Harvey v. Lay et al Doc. 9

> IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS PINE BLUFF DIVISION

SIDNEY HARVEY,

ADC #081397

v.

5:12-cv-00429-SWW-JTK

GAYLON LAY, et al.

DEFENDANTS

PLAINTIFF

ORDER

The Court has received proposed findings and recommendations from United States

Magistrate Judge Jerome T. Kearney. After a review of those proposed findings and

recommendations, and the timely objections received thereto, as well as a de novo review of the

record in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court adopts them in their entirety.

Accordingly,

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff's Amended Complaint against Defendants is DISMISSED with prejudice,

for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

2 This dismissal is considered a "strike" within the meaning of the Prison Litigation

Reform Act (PLRA), 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

3. The Court certifies that an in forma pauperis appeal from an Order and Judgment

dismissing this action will not be taken in good faith. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

An appropriate Judgment shall accompany this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 21st day of February 2013.

/s/Susan Webber Wright UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE