Lindsey v. Griffin et al

v.

Doc. 79

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS PINE BLUFF DIVISION

JOSEPH LINDSEY, ADC #132800

5:14CV00073-DPM-JTK

RORY GRIFFIN, et al.

ORDER

Defendants' Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's August 26, 2014 Order (Doc. No.

76) is GRANTED.¹ The August 26, 2014 Order is hereby modified as follows:

"Plaintiff's Subsequent Motion to Compel (Doc. No. 64) is GRANTED IN PART, and

Defendants shall ensure that Plaintiff is provided the opportunity within fifteen days of this Order

to review his physical institutional and medical jackets. In addition, the Clerk shall forward to

Plaintiff a copy of Doc. No. 58-1.

Plaintiff's Subsequent Motion to Compel (Doc. No. 64) is DENIED in all other respects."

IT IS SO ORDERED this 2nd day of September, 2014.

JEROME T. KEARNEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

PLAINTIFF

DEFENDANTS

¹Defendants' counsel erroneously indicated to the Court in their prior Response (Doc. No. 58) that Plaintiff could review EOMIS and electronic case files. Counsel states in the present Motion that ADC policy does not permit inmates to review the electronic EOMIS files.