# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

# CHARLES E. ADCOCK, ET AL.

**PLAINTIFFS** 

v.

### No. 4:11-cv-00832 KGB

### **RESURGENT CAPITAL SERVICES AS SERVICER FOR LVNV FUNDING, LLC**

### DEFENDANTS

### <u>ORDER</u>

The plaintiffs have filed two discovery motions, Motion for Order Compelling Discovery (Dkt. No. 34) and Motion for Order Compelling Responses to Request for Admission (Dkt. No. 35). The defendants have responded. (Dkt. Nos. 37 & 38).

The Court has carefully reviewed the plaintiffs' motions and the defendants' responses. The plaintiffs waited nearly a month after service of defendants' discovery responses and objections to move to compel, and their motions come after an extended period of discovery has closed.<sup>1</sup> Moreover, the plaintiffs state little in their motions to compel by way of argument or authority to support their position.

The plaintiffs' Motion for Order Compelling Discovery (Dkt. No. 34) and Motion for Order Compelling Responses to Request for Admission (Dkt. No. 35) are DENIED.

SO ORDERED this 14<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2012.

Krutine N. Baker

Kristine G. Baker United States District Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Court's Final Scheduling Order states that all discovery motions must be filed sufficiently in advance of the discovery deadline.