

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS  
FORT SMITH DIVISION

GARY D. HEVEL

PLAINTIFF

v.

CIVIL NO. 12-2011

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner  
Social Security Administration

DEFENDANT

**ORDER**

Plaintiff has submitted a complaint for filing in this district, together with a request for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* (“IFP”). ECF Nos. 1, 2. The undersigned finds that more information is needed to rule on Plaintiff’s IFP application.

Plaintiff’s IFP application indicates that he has a spouse who is not dependent on him for support. ECF No. 2, at 2. However, Plaintiff does not state if his spouse is employed, and, if so, the nature of her employment and income. The court finds this information relevant under Section 3(f) of Plaintiff’s IFP application. As such, Plaintiff is directed to submit an amended IFP application providing more specific information regarding his spouse’s employment and financial contribution. Plaintiff is directed to file his amended IFP application on or before January 25, 2012. Should Plaintiff fail to comply within the required period of time, his complaint will become subject to summary dismissal for failure to obey a court order

IT IS SO ORDERED this 11th day of January 2012.

*/s/ J. Marschewski*

HON. JAMES R. MARSCHEWSKI  
CHIEF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE