Alexander v. Hobbs Doc. 11

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS PINE BLUFF DIVISION

CLARENCE SIDNEY ALEXANDER, ADC#69999

PETITIONER

v.

5:12-cv-332-DPM-JJV

RAY HOBBS, Director, Arkansas Department of Correction

RESPONDENT

ORDER

The Court has considered Magistrate Judge Joe J. Volpe's Proposed Findings and Recommended Disposition, *Document No. 9*, and Alexander's objections, *Document No. 10*. After *de novo* review, FED. R. CIV. PRO. 72(b), the Court adopts Judge Volpe's well-crafted proposal as its decision. Hobbs's motion to dismiss, *Document No. 5*, is granted. All other pending motions are denied as moot. Alexander's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, *Document No. 2*, is dismissed. The Court declines to issue a certificate of appealability because Alexander did not make a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)-(2).

So Ordered.

D.P. Marshall Jr.

U.S. District Court Judge

5 December 2012