## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

GLORIA A. BUNCH

**PLAINTIFF** 

 $\mathbf{v}$ .

No. 4:11-cv-869-DPM

UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS BOARD OF TRUSTEES, A Body Politic and Corporate

**DEFENDANT** 

## ORDER

Bunch has filed a motion objecting to the Court's recent Order denying her motion for Rule 60 relief. The Court construes this paper as a motion to reconsider. First, the Court considered all the internet materials. The Court's ruling wasn't about their reliability; it was about their availability—they've long been available. Second, Bunch did not provide documents from the 40 under 40 website showing that John Baker was or is a member of the UAMS consortium.  $N_2$  179 at 7. Third, the record doesn't establish any connection between Camp Aldersgate and UAMS that required recusal. 28 U.S.C. § 455(b). Assuming that Bunch is correct about UAMS holding clinics at the camp, a new point, this is a connection that the governing statute would require a judge to consider and make a judgment call about: would an average person, fully informed about all the circumstances, reasonably question the judge's impartiality? 28 U.S.C. § 455(a). The answer is

committed to the judge's discretion. *In re Kansas Public Employees Retirement System*, 85 F.3d 1353, 1358 (8th Cir. 1996). Fourth, the Court stands by its earlier ruling on the Potts issues. The motion,  $N_{\rm P}$  183, is therefore denied.

So Ordered.

D.P. Marshall Jr.

United States District Judge

8 ganvary 2018