#### Doc. 16

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS PINE BLUFF DIVISION

### RICHARD D. PERCEFULL, ADC #148005

**PLAINTIFF** 

**DEFENDANTS** 

v.

# CASE NO. 5:12CV00082 BSM/JTR

### **VERNON R. ROBERTSON et al.**

### <u>ORDER</u>

The proposed findings and recommended disposition submitted by United States Magistrate Judge J. Thomas Ray and the filed objections have been reviewed. After carefully considering these documents and making a *de novo* review of the record, it is concluded that the proposed findings and recommended disposition should be, and hereby are, approved and adopted in their entirety in all respects.

### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

1. Pursuant to the screening function mandated by 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, this case is dismissed, without prejudice, for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted.

2. Dismissal of this action constitutes a "strike," as defined by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

3. It is hereby certified, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an *in forma pauperis* appeal from this order and the accompanying judgment would not be taken in good faith.

Dated this 4th day of May 2012.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE