Smith v. Hobbs et al Doc. 16

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS PINE BLUFF DIVISION

JAMES EDWARD SMITH ADC #103093

**PLAINTIFF** 

v. CASE NO. 5:10CV00220 BSM/JTR

RAY HOBBS, Director, Arkansas Department of Correction et al.

**DEFENDANTS** 

## **ORDER**

The proposed findings and recommended disposition submitted by United States Magistrate Judge J. Thomas Ray and the filed objections have been reviewed. After carefully considering these documents and making a *de novo* review of the record, it is concluded that the proposed findings and recommended disposition should be, and hereby are, approved and adopted in their entirety in all respects.

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Pursuant to the screening function mandated by 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, this case is dismissed, without prejudice, for failing to state a claim on which relief may be granted.
- 2. Dismissal of this action constitutes a "strike," as defined by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).
- 3. It is certified, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an *in forma pauperis* appeal from this order and the accompanying judgment would not be taken in good faith.

Dated this 25th day of April, 2011.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE