

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
PINE BLUFF DIVISION**

JUSTIN WOODEN
ADC #139811

PLAINTIFF

V.

5:10CV00336 JMM/JTR

MICHAEL DEMORY,
Lieutenant, Varner Super Max Unit

DEFENDANT

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Plaintiff, Justin Wooden, who is a prisoner in the Varner Super Max Unit of the Arkansas Department of Correction, has commenced this *pro se* § 1983 action alleging that Defendant violated his constitutional rights. *See* docket entry #1.

On December 2, 2010, the Court entered an Order giving Plaintiff thirty days to: (1) either pay the \$350 filing fee in full or file an Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis*; and (2) file an Amended Complaint containing information necessary to complete the screening function mandated by 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. *See* docket entry #3. Importantly, the Court advised Plaintiff that the failure to timely and properly do so would result in the dismissal of his case, without prejudice, pursuant to Local Rule 5.5(c)(2).¹ *Id.*

As of the date of this Order of Dismissal, Plaintiff has not complied with the December 2,

¹ Local Rule 5.5(c)(2) provides that: “It is the duty of any party not represented by counsel to promptly notify the Clerk and the other parties to the proceedings of any change in his or her address, to monitor the progress of the case, and to prosecute or defend the action diligently. A party appearing for himself/herself shall sign his/her pleadings and state his/her address, zip code, and telephone number. *If any communication from the Court to a pro se plaintiff is not responded to within thirty (30) days, the case may be dismissed without prejudice. Any party proceeding pro se shall be expected to be familiar with and follow the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.*” (Emphasis added.)

2010 Order, and the time for doing so has expired.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

1. Pursuant to Local Rule 5.5(c)(2), this case is DISMISSED, WITHOUT PREJUDICE, due to Plaintiff's failure to timely and properly comply with the Court's December 2, 2010 Order.

2. The Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an *in forma pauperis* appeal from this Order of Dismissal and the accompanying Judgment would not be taken in good faith.

Dated this 6th day of January, 2011.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE