

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS  
PINE BLUFF DIVISION**

**PHILLIP RASMUSSEN**

**PETITIONER**

**v.**

**CASE NO. 5:09CV00008 BSM**

**LARRY NORRIS, Director of the  
Arkansas Department of Correction**

**RESPONDENT**

**ORDER**

The court has received proposed findings and recommendations from Magistrate Judge H. David Young. After careful review of those findings and recommendations, the timely objections received thereto, and a *de novo* review of the record, the court concludes that the findings and recommendations should be, and hereby are, approved and adopted in their entirety as this court's findings in all respects.<sup>1</sup> Judgment will be entered accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 27th day of March, 2009.

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

---

<sup>1</sup> The court notes a typographical error on page 5 of the proposed findings and recommendations. While it states, "Here, the petitioner did file a Rule 37 petition . . .," it is clear that the magistrate judge intended to state, "Here, the petitioner did *not* file a Rule 37 petition . . ." based upon the document read in its entirety and the record.