IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS FORT SMITH DIVISION

WANDA GOODSON PLAINTIFF

v. CIVIL NO. 2:19-cv-02013-MEF

ANDREW M. SAUL, Commissioner Social Security Administration¹

DEFENDANT

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Plaintiff, Wanda Goodson, brings this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) seeking judicial review of a decision of the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration ("Commissioner") denying her application for supplemental security income ("SSI"). (ECF No. 1). The matter is presently before the undersigned by consent of the parties. (ECF No. 7).

The Commissioner filed an answer to Plaintiff's action on April 22, 2019, asserting that the findings of the Commissioner were supported by substantial evidence and were conclusive. (ECF No. 8). On June 18, 2019, having changed positions, the Commissioner filed a motion requesting that Plaintiff's case be remanded pursuant to "sentence four" of § 405(g) in order to conduct further administrative proceedings. (ECF No. 13).

The exclusive methods by which a district court may remand a social security case to the Commissioner are set forth in "sentence four" and "sentence six" of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). A remand pursuant to "sentence six" is limited to two situations: where the Commissioner requests a remand before answering the complaint, or where the court orders the Commissioner to consider new, material evidence that was for good cause not presented before the agency. The fourth sentence of the statute provides that "[t]he court shall have power to enter, upon the pleadings and transcript

¹ Andrew M. Saul became the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration on June 17, 2019, and he is substituted as Defendant in this action pursuant to Rule 25(d)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

of the record, a judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security, with or without remanding the cause for a rehearing." 42 U.S.C. § 405(g); *Shalala*

v. Schaefer, 509 U.S. 292, 296 (1993).

Here, we find remand is appropriate to allow the Defendants to conduct further administrative proceedings regarding this matter. Therefore, the Commissioner's Motion to

Remand (ECF No. 13) is hereby **GRANTED** and the case remanded to the Commissioner for

further administrative action pursuant to "sentence four" of section 405(g).

DATED this 26th day of July 2019.

/s/ Mark E. Ford

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2