful or wanton in that it constituted the conscious and intentional disregard of, and indifference to, the privileges and immunities, of petitioner, which said defendants knew, or should have known, was reasonably likely to result in injury, damage. or IRREPARABLE harm.

## DEPRIVING DUE PROCESS. CONSPIRACY. DEPRIVATION AGAINST RIGHIS

1 This is a complained action for the deprivation of rights. conspiracy against rights and denial of petitioner privileges and immunities afforded from Article 4, sec. 2 Constitution of the United States Denied. Defendant violated RICO and State and Federal Law i.e. 42 USC 1973; 18 USC 2314 - "Trafficking Stolen Goods", Anderson v. United States 411 US 211(1974); 18 USC 241, 242; ARA 7-1-103; AR5-53-131; 52 USCA 10301.

2 Brian R. Beers per business card is a resident of Dallas, TX. Phillip Carter, Govemor are residents of Arkansas and all are being sued separately in their official and individual capacities.

3 Governor was responsible for supervising the training, instruction, discipline, and conduct of PHLLIP CARTER including, but not limited to training. instruction, discipline, control, and conduct concerning jurisdiction, Constitution of Arkansas provisions for PROTECTING Citizens rights to not be profiled and have their personal and business property stolen without any Court order, order.

4 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, STATE OF ARKANSAS. was responsible for instituting policy for the entering persons property which includes. but not limited to, seting policy guidelines for training for officers to enter persons property. take property out of premises with only a warrant where petitioner was not convicted to be subject to such behavior. Who told Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter to go into petitioners business and take his property since no warrant issued to allow them to act, and display behavior to do that? Who told Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter to steal and enter property falsely what amounts to a "Federal Crime" is evidence of a "Policy" and "Custom" to do this.

5 The established policy has created a custom to enter any person or Citizens property that is free in enjoyment of right to travel, not be seized in Arkansas for any reason. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; STATE OF ARKANSAS is responsible for the established climate and custom that allows Brian R. Beers. Phillip Carter to use deliberate unreasonable AUTHORITY overstepping the State LAWH \& FEDERAL LAll for personal satisfaction because they were told to do such. in violating the 42 USC 1983. 1985 and denying Petitioner access the $4^{\text {th }}$ Amendment because petitioner is exercising his free enjoyment of the Constitution of the United States privilege, in connection with the Constitution of Arkansas.

6 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; is a political subdivisions of the United States of America.

7 Under 18 USC 241, 242; ARA 7-1-103; AR5-53-131:42 USC 1983, 1985, at all times pertinent, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; STATE OF ARKANSAS: was responsible for providing and maintaining advocacy of unlawful acts by groups or individuals against other persons or groups, in this case, by Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter directly or indirectly allowed, authorized the provoking and inciting damage, creating false records. created false and misleading public notification database on petitioner, which action is not constitutionally protected, poses a threat to public order and Constitution of Arkansas, Constitution of the United States and 18 USC 241, 242; ARA 7-1-103; AR5-53-13I: 42 USC 1983. 1985 inter alia, and should be subject to criminal sanctions; Also, the deliberate and willful intention of Brian $R$. Beers, Phillip Carter to conduct behavior by depriving petitioners Right.

8 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; STATE OF ARKANSAS has final policy making authority regarding the provisions on deprivation of rights and conspitacy against rights inter alia.

9 Defendants Governor and Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter are residents of Arkansas. At all times Governor and Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter were duly elected and hired and acting directors of DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; STATE OF ARKANSAS.

10 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; STATE OF ARKANSAS has allowed Brian R. Beers. Phillip Carter to perform the entering persons PROPERTY without authority, train workers, set policy, which has established certain customs that violate the Constitution of Arkansas and Constitution of the United States. By creating injury to the 42 USC 1983, 1985; Article 4, sec. 2 Constitution of the United States inter alia.

11 At all times pertinent, Govemor. Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter conspired, as a group, had a meeting of the minds. possessing the power to allow petitioner to be safe by depriving his rights and conspired against his rights or stop the established train of abuse committed by Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter who was suppose to allow any. Citizen un deprived right nor conspire against that right unto petitioner. They carry this authority to make sure all of the orders, rules, instructions. policies and regulations promulgated are with the meaning of 18 USC 241, 242; ARA 7-1-103; AR5-53-131; 42 USC 1983, 1985 inter alia. They failed to stop the action that caused this complaint. because they knew before hand thus verifying and perpetuating the violations listed and to be discussed or laid out.

## FEDERAL TRAFFICKING VIOLATION

## 1

Brian R. Beers transported, transmitted, or transferred in interstate or foreign commerce petitioners goods, wares, merchandise, securities, of the value of 55,000 or more, knowing the same to have been stolen. converted or taken by fraud.

2 Brian R. Beers, devised a scheme or artifice to deitatud, to obtain property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses. representations. or promises. by his transports or causes to be transported, or induces Brian $R$. Beers or persons to travel in, or to be transported in interstate or foreign commerce in the execution or concealment of a scheme or artifice to defraud petitioner and property having a value of $\$ 5.000$ or more.

3 He Brian R. Beers sent a letter years after for petitioner to come get the property he stole. but petitionet did nothing because there was no search warrant for Brian $R$. Beers to take the property in the first place.

4 Brian R. Beers knowing the same to have been stolen, converted or taken by fraudShall be fined under Federal Law or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both.

## DELIBERATE DISREGARD OF STATE LAIV

5 Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter did not seek a copy of a grand jury indietment per prerequisite $\mathrm{AR} 7-5 \cdot 807(\mathrm{D})$.

6 There was no finding of guilt per AR 7-5-80S that wotld allow the actions taken by BRIAN R. BEERS, PHILLIP CARTER, who should have known or knew that you cannot take property or enter property without a charge and court order. But did so. on his own as STATE OFFICER, recruit conspirators, OR that Govemor knew or should have known, to conduct a meeting of the minds and devoie time to make phone calls to a State Representative and conspire against another State Representative, that is treason against United States and Arkansas State, republics.

7 Nor did Brian R. Beers. Phillip Carter pursuant to Article 5 sec. 9 file a complaint after Fred Smith retumed to his govemment seat in regards to the business of his constituents AR 7-5805 or Constitution of Arkansas and Arkansas arrest procedures.

- WHEREFORE petitioner, demands judgment against the defendants, jointly and severally, as follows:
a.) As compensatory damages, the sum of $S$, for each defendant; Department of Education $\$$
b.) As punitive damages on Brian Beers, Phillip Carters actions, in the sum of $\$$ .nse. Dollars for each Defendants willful, arbitrary and negligent action in treble damages, for stealing, and breaking and entering.
c.) Exemplary and emotional damages be imposed for the petitioner's emotional distress in restitution for his economic losses in his business - "Save Our Kids" that has been terminated, in the sum of \$ Dollars by each defendant.
d.) Attorneys' fees imposed in prosecuting this action pursuant to the Defendants actions;
e.) And that other such further relief as to the Court deems proper,

Former Representative
Fred Smith

This $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2018.

Frederick Smith

# AFFIDAVIT 

From: Former State Representative Frederick Smith - Arkansas

Vs.
US Department of Education 1999 BRYAN ST. HARWOOD CENTER SUITE 1440 Dallas, TX 75201 BRIAN BEERS SPECIAL AGENT 1999 BRYAN ST. HARWOOD CENTER SUTTE 1440 Dallas, TX 75201 PHILLIP CARTER Former City Council 205 S. Redding St. West Memphis, AR 72301

## BURGLARY, TRESSPASS, THEFT OF PROPERTY <br> AND <br> ILLEGAL ENTERING, TRAFFICKING STOLEN GOODS

COMES NOW: Petitioner former State Democratic Representative who was abused by the democrats of Arkansas to now complain to the Judiciary Committee to show of criminal actions done to a then State Representative, by a Department of Education officials who unlawfully and illegally entered the business of petitioner without a watrant to search and stole property in violation of $4^{\text {th }}$ Amendment of the Constitution of the United States and Arkansas Law.

## FACTS

1 It has been established that, Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter participated in the smearing of public vistas of petitioner, damaging the good name of petitioner, by entering the property without a warrant to search or arrest or anything just went in because petitioner was involved in another situation regarding taking a seat as State rep for Arkansas.

2 Petitioner has never been 'sentenced' to become a 'fugitive from justice' or 'criminal' to allow Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter to enter the property of petitioner and take such property without cause, just took it is egregious, criminal and wicked for a State Official and Govermment Special Agent to conduct thernselves in theft, robbery, trespass and tort to the highest degree. Defendants Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter devoted a centain amount of energy to destroy the character, integrity, good name of Fred Smith without cause that a reasonable person would be able to rationalize to the effect of the deliberate action in conspiracy with other State officials and from personal biased \& prejudice when no crime by Fred Smith was committed.

3 Defendant Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter gained advantage from, SPECLAL AGENT who he met with in sectet, to discuss the demise of Fred Smith's good name. They conspired to do so from prejudice and biasness where they disregarded justice, the law, the rules of law, the ethics of jobs \& duties, the Constitution of Arkansas, and
finally complete disregard for the Constitution of the United States and petitioners Article 4, Sec. 2 Privileges \& Imınunities.

4 As a Officer of the State being a State Representative, it is petitioners comprehension that if Fred Smith was guilty of any wrong doing, whatsoever, a "investigation" was to be conducted to determine if any 'criminal' charges were to be sought where Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter could lawfully enter petitioner property by " $4^{\text {th }}$ amendment warrant" from a judge ruling as to Fred Smith's behavior. Instead of make a legal determination under color of law and process a color of law entry into the property of petitioner, simply to abuse Fred Smith.

5 Article 1 sec. 13 of the Arkansas Constitution states: Every person is entitled to a certain remedy in the laws for all injuries or wrongs he may receive in his person, property or character; he ought to obtain justice freely, and without purchase; completely, and without denial; promptly and without delay; conformably to the law. Defendant Brian R, Beers, Phillip Carter who on their own without a order to go into the property when there was and is no court order allowing them to just obtain keys to enter such property because Phillip Carte was a State Representative and Brian $R$. Beers was a Special Agent is a egregions abuse of authority and law.

6 Since Defendant Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter did this on his own with instruction of "Willful Blindness" of the Sectetary of State/Chairman Democratic Party and Govemor [former] to take Fred Smith down was the communication discussed between the parties aforementioned to violate the Constitution of Arkansas; Article 4, sec .2 Constitution of the United States; laws of Arkansas and even the "Policy and Custom" for entering persons into the NCIC.

7 Fred Smith by Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter direct action where the media who slandered Fred Smith by reporting he was convicted and had a copy of his picture in the news i.e, Ploto by Staton Breidenthal article by Sarah D. Wire of the "Arkansas Democratic Gazette".

8 Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter stole property out of the office of petitioners business "Save Our Kids", without regard for any law. just did it by orders of the former Govemor and present Secretary of State.

## RESPONDENT SUPERIOR

1Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter, DEPARTMENT OF FEDUCATION are persons within the meaning of 42 USC 1983, 1985,

2 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION is not entitled to $11^{\text {th }}$ amendment immunity because it is local in nature. DEPARMENT OF EDUCATION is not entitled to sovereign immunity because of the purchase of insurance in bond and otherwise.

3 Article 4, section 2 Constitution of the United States provides petitioner with a privilege \& immunity, to be free to obtain and conduct business without being targeted from Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter et al, to enter his business property and just take all office equipment from no court order is criminal.

4 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION has delegated its policing operations to BRIAN R, BEERS who has recruited PHILLIP CARTER former State Representative with no responsibility for, and final policy making authority regarding the provision of ENTERING persons property to take office equipment and enter private property without a warrant, and take such equipment by allowing Brian R. Beers, to perform this function for DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION without interference or correction.

5 DEPARMENT OF EDUCATION has delegated its INVESTIGATING operations to BRIAN R. BEERS who has delegated final policy making authority regarding the taking of property from businesses, entering business property without warrant, to Brian R. Beers, and jurisdictional action, by allowing Brian R. Beers, to perform aspects of this function without interference or correction. He has recruited Phillip Carter who participated in this theft, robbery, trespass, that is criminal.

6 The policy making decisions of DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION with regard to the provision of ENTERING persons PRIVATE property and stealing are within the jurisdictional bounds of DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION are imputed to Brian R. Beers.

7 The policy making decisions mentioned of Brian R. Beers including those imputed to Phillip Carter AS State Representative - STATE OF ARKANSAS are imputed to Phillip Carter by STATE OF ARKANSAS [indirectly] by Govemor MIKE BEBE [at time of alleged action] are imputed and serve to bind both, STATE OF ARKANSAS, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION and Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter directly or indirectly:

8 it is the official policy of STATE OF ARKANSAS and DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION to deny citizens the right to be free upon the land of United States and be targeted by a State Official without law is forced slavery, violation of the Constitution of Arkansas, and Constitution of the United States too.

