Webb v. US Foodservice Inc Doc. 45

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

DARREN WEBB PLAINTIFF

v. 4:08CV00191-WRW

U.S. FOOD SERVICE DEFENDANT

## **ORDER**

Pending is Plaintiff's Motion for Attorneys Fees and Costs (Doc. No. 38). Defendant has responded. Also pending is Defendant's Motion to Strike or in the Alternative Defendant's Motion Opposing Plaintiff's Notice of Filing Exhibits Seeking Attorney's Fees and Costs (Doc. No. 43).

A March 9, 2009, Order denied both Plaintiff's and Defendant's motions for summary judgment, and remanded the case to Defendant and the claims administrator.<sup>2</sup> Because Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment was denied, and there was no ruling that I consider made Plaintiff a prevailing party,<sup>3</sup> Plaintiff's Motion (Doc. No. 38) is DENIED without prejudice. Defendant's Motion to Strike (Doc. No. 43) is DENIED as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 31st day of March, 2009.

/s/Wm. R. Wilson, Jr.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Doc. No. 41.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Doc. No. 37.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Starr v. Metro Sys., Inc., 461 F.3d 1036, 1040-41 (8th Cir. 2006) (citing Martin v. Arkansas Blue Cross & Blue Shield, 299 F.3d 966, 972 (8th Cir. 2002).