## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS HARRISON DIVISION

CRYSTAL M. DILLOW

**PLAINTIFF** 

v.

**CIVIL NO. 10-3008** 

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner Social Security Administration

**DEFENDANT** 

## **MEMORAMDUM OPINION**

Crystal Dillow ("plaintiff") brings this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) seeking judicial review of a decision of the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration (Commissioner) denying her applications for disability insurance benefits ("DIB") and supplemental security income ("SSI"). (Doc. #7). The defendant filed an answer to plaintiff's action on April 01, 2010, asserting that the findings of the Commissioner were supported by substantial evidence and were conclusive. (Doc. #6).

On June 23, 2010, the Commissioner, having changed positions, filed a motion requesting that plaintiff's case be remanded pursuant to "sentence four" of section 405(g) in order to conduct further administrative proceedings. (Doc. # 9). The defendant states that the ALJ needs to reconsider plaintiff's earnings for 2005, obtain medical expert evidence concerning plaintiff's RFC, and possibly take the testimony of a vocational expert.

The exclusive methods by which a district court may remand a social security case to the Commissioner are set forth in "sentence four" and "sentence six" of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). A remand pursuant to "sentence six" is limited to two situations: where the Commissioner requests a remand before answering the complaint, or where the court orders the Commissioner to consider new, material evidence that was for good cause not presented before the agency. The Fourth sentence of

the statute provides that "[t]he court shall have power to enter, upon the pleadings and transcript of

the record, a judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing the decision of the Commissioner of Social

Security, with or without remanding the cause for a rehearing." 42 U.S.C. § 405(g); Shalala v.

Schaefer, 509 U.S. 292, 296, 113 S.Ct. 2625 (1993).

Here, we find remand for the purpose of the ALJ to further evaluate the evidence as

addressed above, appropriate. Therefore, the Commissioner's motion to remand is hereby

**GRANTED** and the case is remanded to the Commissioner for further administrative action pursuant

to "sentence four" of section 405(g).

DATED this 23rd day of June 2010.

/s/J. Marschewski

HON. JAMES R. MARSCHEWSKI

CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2