9 These actions committed have become widespread to recognize the quality of custom or usage in Arkansas \& UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. The official duty of final policy makers of STATE OF ARKANSAS and DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION inter alia, to be informed of custom or usage and, such policy makers had manifest opportunities to be informed.

10 The actual or constructive knowledge of the existence of this custom or usage and intentionally or with deliberate indifference, failed to correct or stop the practices and thus condoned it. This condonement may fairly be attributed in part to DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION and STATE OF ARKANSAS, and part to BRIAN R. BEERS and PHILLIP CARTER.

11 The actions committed deprived petitioner of his Constitutional Privileges and Immunities to adequate allowance of Constitutional Right to not seize his property without a "Warrant" anywhere without law is furtherance of an official policy, custom or usage of STATE OF ARKANSAS, and DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, and such official policy, custom, or usage was direct and proximate cause of such deprivation.

12 GOVERNOR is not entitled to qualified, sovereign immunity because of the purchase of "Bond Insurance",

13 Brian R. Beers, Phillip Cater in their official capacities are persons within the meaning of 42 USC 1983.

14 Such conduct poses a pervasive and unreasonable risk of Constitutional violations and injurysuch are the violations here; to petitioner, Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter had actual constructive knowledge given to him from state officers, who knew or should have known having a meeting of the minds Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter and Governor all decided to engage in conduct that posed pervasive and unreasonable risk of Constitutional violations and injury to petitioner.

15 The deliberate indifference to, or tacit authorization of, such unconstitutional conduct shows the response of actual or constructive knowledge of this pervasive and unreasonable risk of Constitutional violation and injury to petitioner.

16 A reasonable person in the position of defendants would have known that his or her actions violated petitioner's Article 4, section 2 Constitutional Privileges and immunities along with certain state statutory provisions.
17 GOVERNOR is liable under the law of Arkansas for such actions of Phillip Carter based on the doctrine of respondent superior.

18 Treble damages are enticled to be given to petitioner for such wrong or injury pursuant to Arkansas Law.

19 Petitioner is entitled to recover damages against STATE OF ARKANSAS, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION bonds' pursuant to Arkansas law and Laws of the United States.

20 Defendants' conduct was willful or wanton in that it constituted the conscious and intentional disregard of, and indifference to, the privileges and immunities, of petitioner, which said defendants knew, or should have known, was reasonably likely to result in injury, damage, of IRREPARABLE harm.

## DEPRIVING DUE PROCESS. CONSPIRACY. DEPRIVATION AGAINST RIGHTS

1 This is a complained action for the deprivation of rights, conspiracy against rights and denial of petitioner privileges and immunities afforded from Article 4, sec. 2 Constitution of the United States Denied. Defendant violated RICO and State and Federal Law i.e. 42 USC 1973; 18 USC 2314 - "Trafficking Stolen Goods", Anderson x. United States 411 US 211(1974); 18 USC 241, 242; ARA 7-1-103; AR5-53-131; 52 USCA 10301.

2 Brian R. Beers per business card is a resident of Dallas, TX. Phillip Carter, Govemor are residents of Arkansas and all are being sued separately in their official and individual capacities.

3 Governor was responsible for supervising the training, instruction, discipline, and conduct of PHILLIP CARTER including, but not limited to training. instruction, discipline, control, and conduct concerning jurisdiction, Constitution of Arkansas provisions for PROTECTING Citizens rights to not be profiled and have their personal and business property stolen without any Court order, order.

4 DEPARTMENT OF EDÜCATION, STATE OF ARKANSAS, was responsible for instituting policy for the entering persons property which includes, but not limited to, setting policy guidelines for training for officers to enter persons property, take property out of premises with only a warrant where petitioner was not convicted to be subject to such behavior. Who told Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter to go into petitioners business and take his property since no warrant issued to allow them to act, and display behavior to do that? Who told Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter to steal and enter property faisely what amounts to a "Federal Crime" is evidence of a "Policy" and "Custom" to do this.

5 The established policy has created a custom to enter any person or Citizens property that is free in enjoyment of right to travel, not be seized in Arkansas for any teason. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; STATE OF ARKANSAS is responsible for the established climate and custom that allows Brian R: Beers, Phillip Carter to use deliberate unreasonable AUTHORITY overstepping the State LAW \& FEDERAL LAW for personal satisfaction because they were told to do such, in violating the 42 USC 1983. 1985 and denying Petitioner access the $4^{\text {th }}$ Amendment because petitioner is exercising his free enjoyment of the Constitution of the United States privilege, in conrection with the Constitution of Arkansas.

6 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; is a political subdivisions of the United States of America.

7 Under 18 USC 241, 242; ARA 7-1-103; AR5-53-131; 42 USC 1983, 1985, at all times pertinent, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; STATE OF ARKANSAS; was responsible for providing and maintaining advocacy of unlawful acts by groups or individuals against other persons or groups, in this case, by Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter directly or indirectly allowed, authorized the provoking and inciting damage, creating false records, created false and misleading public notification database on petitioner, which action is not constitutionally protected, poses a threat to public order and Constitution of Arkansas, Constitution of the United States and 18 USC 241, 242; ARA 7-1-103; AR5-53-131; 42 USC 1983, 1985 inter alia, and should be subject to criminal sanctions; Also, the deliberate and willful intention of Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter to conduct behavior by depriving petitioners Right.

8 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; STATE OF ARKANSAS has final policy making authority regarding the provisions on deprivation of rights and conspiracy against rights inter alia.

9 Defendants Governor and Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter are residents of Arkansas. At all times Governor and Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter were duly elected and hired and acting directors of DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; STATE OF ARKANSAS.

10 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; STATE OF ARKANSAS has allowed Brian R: Beers, Phillip Carter to perform the entering persons PROPERTY without authority, train workers, set policy, which has established certain customs that violate the Constitution of Arkansas and Constitution of the United States. By creating injury to the 42 USC 1983, 1985: Article 4, sec. 2 Constitution of the United States inter alia.

11 At all times pertinent, Govemor, Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter conspired, as a group, had a meeting of the minds, possessing the power to allow petitioner to be safe by depriving his rights and conspired against his rights or stop the established train of abuse committed by Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter who was suppose to allow any Citizen un deprived right nor conspire against that right unto petitioner. They carry this authority to make sure all of the orders, rules, instructions, policies and regulations promulgated are with the meaning of 18 USC 241, 242; ARA 7-1-103; AR5-53-131; 42 USC 1983, 1985 inter alia. They failed to stop the action that caused this complaint, because they knew before hand thus verifying and perpetuating the violations listed and to be discussed or laid out.

## FEDERAL TRAFFICKING VIOLATION

1 Brian R. Beers transported, transmitted, or transferred in interstate or foreign commerce petitioners goods, wares, merchiandise, securities, of the value of $\$ 5,000$ or more, knowing the same to have been stolen, converted or taken by fraud.

2 Brian R. Beers, devised a scheme or artifice to defraud, to obtain property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, by his transports or causes to be transported, or induces Brian R. Beers or persons to travel in, or to be transported in interstate or foreign commerce in the execution or concealment of a scheme or artifice to defraud petitioner and property having a value of $\$ 5,000$ or more.

3 He Brian R. Beers sent a letter years after for petitioner to come get the property he stole, but petitioner did nothing because there was no search warrant for Brian $R$. Beers to take the property in the first place.

4 Brian R. Beers knowing the same to have been stolen, converted or taken by fraudShall be fined under Federal Law or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both.

## DELIBERATE DISREGARD OF STATE LAW

5 Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter did not seek a copy of a grand jury indictment per prerequisite AR 7-5-807(D).

6 There was no finding of guilt per AR 7-5-808 that would allow the actions taken by BRIAN R. BEERS, PHILLIP CARTER, who should have known or knew that you cannot take property or enter property without a charge and cout order. But did so, on his own as STATE OFFICER, recruit conspirators, OR that Governor knew or should have known, to conduct a meeting of the minds and devote time to make phone calls to a State Representative and conspire against another State Representative, that is treason against United States and Arkansas State, republics.

7 Nor did Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter pursuant to Article 5 sec .9 file a complaint after Fred Smith returned to his government seat in regards to the business of his constituents AR 7.5805 or Constitution of Arkansas and Arkansas arrest procedures.

## BREACH OF SECURITY AND MISCONDUCT ON DUTY

1 The actions of Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter caused petitioner to be placed into a National Criminal base for no reason whatsoever, authority, which cause irreparable
harm to petitioner without cause is clear and concise of an official policy to damage petitioner.
2. Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter together met and discussed how and when to enter petitioners property without a warrant and search it and take all the property and examine it without warrant and just violate petitioner because he is African American.

3 DEAPARTMENT OF EDUCATION \& STATE OF ARKANSAS failed to train, supervise, instruct, guide in the proper procedures of entering person's property. The aforementioned employer failed to instill and impart into Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter "integrity" to mimic and copy for the sake of protecting the laws of Arkansas, Rights of the Citizens of Arkansas, Privileges \& Immunities as possessed pursuant to Article 4, sec. 2 Constitution of the United States.

1 Brian R. Beers, Phillip Carter violated the proper levels of access for every transaction where he entered property in violation of the 4 amendment of the Constitution of the United States from instructions of their policy and custom of the DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION.

5 There was no search warrant issued because a FOIA request for it was done and a letter was returned stating "...we cannot provide you with that...".

## PROPERTY STOLEN:

Epson Work Force Printer/Copier Model C365A[serial number: MLY007678]; Blackbeny Cell Phone 9630, PESN HEX: 80767015, SLM Card\#8901010008230119739F. TDK CD-R80; Note Sheet; SanDisk 2GB Thumb drive, SCDZ36-002G, PNY2GB-Jhumb grive THNU!)HAORA00; Compaq Presario SR1313CL, Product\#P5513AA, Serial CNY 4520 HGB ; Multiple boxes of records, states the following:

This 17 day of of

ARKANSAS STATE
country or Crittenden $i$ -20
-2


UNITED STATES DEPARTAENT OF EDUCATION
 DILLAS, $2=1575201$ 2सONE (214) 561-5520 FAK (214:551.553?

Date: March 31, 2015

## Fred Smitio

7601 Brover Hickory DE,
Tills, MS 38580-8989
Dear-Mf. Smith:
This letier is beina sent to youreraeodec

 2012. The folluwn In m still waiting on a searh warran i. Euson Work Force Printer/Copier Model C365A (SarialiMJY Y07678) Judye, ifnota search
2. Blackoeny Celi Phore 9630, PESN HEX: 80767015 , SLM Cari $\because=8901010008230119739 \mathrm{~F}$,


#### Abstract

Micro SD-C01́o.


 Warrant, that will be thent of propert y,taking property across state line, with out consent
## 6. Compec Presario SR1313CL, ProduciPP5513AAR Serial=CIY4520HGB

```
7. Multiv'e boxzs cfvecords
```

Ine ftems lined above reed to be rentred or destroyed. If you would like to have some de zli of the items returned to you please cali (214) 661-9528. If you do not contact this ofice within 20 andening this leter, all of the property listed above will be destroyed.

Sincerely,

## Brianberg

Brian R. Beers
Special Agent
C.Kathleen S. Tighe U.S. Department of Education office of Inspecter Germatal

# UNTTED STATES DISTRICT COURT <br> EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKAVSAS <br> OFFICE OF THE CLERK 600 WEST CAPITOL AVENUE <br> Room A149 <br> LITTLE ROCK, ARKA,YSAS 72201 

JAMES W. MeCORMACK
PHONE 501-604-5351
CLERK
FAX 501-604-5321

October 4, 2016

Mr. Fred Smith
Post Office Box 303
Crawfordsville, Arkansas 72327
Re: Request for Information
Dear Mr. Smith:
This confirms your request for information concerning a search warrant. I am unable to locate a search warront case based on the information provided. There are no public records avaitable for a search warrant issued for 2422 East Broadway in West Memphis, Tennessee, in 2012. Please provide a case number for additional information. Thank you.

Sincerely,
JAMES W. McCORMACK, CLERK


Enclosure
cc: James McCormack, Clerk of Court

Kathleen S. Tighe or Brian R. Beers or Authorized Person
Director Office of Information and Privacy
Department of Justice
$10^{\text {\& }}$ \& Constitution NW
Washington, D.C. 20530
Subject: Freedom of Information Act "FOIA" request
Dear Citizen Worker;
Thisis a reques inter Freedom of Information Act. I request that a copy of the follotring tocuments be provided to me, without delay on the atached item list showing:

1 Who filed complaint to enter property to seize items?
2 Was there a warrant if so, provide a copy.
3 What Judge signed the warrant if any or order to enter propatty?
4 Names of the persons who actually entered the property, building and office.
5 Who let the officers into the building in the first instance, and did he sign anything for lexing them in, and is that person the owner of the building at that time.

I request a waiver of all fees for this request.
Disclosure of the requested information to me is in the public interest, because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations and activinies of the federalgovernmentand is-not-primanity-in-myeommereialinterest

See also 5 USC 552(a)(4)(B). Stanues confering original jurisdiction on federal distict courts must be strictly construed.

If you are not the correct person to whom this FOIA request should be directed, please forward it without delay to the correct persen(s).

Thark you for your timely consideration of this FOIA request.
Fred Smith
Box 303
Crawfordsville, Arkanses 72327
July 20, 2015


## $2252 \times 215$. <br> 官wwwarcountydata.com

## FIFTEENTH STREET CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRISDROOIN ARCountyData.com

## Commercial Improvements

commercial Improvement tat nth, was leasing to west smithingy church, a



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

July 29, 2015

## Mr. Fred Smith

Box 303
Crawfordsville, Arkansas 72327
Dear Mr. Smith
This letter is to acknowledge receipt of your July 20, 2015, Freedom of 2 format hon Act (FOIA) request fin
 request is being processed. We have assigned FOIA number 15 霍 $4.53-F$ to your request
Sincerely,


Program Specialist


## Arkansas Blog

- Conviction of animal crezity report...
and guilty plea in bribery case over state mental health sezrices
services.
-1 Fhillic Carter, a former West Memvinis councilman
$0^{e^{(a)}}$ up)

posted Ex Max Erantiey on Tnt, Sep 17, 2015 a: 12:14 PM



 off from stature Wourdentar by Medicaid after the investigzicyogra public.
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 Arkansas Ba fines reports here on a faderal court filing Wednesday that
pleaded guilty to a bribery scheme to help a mental health contr

Skip to comments.
Ark. State Rep. Hudson Hallum pleads guilty in absentee vote fraud case; resigns from legislature
Arkansas Times * I Wed, Sep 5, 2012 at 3:15 PM $\mid$ Posted by MaxBrantley
Posted on 9/5/2012 5:20:45 PM by DeaconBenjamin





 of his 880 votes by absentee vote. Hallimentin mailed bundles of the absentee votes his group had collected. The group also purchased half pints of vodka l to distribute on election day. When questions were raised about the absentee votes, the Hallimgroup paid $\$ 25$ each to obs or otters to affirm their votes for Hall at an Election Commsion hearing. The voters came from Distr 54, winch ichides West Memphis, Marion, Earle,
$\because$ ard Tumell, Aikarsas, as well as other rual areas of Critenden Cowey.
Said the Eidictremt
On or about May 22, 2011. PHILIP WAYNE CARTER discussed the HALLUM campaign's absentee ballot strategy with an individual known to the Attorney for the United States and stated, "Folk gonna vote for whoever pay them."

Here's the rews release on the case, Jane Drike led the investigation ard was quoted:
"The mtost fundamental rights we enjoy as American citizens include the ability to vote and, if we so choose, to run for elected office. In a nation in which every persor's vote matters, protecting the integrity of the electoral process from those who seek to win office by cheating the system is critical. Foter fraud schemes such as that carried out in the 2011 District 54 race have the devastating effect of eroding public confidence in elected officials and disenfranchising voters," said Duke.

Members of the House Democratic caucus received this e-mail from Hallum's adaress before the annourcemenis:
Hello everyone,
It is with deep regret that I am sending this message out to each of you today. This afternoon I am going to plead gailyy to federal charges stemming from an investigation into my special election. I took some bad advice that led to some bad decisions on my part. I am going to stand up and accept full responsibility for my actions. I am truly sorry because I know this news will have an effect on everyone's tupcoming race, I would give anything to be able to change what happened but unfortunateby I can not undo the past, Please accept my apologies and if any needs to contact me my number is 9013015650 . It has been the greatest honor of my life to serve with each of you and our state is a better place for what you have done

Sicerely,
Hudson Hallim
ChiefParamedic, Criterden EMS
Stare Representative (D-Marion)
A Democratic Pary spokesman confumed to tre that Halhm resigned from ofice today. Candace Martin issed inis staterment:

The are disappointed by the actions taken by Rep. Hallum. The sanctity of our elections and the rights of voters to see that every vote is counted fairly and responsibly are some of the basic, fundamental liberties of our democracy. No threat to those liberiies can or should be endured. Hudson Hallum is taking responsiblity for his actions and we hope that will help resolve things in a way to see that such activities will never be tolerated.

Sentencing will come later after pre-senterce reporw. The maximan statutory perality for the conspiracy charge is 5 years mprisomeni phs a potertial fre of $\$ 250,000$. Toe statements so fir make no mention of irducemends

# U.S. Department of Justice 

United States Attorney
Eastern District of Arkansas

EOR IMMEDIATE RELERSミ
Septezioer 5, 2012

CONTACT: Jane A. Duke,
Attorney for the united
States, Acting Undez
Authority Conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 515
.501-340-2650

## FOUR CRITTENDEN COUNTY MEN CHARGED

 WITH COXSPIRACY TO COMMI ELECTION FRALDState Legislator and Three Others Enter Gailt Celeas
 Conerred by 28 U.S.C. s 515 , and Federal Burear 人 C Cysigation SpIc =1 to Rancall C. Coleman anmonced the wajver of if dict ant and filing of $a$ feron foformation
 kowa use of the Travel A to bring bates for vote-buyg E) Hively local election.

The in aividua's cengedinciude Hadson Hfury State Representative for Discict 54; his farkerkntinalub West Memohis City Councyna Phillip Wayne Carter: and Wes. Memphis
 patabe varge conained in the ifogh 02 . In doing 50 , each acknowledged bis pantiphtion in a consgracy Ooribe voters to infivence absectee votes in the Arkansas Distric: 54 prinary, its rundir el f fulle the geceral election, all of wich were held berween Fetruary and July 2011. At t im of tae eiections, District 54 included Mest Memphis, Maten, Earle, aceTureil Ankacses, as well as other rifel areas of Crittencen County.
 and, if we so ckoose, to run for elected ofice. In a nation in which every person's vote matars, grotacing the irtegity of the electoral process from those wion seek to win office by cheating the sjatem is critical. Voter frubd sobemes suci as that carried out ir the 2011 District 54 race bave the devastating effect of eroding publio connidezee ir elected ofiricia's and disenfracciising voters," said Dike.

## QNIIED STATES DISTRICT COERI EASTERY DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS

## LNITED STATES OF AMERICA )

 Y.HUDSOM HALTUM:
KENT HALLUM;
PHULIIP WAYNE CARTER: and SAMMALONE

No. 4:12-CR-00230 KGB

18 U.S.C. § 371

## LIFORMITIOS

 COIEERRED BY 28 U.S.C. § 515 CHARGES THAT:

## COLET 1

TRAVEL ACT CONSPIRACY

*

## A. Factual Becivgrond

1. Or or about January 26,2011, the state representative for District 54 of the Afkarsas House of Representatives resigned tis seat. Accordingiy, a special primary election to Fill the seat was schedulec to take place in April 20:1. At fie time, Arkanses Distric: 54 inciudee Weat Memphis, Marion, Earie, and Iurell, Arkansas, as well as otiser rura' areas of Critenden Councy, Avkansas.

- 2. Along with oters, HIJDSOSHALLTM ceclared his candidacy as a Demecrat ir
 candißate obsaised the requiradmajority of votes in the Apil 20,2011 special primary election,三special pritury ranof election tookplace on May 10, 2041.

3. A spacial gereral electicn took place on July 12, 2011.
4. KENI HilIIUM, zather of HUDSON HAILTXI, careged the finamces and zenain
U.S. District Court

Eastern District of Arkansas
James W; McCormack.
600 West Capital Ave.
Room A149
Little Rock, AR72201.
Kathleen S. Tighe or Brian R. Beers or
Authorized Person
Director Office of Information and Privacy
Department of Justice: .
10 \& Constitution NW.
Washington, D.C. 20530
Subject: Freedom of Information Act "FOLA" request
Dear Citizen Worker;
This is a request under Freedom of Information Act. I request that a copy of the following documents be provided to me, without delay on the attached item list showing:

I Who filed complaint to enter property to seize items?
2 . Was there a warrant if so, provide a copy.
3 What Judge signed the warrant if any or order to enter property?
4 Names of the persons who actually entered the property, building and office.
5 Who let the officers into the building in the first instance, and did. he sign anything for letting them in, and is that person the owner of the building at that, time:.

Irequest a waiver of all fees for this request.
Disclosure of the requested information to me is in the public interest, because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations and activities of the federalgovemmentiandistrot-primarity in -my commereialirterest.

See also 5 USC 552(a)(4)(B). Statues conferring original jurisdiction on federal district courts must be strictly construed.

If you are not the correct person to whom this FOIA request should be directed, please forward it without delay to the correct persons).

Thank you for your timely consideration of this FOIA request.
Fred Smith


Fred Snot all Rights Resery Sept 27,2016

# STLATE DT ATPKANSAS $\longrightarrow$ 

To All to Whom These Presents Shall Come-Greeting;

## Know Ye, That Whereas, te appears that Fred Smith

was duly elected State Representative District 50 in and for the State of Arkansas, at an election held on the sixth day of November, Two Thousand Twelve.

Therefore, I, Mike Beebe, Governor of the State of Arkansas, in the name and by authority of the people of the State of Arkansas, vested in me by'the Constitution and the laws of said State do hereby commission the iforenamed to the office of

## State Representative District $5 \bar{O}$

in and for the state of Arkansas for and during the term prescribed butthe lawof the State.

Fred smid als itheefore hereby authorized to do and perform all mad singular the duties incumbent upon the office of

## State Representative District 50 <br> $$
\text { Q } \Delta x
$$

in and for the State of Arkarnas, according to law and the trust reposed in said office:

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Great Seal of the State of Arkansas to be affixed at Little Rock, thits fourteenth day of January, in the year of Our Lard, Two Thousand Thirteen.


Mikc Beebe, Goternor
Mlark Mlartun
Mark Martin, Secreiaru of Stnto

Statement of: $\qquad$ Frederic Smith

Date: Supt 202016 Page: $\qquad$
Address: $\qquad$ P.O Box 303 Phone \#: $\qquad$
DOE: $\qquad$ $M / F M$
$\qquad$ B/W $\qquad$
$\qquad$有/
Case file \#: $\qquad$ Complaint \#: $\qquad$ 1804542

On May 29, 2012, Brian R. Beers, an of officials enter into my building, that was open by a city, owvencilmar nome. Phillip, Carter, to allow Brian Revers and of fiscal te take. Such property with out cause, I Fred Smith was the tenant of the building, I leasing this building through $15^{\text {th }}$ church to this day I dent know how a west memphis city councilman, come out of nowtaere and apes my door and let offices late my property. I believe Brian Beers, enter my property, if a 111 egal Esatering, Theft at property furglany, Now Shave information, and sworn affidavit,
$\qquad$

$\qquad$
Violated my $4^{\text {th }}$ AMENDMENT
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
I have written this statement consisting of $\qquad$ page (s) and I affirm to the truth and accuracy or the facts, contained therein.


FORM: WMD SOD STATEMENT FORM
Ark. West Memphis Police Depart:

## United States District Court

for the


US. Departhentof Efl e 3
Brian Beers, Special Agent
Phil Carter FoR City Council
APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN DISTRICT COURT WITHOUT PREPAYING FEES OR COSTS (Short Form)

I am a plaintiff or petitioner in this case and declare that I am unable to pay the costs of these proceedings and that I am entitled to the relief requested.

