Berger v. Clark et al Doc. 36

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS PINE BLUFF DIVISION

RUSSELL BERGER
ADC #115855
PLAINTIFF

VS.

5:17-CV-00258-BRW-JTR

WENDY KELLEY, Director, ADC

**DEFENDANT** 

## **ORDER**

I have reviewed the Recommendation (Doc. No. 33) submitted by United States Magistrate Judge J. Thomas Ray and Plaintiff's Motion to Attach Documents (Doc. No. 35). After carefully considering these documents and making a *de novo* review of the record in this case, I approve and adopt the Recommendation.

Accordingly, Plainitf's Motion to Attach Documents (Doc. No. 35) is GRANTED.

Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 14) is GRANTED as to Plaintiff's RLUIPA claim for monetary damages, but DENIED in all other respects. Plaintiff's response (Doc. No. 19) to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is construed as a motion to amend, and is GRANTED.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to file a copy of (Doc. No. 19) as Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 7th day of September, 2018.

/s/ Billy Roy Wilson\_\_\_\_UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE