## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS FORT SMITH DIVISION

BREANNA L. BENNETT

**PLAINTIFF** 

v.

CIVIL NO. 22-2023

KILOLO KIJAKAZI, Acting Commissioner Social Security Administration

**DEFENDANT** 

## **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Plaintiff, Breanna L. Bennet, brings this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) seeking judicial review of a decision of the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration (Commissioner) denying her application for a period of disability and disability insurance benefits (DIB) under the provisions of Title II of the Social Security Act (Act). (ECF No. 2). The Defendant filed an Answer to Plaintiff's action on May 20, 2021, asserting that the findings of the Commissioner were supported by substantial evidence and were conclusive. (ECF No. 11).

On June 9, 2022, the Commissioner, having changed positions, filed an unopposed motion requesting that Plaintiff's case be remanded pursuant to "sentence four" of section 405(g) in order to conduct further administrative proceedings. (ECF No. 15).

The exclusive methods by which a district court may remand a social security case to the Commissioner are set forth in "sentence four" and "sentence six" of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). A remand pursuant to "sentence six" is limited to two situations: where the Commissioner requests a remand before answering the complaint, or where the court orders the Commissioner to consider new, material evidence that was for good cause not presented before the agency. The fourth sentence of the statute provides that "[t]he court shall have power to enter, upon the

pleadings and transcript of the record, a judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing the decision

of the Commissioner of Social Security, with or without remanding the cause for a rehearing."

42 U.S.C. § 405(g); Shalala v. Schaefer, 509 U.S. 292, 296 (1993).

Here, the Court finds remand for the purpose of the ALJ to further evaluate the evidence

appropriate.

Based on the foregoing, the undersigned grants the Commissioner's unopposed motion to

reverse the decision of the ALJ and remands this case to the Commissioner for further

administrative action pursuant to "sentence four" of section 405(g).

DATED this 9th day of June 2022.

/s/ Christy Comstock
HON. CHRISTY COMSTOCK

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE