

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
FORT SMITH DIVISION

DORIS A. LINDSAY

PLAINTIFF

v.

Civil No. 06-2066

JEFF ATTEBURY; PHIL
ATTEBURY; JEREMY
ATTEBURY; KENTUCKY
FRIED CHICKEN; YUM BRANDS

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

Plaintiff's complaint was filed in this case on June 12, 2006 nunc pro tunc to May 30, 2006. Before the undersigned is the issue of whether the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP) should be granted and the issue of whether the complaint should be served. In order to assist the court in making such determinations, it is necessary that plaintiff provide additional information.

Accordingly, it is ordered that plaintiff, Doris A. Lindsay, complete and sign the attached addendum to her complaint, and return the same to the court by **July 3, 2006**. **Plaintiff is advised that should she fail to return the completed and executed addendum by July 3, 2006, her complaint may be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute and/or for failure to obey an order of the court.**

IT IS SO ORDERED this 12th day of June 2006.

/s/ Beverly Stites Jones
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
FORT SMITH DIVISION

DORIS A. LINDSAY

PLAINTIFF

v.

Civil No. 06-2066

JEFF ATTEBURY; PHIL
ATTEBURY; JEREMY
ATTEBURY; KENTUCKY
FRIED CHICKEN; YUM BRANDS

DEFENDANTS

ADDENDUM TO COMPLAINT

TO: DORIS A. LINDSAY

This form is sent to you so that you may assist the court in making a determination as to the issue of whether your application to proceed IFP should be granted and the issue of whether the complaint should be served upon the defendants. Accordingly, it is required that you fill out this form and send it back to the court **by July 3, 2006**. Failure to do so will result in the dismissal of your complaint.

The response must be legibly handwritten or typewritten, and all questions must be answered completely in the proper space provided on this form. If you need additional space, you may attach additional sheets of paper to this addendum.

RESPONSE

In your complaint, you allege that your phone rang every two hours from 3:00 p.m. to 3:00 a.m. for fifty-four nights. You indicate Lt. Damanti found out who was calling and ordered them to stop. You state that this disruption caused your sleep to be interrupted and adversely impacted your health.

3. Were you at your home in Mountainburg, Arkansas, when you received the phone calls?

Answer: Yes _____ No _____.

If you answered no, please state where you were.

4. You indicate that Lt. Damanti found out who made the phone calls. Who did make the phone calls?

Answer:

7. You have named Yum Brands in Atlanta, Georgia, as a defendant. Please describe in detail how you believe Yum Brands harmed you or violated your rights. In doing so, please state what connection you believe Yum Brand's actions had with the State of Arkansas.

Answer:

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS COVERED BY THE VERIFICATION MADE BY ME ON MY INITIAL COMPLAINT.

Doris A. Lindsay

DATE