Birch et al v. RHD Jr Inc et al Doc. 50

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

DAVID BIRCH PLAINTIFF

v.

No. 4:17-cv-384-DPM

RHD JR., INC., d/b/a Mayflower RV Sales & Service; ROBERT H. DUDLEY, JR.; and MARJORIE DUDLEY

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

The Court stumbled in not directing its usual proposedsettlement drill in the last slice of this FLSA case. The Court must evaluate the settlement for reasonableness. Lynn's Food Stores, Inc. v. United States, 679 F.2d 1350, 1353 (11th Cir. 1982); see also Melgar v. OK Foods, 902 F.3d 775, 779 (8th Cir. 2018). The settlement agreement filed under seal, N_{\odot} 49, also appears to be a draft. Plus, while the parties may certainly agree on what they may say about the deal, the agreement must be filed on the public docket. *Delock v. Securitas Security Services USA Inc.*, No. 4:11-cv-520-DPM, № 70 at 3-4. If that step is a deal breaker, then the parties should notify the Court. In that event, the motion, N_{\circ} 44, the discovery dispute, N_{\circ} 45, and the case will be put back on track for decision. Notice, or joint motion with the final papers, proposed agreement and all supporting 7 February 2019. Plaintiff's counsel may file their bill under seal or file a redacted version on the public docket.

So Ordered.

D.P. Marshall Jr.

United States District Judge

25 January 2019