Stanton v. Holiday et al Doc. 8

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

JEFFREY MARK STANTON ADC #149509

PLAINTIFF

v.

4:10CV00134HLJ

DOC HOLIDAY, et al.

**DEFENDANTS** 

**ORDER** 

By Order dated March 4, 2010, (DE #3), this Court granted plaintiff's application to proceed in

forma pauperis in this action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. However, finding plaintiff's complaint

too vague and conclusory to enable the Court to determine whether it is frivolous, fails to state a claim,

or states a legitimate claim, the Court directed plaintiff to submit an amended complaint within thirty days.

Plaintiff has now submitted an amended complaint (DE #5). Having reviewed the original and amended

complaints, and construing them together, it now appears to the Court that service is appropriate.

Accordingly,

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that service is appropriate for the defendants Doc Holiday, Carl

Johnson, Strickland, Jackson, and J. Hicks. The Clerk of the Court shall prepare summons for the

defendants and the United States Marshal is hereby directed to serve a copy of the original (DE #2) and

amended complaints (DE #5) and summons on defendants without prepayment of fees and costs or

security therefore.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 13th day of April, 2010.

United States Magistrate Judge

1