-HDY Vanzant v. Norris et al Doc. 39

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS PINE BLUFF DIVISION

MARK EDWARD VANZANT ADC #137057 **PLAINTIFF**

V.

NO: 5:10CV00363 DPM/HDY

L. NORRIS et al.

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

On April 6, 2011, Plaintiff filed what has been docketed as a motion for reconsideration of

the Court's order denying him appointed counsel (docket entry #37), and objection to a dismissal and motion to amend (docket entry #38). Plaintiff has advanced no reason to cause the undersigned to believe appointed counsel is warranted at this time. Additionally, at this time, no claims or parties have been dismissed from this case.¹ To the extent that Plaintiff seeks to add claims which are

unrelated to the alleged excessive force at issue in this matter, the Court concludes that such claims are more appropriate for a separate lawsuit. Finally, Plaintiff's attempt to sue Defendants in their

official capacities for damages is in essence an attempt to sue the State of Arkansas, which is

prohibited by the Eleventh Amendment. *Nix v. Norman*, 879 F.2d 429, 431-32 (8th Cir. 1989).

Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (docket entry #37), and his motion to

amend (docket entry #38), are DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED this <u>12</u> day of April, 2011.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE HIDGE

¹The Court notes that Plaintiff has four active cases in this District. Claims against several Defendants have been dismissed in one of them. See *Vanzant v. Owen et al.*, ED/AR No. 5:10CV365.