Pinder v. McDowell et al Doc. 234

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS PINE BLUFF DIVISION

STEVEN PINDER, ADC #123397

**PLAINTIFF** 

V.

**CASE NO. 5:14-CV-359-JM-BD** 

ALVA GREEN MCDOWELL, et al.

**DEFENDANTS** 

## **ORDER**

The Court has received a Recommended Disposition ("Recommendation") from Magistrate Judge Beth Deere. The parties have not filed objections.<sup>1</sup> After careful review of the Recommendation, the Court concludes that the Recommendation should be, and hereby is, approved and adopted as this Court's findings in all respects.

Mr. Pinder's claims against Defendants Griffin, Straughn, and Maxor Pharmacy are DISMISSED, without prejudice, based on his failure to exhaust his administrative remedies.<sup>2</sup>

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 15th day of May, 2018.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mr. Pinder filed an objection to the appointment of United States Magistrate Judge Beth Deere. He also asks that I recuse. The appointment of Judge Deere was appropriate in this matter. See Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Eastern and Western Districts of Arkansas, Rule 72.1. Further, Mr. Pinder has failed to make a sufficient showing to require recusal in this matter. *Liteky v. United States*, 510 U.S. 540, 555, 114 S.Ct. 1147, 127 L.Ed.2d 474 (1994); *Holloway v. United States*, 960 F.2d 1348, 1351(8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1992)(unfavorable judicial ruling "insufficient to require disqualification absent a showing of 'pervasive personal bias and prejudice.' ").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Mr. Pinder's motion for injunctive relief, docket # 232, is denied as moot.