In support of this application, I answer the following questions under penalty of perjury:

1. If incarcerated. I am being held at: $\qquad$ $-$
If employed there, or have an account in the institution, I have attached to this document a statement certified by the appropriate institutional officer showing all receipts, expenditures, and balances during the last six months for any institutional account in my name. I am also submitting a similar statement from any other institution where I was incarcerated during the last six months.
2. If not incarcerated. If I am employed, my employer's name and address are:

My gross pay or wages are: \$ $\qquad$ , and my take-home pay or wages are; $\$$ $\qquad$ per
(specify pay period) $\qquad$ .
3. Other Income. In the past 12 months,. I have received income from the following sources (check all that apply):

| (a) Business, profession, or other self-employment | $\square$ Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| (b) Rent payments, interest, or dividends | $\square$ Yes |
| (c) Pension, annuity, or life insurance payments | $\square$ Yes |
| (d) Disability, or worker's compensation payments | $\square$ Yes |
| (e) Gifts, or inheritances | $\square$ Yes |
| (f) Any other sources | $\square$ Yes |

If you answered "Yes" to any question above, describe below or on separate pages each source of money and state the amount that you received and what you expect to receive in the future.
4. Amount of money that I have in cash or in a checking or savings account: $\$ 0$ (un employed)
5. Any automobile, real estate, stock, bond, security, trust, jewelry, art work, or other financial instrument or thing of value that I own, including any item of value held in someone else's name (describe the property and its approximate value):

2005 motor less
Ford expedition.
6. Any housing, transportation, utilities, or loan payments, or other regular monthly expenses (describe and provide the amount of the monthly expense):
Living with Family
7. Names (or, if under 18, initials only) of all persons who are dependent on me for support, my relationship with each person, and how much I contribute to their support:
Fred smith Jr. son

Gabrielle Smith Daugthen
Fred Smith $S r$ - Daddy
Jg sha smith wife
Any debts or financial obligations (describe the amounts owed and to whom they are payable):
None

Declaration: I declare under penalty of perjury that the above information is true and understand that a false statement may result in a dismissal of my claims.

Date:


Applicant's signature

Printed name

In the district court of $U, S$, court, arkansas in repetition of Fred smith TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

CASE NO. $\qquad$

## PETITION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Fred Smith , prose, who hereby petitions the court for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and does allege and state as follows:

1. That Plaintiff, a resident of the State of Arkansas, has prepared and desires to file with this Court a $\qquad$ -
2. That Plaintiff has completed an Affidavit in Support of Request to Proceed In Forma Pauperis setting out his/her income and assets. Plaintiff's Affidavit accompanies this petition.
3. That Plaintiff's income barely suffices to meet the costs of life's daily essentials and includes no allotment that could be budgeted to pay for court fees and costs incident to this proceeding.
4. That Plaintiff has no other income in addition to that described in his/her Affidavit and no means of paying such costs without being reduced to total impoverishment.
5. That Plaintiff believes that he/she is entitled to the relief requested in the accompanying _AUSUIT and that such action is not brought for a frivolous or malicious purpose.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that the court enter an order allowing the Plaintiff to prosecute this action In Forma Pauperis and that the Plaintiff may have the necessary writs and processes without payment of fees or costs for the same.


# In Forma Pauperis Affidavit <br> [see Rule 72, Rules of Civil Procedure] 

IN THE DIS IRJCT COURT U.S. COURT. , ARKANSAS
IN REPETITION OF Fred Smith
TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

NO. $\qquad$

## AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

I, Fred Smith, being first duly sworn, depose and say that I am the petitioner in the above entitled case; that in support of my motion to proceed without being required to prepay fees, costs or give security therefor, I state that because of my poverty I am unable to pay the costs of said proceeding or to give security therefor; that I believe I am entitled to redress.

I further swear that the responses which I have made to questions and instructions below are true.

1. Are you presently employed? Yes $\qquad$ No
(a) If the answer is yes, state the amount of your salary or wages per month, and give the name and address of your employer.
(b) If the answer is no, state the date of last employment and the amount of the salary and wages per month which you received.
2. Have you received within the past twelve months any money from any of the following sources?
(a) Business, profession or any form of self-employment?

Yes $\qquad$ No $\downarrow$
(b) Rent payments, interest or dividends?

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
(c) Pensions, annuities or life insurance payments?

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
(d) Gifts or inheritances?

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
(e) Any other sources?

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
If the answer to any of the above is yes, describe each source of money and state the amount received from each during the past twelve months.
3. Do you own any cash, or do you have money in a checking or savings account?

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
If the answer is yes, state the total amount in each account.
4. Do you own any real estate, stocks, bonds, notes, automobiles or other valuable property (excluding ordinary household furnishings and clothing)?

Yes $\qquad$ No $L$

If the answer is yes, describe the property and state its approximate value.
5. List the persons who are dependent upon you for support, state your relationship to those persons, and indicate how much you contribute toward their support.

Wife working Supporting the family.
Gabriel 5 Tasha wife
Fred Jr. 11 Fred sr. De ti
Fred Sr, petitioned

## [6. TO BE COMPLETED ONLY IF PETITIONER IS INCARCERATED IN THE

 ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION OR ANY OTHER PENALINSTITUTION.
Do you have any funds in the inmate welfare funds?
Yes $\qquad$ No U

If the answer is yes, state the total amount in such account and have the certificate found below completed by the authorized officer of the institution.]

I understand that false statenfont or answer to any questions in this affidavit will subject me to penalties for perjury.

Signature of Petitioner
STATE OF ARKANSAS

## COUNTY OF CRITTENDEN

Petitioner, Fred Smith, being first duly swom under oath, presents that he/she has read and subscribed to the above and states that the information therein is true and correct.


Notary Public
[(To be completed by authorized officer of penal institution)

## CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the petitioner herein,
confined.
I further certify that petitioner likewise has the fo
according to the records of said institution:
NoNe

Authorized Officer of Institution]

# DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS 

Frederick Smith<br>Vs.<br>US Department of Education 1999 BRYAN ST. HARWOOD CENTER SUITE 1440 Dallas, TX 75201 BRIAN BEERS SPECIAL AGENT 1999 BRYAN ST. HARWOOD CENTER SUITE 1440 Dallas, TX 75201<br>PHILLIP CARTER Former City Council 205 S. Redding St. West Memphis, AR 72301

$48 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * 48$
DECLARATION
OF
Exempt Filing Fee Status


The Citizen of the United States Government, not United States of America, does make this Declaration that the "Citizen" of the said district are exempt from cost, filing fees and charges imposed by any courts, boards of law pursuant to and according to:

1 Constitution of the United States Article 4, sec. 2
2 Hague Convention,
3 State Department of the United States
"The citizens of each State shall be entitled to all privileges and immunities of citizens in the several States."
$4 \quad 7^{\text {th }}$ Amendment
"In suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved ..."

