Clem v. Hobbs Doc. 19

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS PINE BLUFF DIVISION

JOE EDWIN CLEM ADC # 120839

PETITIONER

v.

No. 5:11-cv-235-DPM

RAY HOBBS, Director, Arkansas Department of Correction

RESPONDENT

ORDER

Clem's motion for certificate of appealability, *Document No. 18*, is denied. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c). Because the Court denied Clem's petition on procedural grounds, Clem must show "that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right *and* that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling." *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000) (emphasis added). Clem has addressed only the latter element in his motion. *Document No. 18*, at 3–4. The motion, *Document No. 18*, is therefore denied. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 485 (requiring that both showings be made before the Court of Appeals may entertain the appeal). Moreover, the Court sees no debatable issue about the standard of review applied here.

So Ordered.

D.P. Marshall Jr.

United States District Judge

19 July 2012