

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
PINE BLUFF DIVISION**

TYRONE COLLINS,
ADC #111854

PLAINTIFF

v.

5:13CV00060-SWW-JTK

RAY HOBBS, et al.

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

The Court has received proposed findings and recommendations from United States Magistrate Judge Jerome T. Kearney. After a review of those proposed findings and recommendations, and the timely objections received thereto,¹ as well as a de novo review of the record, the Court adopts them in their entirety. Accordingly,

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Doc. No. 45), is DENIED.

DATED this 1st day of May, 2014.

/s/Susan Webber Wright
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹In his objections, plaintiff seems to say that the growing of a beard is a requirement for Orthodox Muslims. However, in his deposition, plaintiff states the Quran does not require men to have a beard. Plaintiff also notes in his objections that the United States Supreme Court granted certiorari in *Holt v. Hobbs*. The Supreme Court agreed to determine “[w]hether the Arkansas Department of Correction’s grooming policy violates the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act of 2000, 42 U.S.C. § 2000cc *et seq.*, to the extent that it prohibits petitioner from growing a one-half-inch beard in accordance with his religious beliefs.” *Holt v. Hobbs*, 134 S.Ct. 1512 (March 3, 2014). The fact that the Supreme Court granted *certiorari* does not change the ruling of the Eighth Circuit. A ruling on a motion for preliminary injunction is not a decision on the merits.