Right: Political Right...the right of petítion. Blacks $3^{\text {rd }}$ Ed. P. 524; Winnet v. Adam, 71 Neb. 817, 99 N. W. 681: see Black's Law Dictionary $7^{\text {th }}$ Ed. Pg.1487-1488:
$5 \quad 1^{5 t}$ Amendment Constitution of the United States:
"...the right of the people...to Petition the Govemment for a redress of grievances".
Petition: A formal written application to a court requesting judicial action on a certain matter. A recital of facts which gives rise to a cause of action"

6 Title 8 USC 1503 (a):
"If any person who is within the United States claims a RIGHT or PRIVILEGE as a National of the United States - 8 USC 1101(a) (22), and is denied such right or privilege by any department or independent agency or official thereof, upon the grounds that he is not a national of the United States, such person may institute a
action under the provisions of section 2201 of titled 28, United States codes....against the head of such department of independent agency for a judgment declaring him to be a national of the United States..."

Privilege: "A particular and peculiar benefit or advantage enjoyed by a person, Company, or CLASS, beyond the Common advantage of other Citizens. An exceptional or extraordinary power or exemptioni. A peculiar right, advantage, exemption, power, franchise, or immunity held by a person or class, not generally possessed by others".
$714^{\text {th }}$ Amendment
"No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges and immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property without due process of law, nor deny to any person protection of the law".

The term "Right" is nowhere defined as a filing fee, Payment, charge, burden or tax and is in violation of Constitution of the United States herein asserted. As a Foreign National PreamblePosterity ...Citizen of the United States [whose ancestors additionally served in the Army and Navy of United States] I, claim, inter alia, the Right or Grand Fathering or Grandfather Clause.

As a "National of the United States" I have claimed the Right and Privilege so indicated in 8 USC 1503 (a), inter alia, here in named and when challenged by anyone in the department of the Clerk of court, action pursuant to 8 USC 1503(a) against the head of such department in accordance with 8 USC 2201 shall be initiated.


IV. NATURE OF SUIT (PRace on " $x$ " in One Bos Onb)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline [-3\%: CONTRACT \& (xty \&  \& 2FORFETTLRE/PENALTY \& \& \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
110 lrswance
120 Martine
130 Maller Act
140 Negotiable Instrument
150 Recovery of Overpaymeni \\
\& Enforcement of Judgment
151 Medicare Ac1
152 Recovery or Defaulted Stufent Loans \\
(Excludes Veterans) \\
- 153 Recovery of Overpayment \\
of Veteran's Benefils \\
[ 160 Stockholders' Suits \\
[] 190 Other Contract \\
Q 195 Contract Product Liability \\
[] 196 Franchise \\
PrenckEALPRROPERTY \\
[4 210 Land Condemnetior
220 Foreclasure
230 Rent Lease \& Ejectrient
240 Torts to Land \\
- 245 Tort Product Liablity \\
- 290 All Other Real Property
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
PERSONAL. NJJRY \\
a 310 Airplane \\
a 315 Airplane Product \\
Lisbility \\
[] 320 Assauth, Libel E Slander \\
2 330 Federal Employers* \\
Liability \\
[ 340 Marine \\
Q 345 Marine Product \\
Liability \\
- 330 Motor Velicle \\
[] 355 Motor Vatuicle \\
Product Lisbility \\
口 360 Other Persona! Injury \\
[7 362 Persoral Inviry - \\
Medical Malpractice \\
+1 SCIVLURIGITS wes. \\
O 440 Other Civil Rights
441 Voting \\
442 Employment
443 Housing' \\
Accommodutions
445 Amer. w/Dishbilities - \\
Empioyment \\
[7 445 Amer. w/Disabilities Other \\
a 448 Education
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
PERSONAL NJJTY \\
365 Personal Tnjury - \\
Product Liability
367 Healch Carel \\
Ptarmaceutical \\
Pefsomal triury \\
Product Liability \\
[ 368 Asbestos Peraonal Injury Product Liability \\
PERSONALPROPERTY \\
370 Other Frand

371 Truth in Lending
380 Other Personal Property Damage <br>
O 385 Properiy Dantage
Product Liability <br>
EPRISONER PETITIONS <br>
Habeas Corpus: <br>
-1 463 Alien Detzinte <br>
(7 510 Motions to Vacase <br>
Sentence <br>

- 530 General <br>
[ 535 Death Pcoaloy Other: <br>
- 540 Mandanus \& Other <br>
- 550 Civil Rights <br>
- 555 Prison Condition <br>
D 560 Civil Detainge - <br>
Conditions of <br>
Confinement

 \&  \&  \& 

[ 375 False Claims Act <br>
[] 400 State Reapportionment
410 Antitrust

<br>
430 Banks and Banking <br>
450 Commerce
460 Deportation
+To Rackzteer Influenced and <br>
Compot Orgarizations
480 Consumer Credit
$490 \mathrm{Cable} / \mathrm{Sat}$ TV
850 Securities/Commodities Exchange
890 Other Statutory Actions
891 Agricultural Acts
893 Environmental Matters
895 Freedom of Information <br>
Act
896 Arbitration
899 Administrauive Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <br>
[] 950 Constitutionality of Stare Statutes
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}




## VIIL RELATED CASE(S)

IF ANY (See instructions): JUOGE
DOCKET NUMBER
STGNAIURE OE ATTORNEY OF RECORD

## FOR GFFICE ESEONLF



US Department of Education 1999 BRYAN ST. HARWOOD CENTER SUITE 1440 Dallas, TX 75201 BRIAN BEERS SPECIAL AGENT 1999 BRYAN ST. HARWOOD CENTER SUITE 1440 Dallas, TX 75201

PHILLIP CARTER Former City Council 205 S. Redding St. West Memphis, AR 72301


COMES NOW; Petitioner to complain and motion the clerk of court the following:

## SERVICE OF PROCESS FRCP 4.1

Pursuant to Rules of court the petitioner herein moves the clerk of court to initiate the "Form Pauperis" on the above case and serve defendants as required by the rules of court to comply with FRCP 4 and 4.1. The Clerk of the Court is directed to prepare summonses for the US Department of Education 1999 BRYAN ST. HARWOOD CENTER SUITE 1440 Dallas, TX 75201 BRIAN BEERS SPECIAL AGENT 1999 BRYAN ST. HARWOOD CENTER SUITE 1440 Dallas, TX 75201

PHILLIP CARTER Former City Council 205 S. Redding St. West Memphis, AR 72301 United States Marshal is directed to serve the summons, complaint, and this order on the defendants, Plaintiff Fred Smith filed this case pro se, along with an application to proceed in forma pauperis.


United States District Court
for the

U. $S$ Deportment of Education Brian Beer, Special AGent Phillip Carter Former City Council

To: (Defendant's name and address)

A lawsuit has been filed against you.
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) - or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) - you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: $\qquad$


[^0]:    

