Doc. 111

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

JOYCE FULLINGTON

PLAINTIFF

v.

No. 4:10CV00236 JLH

PLIVA, INC., formerly known as Pliva USA, Inc.; and MUTUAL PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY, INC.

DEFENDANTS

<u>ORDER</u>

After reviewing the plaintiff's second amended complaint, the Court has concluded that one more (hopefully final) round of briefing on the preemption issues is in order. The Court directs the plaintiff to file a brief explaining her views as to why her claims for negligence (design defect), strict liability (design defect), breach of warranty - merchantability, and breach of warranty - fitness for a particular purpose are not preempted in light of *Mutual Pharmaceutical Company, Inc. v. Bartlett*, 133 S. Ct. 2466 (2013). The plaintiff must explain specifically what the defendants could have and should have done to comply with Arkansas law, as well as how doing so would not have violated federal law.

The plaintiff's brief must be filed within twenty-one days from the entry of this Order. The defendants may file a response within fourteen days after the plaintiff files her brief.

Please be concise and to the point.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 15th day of January, 2014.

J. Leon Holins

J. LEON HOLMES UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE