Ruth v. Byrd et al

Doc. 8

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

JAMES REGINALD RUTH, ADC #102001

PLAINTIFF

DEFENDANTS

4:09CV00196HLJ

KARL BYRD, et al.

v.

ORDER

By Order dated March 17, 2009 (DE #3), this Court granted plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis in this action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. However, finding plaintiff's complaint too vague and conclusory to enable the Court to determine whether it is frivolous, fails to state a claim, or states a legitimate claim, the Court directed plaintiff to submit an amended complaint within thirty days. Plaintiff has now submitted an amended complaint (DE #5). Having reviewed the original and amended complaints, and construing them together, it now appears to the Court that service is appropriate for defendants Andrews, Piechoki, Pickard, Huffman, Roberson, and Thomas. Accordingly,

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that service is appropriate for the defendants Lt. Andrews, Sgt. Piechoki, Cpl. Pickard, Officer Huffman, Officer Roberson, and Officer Thomas. The Clerk of the Court shall prepare summons for the defendants and the United States Marshal is hereby directed to serve a copy of the original (DE #2) and amended complaints (DE #5) and summons on defendants without prepayment of fees and costs or security therefore.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 30th day of April, 2009.

Henry I. Jones, fr. United States Magistrate Judge