Baird v. Torenzo Ford et al Doc. 30

> IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS

FORT SMITH DIVISION

LACIE BAIRD **PLAINTIFF**

v.

Case No. 2:10-CV-02123

TORENZO FORD and FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY, LLC

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

Currently before the Court are Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 28) and Defendants'

Response (Doc. 29). Plaintiff moves this Court to dismiss this action, without prejudice, pursuant

to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41 (a)(2). Defendants do not object to the Motion, but they ask that it be

conditioned on Plaintiff paying the costs of this proceeding, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 42 (d), in the

event the action is re-filed at a later date.

Upon due consideration, Plaintiff's Motion (Doc. 28) is GRANTED and Plaintiff's complaint

is hereby DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41 (a)(2). Should

Plaintiff re-file her complaint in either federal court or state court, she will be required to compensate

Defendants for any duplicative costs and expenses incurred. Any other motions currently pending

in this case are DISMISSED AS MOOT.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 15th day of February, 2012.

P. K. HOLMES, III

CHIEF U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

/s/P.K. Holmes, III