

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
WESTERN DIVISION**

In re:	:	MDL Docket No. 4:03CV1507-WRW
	:	4:04CV01169
PREMPRO PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	:	
	:	
DONNA SCROGGIN	:	PLAINTIFF
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
WYETH, et. al.	:	DEFENDANTS

ORDER

Upjohn’s objections to Colditz’s deposition designation -- that is, those designations that do not overlap with Wyeth’s objections -- where Plaintiff either failed to respond or responded with “this objection is best ruled upon at the time of trial . . .” are SUSTAINED. Plaintiff did not submit supplemental responses as directed, so supplemental responses to these objections are out of time.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 5th day of February, 2008.

/s/ Wm. R. Wilson, Jr.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
WESTERN DIVISION**

In re:	:	MDL Docket No. 4:03CV1507-WRW
	:	4:04CV01169
PREMPRO PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	:	
	:	
	:	
DONNA SCROGGIN	:	PLAINTIFF
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
WYETH, et. al.	:	DEFENDANTS

ORDER

Upjohn’s objections to Colditz’s deposition designation -- that is, those designations that do not overlap with Wyeth’s objections -- where Plaintiff either failed to respond or responded with “this objection is best ruled upon at the time of trial . . .” are SUSTAINED. Plaintiff did not submit supplemental responses as directed, so supplemental responses to these objections are out of time.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 5th day of February, 2008.

/s/ Wm. R. Wilson, Jr.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
WESTERN DIVISION**

In re:	:	MDL Docket No. 4:03CV1507-WRW
	:	4:04CV01169
PREMPRO PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	:	
	:	
	:	
DONNA SCROGGIN	:	PLAINTIFF
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
WYETH, et. al.	:	DEFENDANTS

ORDER

Upjohn’s objections to Colditz’s deposition designation -- that is, those designations that do not overlap with Wyeth’s objections -- where Plaintiff either failed to respond or responded with “this objection is best ruled upon at the time of trial . . .” are SUSTAINED. Plaintiff did not submit supplemental responses as directed, so supplemental responses to these objections are out of time.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 5th day of February, 2008.

/s/ Wm. R. Wilson, Jr.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE