

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS  
HARRISON DIVISION

TAMMY CHRISTINE HANSON

PLAINTIFF

v.

Case No. 3:10-CV-03022

BAXTER COUNTY ARKANSAS; JOHN MONTGOMERY,  
Individually and as Sheriff of Baxter County, Arkansas;  
RANDALL WEAVER, Individually and as Lieutenant and  
Jail Administrator of Baxter County Detention Center; TONY  
BECK; and WILLIAM ALTRAZAN

DEFENDANTS

**JUDGMENT**

On May 13, 2014, this matter came on for trial to a duly selected jury consisting of eleven members, the undersigned presiding. At the completion of the four-day trial, the case was submitted to the jury on interrogatories and a unanimous verdict (Doc. 264) was reached as to each interrogatory as follows:

**INTERROGATORY 1:** Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence as to each Defendant, that the Defendant denied adequate medical care to Ms. Hanson as instructed in Final Instruction 5?

John Montgomery	<u>No</u>
	Yes or No

Randall Weaver	<u>No</u>
	Yes or No

Tony Beck	<u>No</u>
	Yes or No

William Altrazan	<u>No</u>
	Yes or No

**INTERROGATORY 2:** Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence that Baxter County was deliberately indifferent to Ms. Hanson's serious medical needs or subjected Ms. Hanson to unconstitutional conditions of confinement as instructed in Final Instruction 8?

No  
Yes or No

**INTERROGATORY 3:** Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence as to each Defendant, that the Defendant failed to adequately supervise or train his subordinates as instructed in Final Instructions 10 and 11?

John Montgomery                      No  
Yes or No

Randall Weaver                        No  
Yes or No

Baxter County                         No  
Yes or No

**INTERROGATORY 4:** Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence that Baxter County caused a violation of Ms. Hanson's right to privacy as instructed in Final Instruction 12?

No  
Yes or No

Based upon the jury's answer to the above interrogatories, the jury was not required to complete any remaining interrogatories. The verdict form was signed and dated by the jury foreperson as to each Defendant on each interrogatory. In accordance with the above verdict, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, that Plaintiff takes nothing on her Complaint and the matter is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

IT IS SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED this 19th day of May, 2014.

*P. K. Holmes, III*

P.K. HOLMES, III  
CHIEF U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE