

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
WESTERN DIVISION

JAMES W. TAYLOR; and
WANDA JOY TAYLOR

PLAINTIFFS

v.

No. 4:16-cv-374-DPM


CITIFINANCIAL MORTGAGE
COMPANY, INC

DEFENDANT

ORDER

The Court has a jurisdictional doubt. *Krein v. Norris*, 250 F.3d 1184, 1187 (8th Cir. 2001). The Taylors say they seek only "up to \$75,000" in total damages; but Citifinancial insists that more than \$75,000 is in controversy. Compare No 2 at 9 with No 1 at 2-3; 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). If the Taylors stipulate that they will neither seek nor accept a total recovery exceeding \$75,000 (including attorney's fees, but excluding interest and costs) on all claims, then the Court will remand. Any stipulation due by 29 June 2016. No remand motion will be needed if the jurisdictional doubt is resolved by the stipulation before the end of the month.

So Ordered.



D.P. Marshall Jr.
United States District Judge

21 June 2016