Davis et al v. Favors et al Doc. 20

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

RON DAVIS, et al. PLAINTIFFS

v. 4:10CV01595-BRW

REGINA FAVORS, et al.

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

Mr. Davis may not represent Plaintiff Doctor's Testing Center LLC II ("Doctor's Testing") in federal court because he is not a licensed attorney. Accordingly, Mr. Davis is directed to obtain an attorney to represent Doctor's Testing within twenty days from the date of this Order. Defendants' pending motion to dismiss and consolidate, and request for a stay of Rule 26 conference, will be granted unless within twenty days a lawyer moves for additional time to respond.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 24th day of January, 2011.

/s/Billy Roy Wilson
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹28 U.S.C. § 1654; *Ackra Direct Marketing Corp. V. Fingerhut Corp.*, 86 F.3d 852, 857 (8th Cir. 1996) ("the law does not allow a corporation to proceed *pro se*"); *Lattanzio v. COMTA*, 481 F.3d 137 (2d Cir. 2007) (holding that LLC could appear in federal court only through a licensed attorney, regardless of fact that LLC had sole member and that its sole member sought to represent it).