

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS

---

IN THE MATTER OF MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA  
ISSUED BY DEFENDANT IN THE CASE OF STEINBUCH  
V. CUTLER (DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF  
COLUMBIA, 01:05-CV-00970)

---

INDEX NO. 4:06-MC-0028-WRW

**MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION**

Today this Court granted in part and denied in part Plaintiff's motion to quash. In the Court's Order, the Court stated that UALR may redact the name of student evaluators. The undersigned asks for clarification on the matter of redaction, as there were two issues regarding students' identities. First, was the issue of students' identification in documents. Those can be redacted, as per the Court's Order. The second issue was regarding anonymous student comments. The Administration of the University of Arkansas at Little Rock School of Law indicated that, given that these comments are anonymous, they could not lead to relevant information because "the law school . . . do[e]n't have any way to verify the reliability - or even the truth - of the[m]." Accordingly, the undersigned requests clarification whether the anonymous, unverified comments from students are also to be produced.

Dated: September 21, 2006

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Jonathan Rosen  
Jonathan Rosen  
1645 Lamington Road  
Bedminster, NJ 07921  
(908) 759-1116  
Attorney for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I caused the attached copy of Plaintiff's Reply to be served on Matt Billips, 730 Peachtree Rd, Atlanta, GA 30308, by placing it in a US Mail Box today, September 21, 2006.

/s/ Jonathan Rosen  
Jonathan Rosen, Esq.